Review Article



Occurrence of Emerging *Arcobacter* in Dogs and Cats and its Public Health Implications: A Review

Mohammed Dauda Goni^{1*}, Ibrahim Jalo Muhammad², Mohammed Goje³, Asinamai Athliamai Bitrus⁴, Saleh Mohammed Jajere³, Babagana Mohammed Adam⁵, Muhammad Adamu Abbas⁶

¹Unit of Biostatistics and Research Methodology, University Sains Malaysia, Health Campus, 16150 Kubang Kerian Kelantan, Malaysia; ²Ministry of Agriculture and Environment, IBB Secretariat Complex, Damaturu Nigeria; ³Department of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Maiduguri Nigeria; ⁴Department of Pathology and Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University Putra Malaysia, 43400 Selangor, Malaysia; ⁵School of Environment and Life Sciences, University of Salford, Peel Building, M5 4 WT Manchester, United Kingdom; ⁶Department of Human Physiology, College of Health Sciences, Bayero University Kano, Nigeria.

Abstract | *Arcobacter* has emerged as one of the leading cause of gastro-enteritis in humans as well as animals, therefore posing a significant public health risk. The most important of the species in associated with human and animal infection is *A. butzleri*. This is because of the emergence of highly pathogenic and multi-drug resistant *Arcobacter* strains worldwide. Dogs and cats are considered as a major source of transmission to man, thus facilitating easy transmission of the *Arcobacter* infection. Stray dogs and cats are the important reservoirs compared to pets which are also implicated in the transmission to humans. Molecular techniques such as Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and Multi-locus Sequence Typing Scheme (MLST) has been found to be one of the most robust, accurate and sensitive technique for the detection and characterization of *Arcobacter* species in dogs and cats. This review focuses on the occurrence and associated risk factors as well as public health significance of *Arcobacter* in dogs and cats.

Keywords | Arcobacter, Dogs, Cats, Public health significance, Antibiotic resistance

Editor | Kuldeep Dhama, Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Received | May 20, 2017; Accepted | June 21, 2017; Published | September 03, 2017

*Correspondence | Mohammed Dauda Goni, Unit of Biostatistics and Research Methodology, University Sains Malaysia, Health Campus, 16150 Kubang Kerian Kelantan, Malaysia; Email: dmgoni@yahoo.com

Citation | Goni MD, Muhammad IJ, Goje M, Bitrus AA, Jajere SM, Adam BM, Abbas MA (2017). Occurrence of emerging *Arcobacter* in dogs and cats and its public health implications: A Review. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 5(9): 362-370.

DOI | http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2017/5.9.362.370

ISSN (Online) | 2307-8316; **ISSN (Print)** | 2309-3331

Copyright © 2017 Goni et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Arcobacter is widely regarded as an emerging food-borne pathogen because of its relationship with food production and human health. Arcobacter was initially recognized as 'aerotolerant Campylobacter' belonging to the family Campylobacteraceae, genus Campylobacter due to its phenotypic and phylogenetic resemblance with Campylobacter. However, the ability to grow at 15°C and its aero tolerance distinguishes it from Campylobacter (Collado and

Figueras, 2011). From the discovery of *Arcobacter* in 1977 to date, various species have been identified and discovered in various animals which include domestic animals, pets, wild animals, birds and food products originating from domestic animals. These may result in diseases such as mastitis, abortion and diarrhoea in animals (Merga et al., 2011). Several species have so far been identified of which some are regarded as emerging food-borne pathogens namely: *A. butzleri*, *A. skirrowii*, *A. cryaerophilus*, *A. cibarius*, *A. mytili*, *A. thereius*, and *A. trophiarum*. *Arcobacter butzleri*,



A. skirrowii, and A. cryaerophilus have been isolated from faecal samples of human beings and healthy farm animals (Driessche et al., 2005; Merga et al., 2011). However, the current identification and detection method of Arcobacter species is difficult and cumbersome therefore the incidence is most likely underestimated (Vandenberg et al., 2004).

Arcobacter was first discovered when it was isolated from aborted bovine foetuses in UK in 1977 (Ellis et al., 1977). The members of this genus were initially named as Campylobacter cryaerophila due to the similarities in morphology, aero tolerance and growth at 25°C to the genus Campylobacter. The species of the Campylobacter that are considered as aero tolerant species were later re-classified into the genus Arcobacter (Collado and Figueras, 2011). They are gram-negative spiral-shaped organisms and have the ability to grow under microaerobic or aerobic conditions (Lehner et al., 2005; Vandenberg et al., 2004).

Arcobacter is both a food-borne and water-borne agent and is an organism considered to be one of the most leading emerging zoonotic pathogen (Houf et al., 2004). Among the species currently identified as members of the genus Arcobacter, seven species namely A. butzleri, A. skirrowii, A. cryaerophilus, A. cibarius, A. mytili, A. thereius and A. trophiarum are considered to be potential emerging food borne pathogens because they had been isolated from environmental niches, shellfish, poultry and faecal materials of domestic animals (Vogelaers et al., 2014; Whiteduck-Léveillée et al., 2015). Arcobacter butzleri, A. cryaerophilus and A. skirrowii are the common species of Arcobacter isolated from human and domestic animals (Gude et al., 2005; Morita et al., 2004; Rahimi, 2014). The rate of isolation of Arcobacter species is more numerous in poultry than dogs, cats and other animals which indicates poultry as the primary source of the organism (Amare et al., 2011; Ferreira et al., 2013; Shah et al., 2012; Wesley et al., 1995). It is shown to be occur more frequent in stray dogs and cats than those that are used as pets (Talay et al., 2016).

Arcobacter transmission is usually through the consumption of contaminated food and drinking water; infection can also occur through direct contact with infected animals and humans (Ellis et al., 1977). The organism is ubiquitous and can be found in sewage, surface water, sea water, ground water and drinking water which suggests their wider presence in the environment which can serve as an alternative means of exposure and transmission of infection to animals and humans (Houf et al., 2004; Lehner et al., 2005; Whiteduck-Léveillée et al., 2015). There are a substantial number of studies on the epidemiology of Arcobacter globally, but these are limited to livestock animals and not much has been done on their presence in dogs and cats. However, recent studies have shown there occurrence in samples from wastewater and marine environment

(Morita et al., 2004; Vogelaers et al., 2014).

Arcobacter infection in humans can be due to exposure and frequent contact with infected dogs and cats. This infection in man is reported to be due to pet ownership and has been identified and reported as a risk factor for its transmission to humans (Rahimi, 2014). The increase in number of dogs and cats kept as pets may thus lead to the increase in Arcobacter infection in human due to close contact with these pets (Gude et al., 2004). The frequent use of antibiotics in domestic animals has been widely reported to be the major cause antibiotic resistance development in Arcobacter. In pet animals, this problem is of public health significance due to close contact with human resulting in the emergence of antibiotic resistant organisms (Ferreira et al., 2013).

Arcobacter butzleri and A. cryaerophilus were detected from the buccal cavity of pet dogs using molecular techniques (Talay et al., 2016). Arcobacter have been isolated in oral samples of cats and dogs with oral and dental disease in Denmark (Petersen et al., 2007), however in a study in Belgium Arcobacter spp was not isolated from buccal and rectal swabs of pet cats (Houf et al., 2008). In 2008, Arcobacter were isolated from oral smears and buccal cavity of dogs and cats, but another study in conducted in on clinical materials from dogs and cats in Southern Italy showed the presence of Arcobacter species (Fernandez et al., 2004; Houf et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010).

PHENOTYPIC, BIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND IDENTIFICATION

Phenotypically on blood agar, the colonies appear as pin points, translucent and watery colonies. The organisms are Gram negative when stained with Gram stain, however they exhibit a characteristic cork-screw type of motility (Fera et al., 2004). Arcobacter species are relatively similar and related to Campylobacter species due to the fact that they cannot ferment carbohydrate but they hydrolyse indoxyl acetate (Fera et al., 2009). They are also known to be relatively biochemically inert and only a few phenotypic tests, including the Preston identification scheme and catalase test can be used to differentiate Arcobacter spp. (Moreno et al., 2003). Species identification of Arcobacter using standard biochemical tests is unreliable due to their fastidious growth requirements and low metabolic activity usually observed within the *Proteobacteria* together (Ellis et al., 1977; Hausdorf et al., 2013). Therefore to differentiate the species on this basis, a combination of a wide range of biochemical tests with a high tendency of comparing the characteristic of the unknown isolate and those in well-defined taxa is required. Arcobacter butzleri can be reliably tested and identified when grown in 1% glycine and in 1.5% NaCl, weak catalase activity, and resistance to cadmium chloride (Gonzalez and Ferrus, 2011; Pejchalova et al., 2016). Table 1 shows different phenotypic and biochemical properties of Arcobacter species.



 Table 1: Phenotypic and biochemical properties of Arcobacter species.

| Characteristic | Arcobacter butzleri | Arcobacter skirrowii | Arcobacter cryaerophilu | s Arcobacter cibarius |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Growth at 25°C | + | + | + | V |
| Microaerobic conditions at 37°C | + | + | V | + |
| 2% (w/v) NaC1 | V | + | V | - |
| 4% (w/v) NaCl | - | + | - | - |
| Growth on MacConkey agar | + | - | V | + |
| Growth on Minimal medium | + | - | + | + |
| Catalase | V | + | + | V |
| Oxidase | + | + | + | + |
| Urease | - | - | - | - |
| Nitrate reduction | + | + | V | - |
| Indole acetate hydrolysis | + | + | + | + |
| Ceforperazone resistance (64mg/L) | + | + | + | + |
| G+C content (mol %) | 29-31 | 29-30 | 28-29 | 26.8-27.3 |

+: ≥95% strains positive; -: ≤11% strains positive; V: 12-94% strains positive; Sources: Collado et al., 2011; Houf et al., 2009

Various methods are available for the isolation of *Arcobacter* via culture, however here again there is no standard method of isolation. In 1977, the first case of *Arcobacter* was reported from aborted livestock fetuses using EMJH P-80 (Ellinghausen-McCullogh-Johnson-Harris Polysorbate), which h is a medium for *Leptospira* growth (Shah et al., 2012). Subsequently, various methods have been put in place for the isolation via enrichment and plating through different protocols that were developed for isolation of *Arcobacter* from several sources (Atabay et al., 1998; Diergaardt et al., 2004; Pejchalova et al., 2016; Wegener 2012).

The culture methods are usually divided into two stages for the detection of *Arcobacter* species; they are the enrichment and the plating stages. The enrichment is mostly done at a temperature of 30°C or below and in the plating procedure, samples are inoculated onto the surface of an agar or inoculated into a semi-solid media. The isolation method may take up to 4 to 5 days at 37°C (Amare et al., 2011). *Arcobacter* broth (AB) supplemented with cefoperazone, amphotericin B, teichoplanin (CAT) as developed by Atabay and Corry (1998) is one of the most common protocols used for the isolation. This is a favourable media for the isolation of the common *Arcobacter* species and it inhibits the growth of *Campylobacter* (Son, 2005). Similar to *Campylobacter*, this involves filtration of the broth through 0.45 µm pore sized cellulose triacetate membrane filter.

Even with all the advances in the various isolation protocols described to date, they are still not regarded as ideal in the determination of true incidence rates and distribution of the species in food and biological samples as some species of *Arcobacter* are inhibited during recovery when certain types of antibiotics are used (Johnson and Murano, 1999; Schroeder-Tucker et al., 1996).

Molecular Detection

The detection and identification of *Arcobacter* species can be achieved through few biochemical tests, therefore, the species of *Arcobacter* are most reliably identified through molecular techniques (On, 1996). However, these techniques are often difficult and cumbersome. Therefore, to overcome these challenges and to allow confirmation of the concurrent presence of different *Arcobacter* species, multiplex PCR (m-PCR) methods have been used for screening enrichment samples prior to isolation (Ellis et al., 1977; Kayman et al., 2012).

PCR assay for the specific detection of Arcobacter species is either through purified DNA or crude bacterial cell lysate in which results is obtained in less than 8 hours as compared to several days done using the culture methods. DNA-based assays used for the identification of Arcobacter species, are more rapid and have a higher specificity than conventional identification methods (Houf et al., 2001). Different PCR Assay based on oligonucleotide DNA probes have already proved to be valuable tools for diagnostic identification and characterization of Arcobacter strains. These techniques rely on the use of gene fragments such as 16S rRNA or 23S rRNA specific for Arcobacter species and A. butzleri (Kiehlbauch et al., 1991; Scullion et al., 2004). The specificity of the detection of Arcobacter by PCR is highly dependent on the specificity of the primer set used. This is due to the relationship of 16S rRNA which are typical mosaic structure of conserved and variable regions, PCR primers can be designed complementary to intervening variable regions allowing the detection and identification of specific groups of micro-organisms (Houf and Stephan, 2007).

Several studies were conducted on the detection of Arco-

bacter using the multiplex PCR and were shown to be rapid and specific alternative to the simultaneous detection of different species of Arcobacter (Fera et al., 2009). This is useful in large-scale surveys to assess the prevalence and thus in determining the clinical and zoonotic potentiality of Arcobacter as well as food quality monitoring (Çelik and Unver, 2015). In a recent study, mPCR was found more efficient with over all detection level of 18.13%, highly specific, sensitive and time saving for detection and confirmation of Arcobacter spp. as compared to conventional cultural methods which revealed over all detection level only 10.20% (Hurtado and Owen, 1997). Similarly, Real Time PCR, PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE), DNA microarray assay and Matrix-associated laser desorption/ionization-time of flight (MAL-DI-TOF) have been shown to be useful techniques for different genetic analysis (Alispahic et al., 2010; Brightwell et al., 2007; Petersen et al., 2007; Quinones et al., 2007).

However, Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and Multi-locus Sequence Typing Scheme (MLST) were also used for characterization of Arcobacter (Hyytia-Trees et al., 2007; Miller et al., 2009) The diverse genetic characteristics of Arcobacter isolates from assessed the potential use of PFGE for epidemiological surveillance and monitoring during outbreaks. PFGE can used together, with single enzyme amplified fragment length polymorphism (s-AFLP) for characterizing taxonomic and epidemiological relationship among Arcobacter and Campylobacter (Gonzalez et al., 2007). Another method for the detection of Arcobacter species is the Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) which gives impressive results due to its rapid and sensitive design. Furthermore, FISH also allows determination of morphological characteristics of microbes, size and cellular rRNA content (Fera et al., 2009; Moreno et al., 2003).

A protocol for the molecular detection using real-time PCR was developed by Abdelbaqi et al. (2007) (Gonzalez et al., 2000). The gyrA sequences of A. butzleri strains and CCUG 34397 B were aligned with those of the A. cryaerophilus strain, the A. cibarius CCUG 48482 type strain, the A. skirrowii 449/80 type strain, and the A. nitrofigilis A169/B type strain by using multiple sequence alignment with hierarchical clustering. Primers (F-Arco-FRET5 and R-Arco-FRET5) were designed using using web Primer3 software (http://www.broad.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer/ primer3_www.cgi) to target a conserved region outside the quinolone resistance-determining region. These primers used resulted in the amplification of a 905-bp PCR product. PCR was performed with PWO super yield Taq polymerase (Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France). The expected sizes of the PCR product amplified and generated consisted of 1 cycle at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 2 min, and finally 1 cycle at 72°C for 5 min. The 905-bp sequences of the *gyrA* genes of other clinical *Arcobacter* isolates (*A. butzleri* strains 235-2004, 1285-2003, 1188-2003, 1477-2003, 1172-2003, and 1426-2003 and *A. cryaerophilus* strains 322H-2004, 622H-2004, 492-2004, PC367, and PC249) were amplified using this PCR assay and sequenced on both strands with PCR primers using an Applied Biosystems 3130*xl* genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with a fluorescence BigDye Terminator V1.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Ramees et al., 2014).

OCCURRENCE AND PREVALENCE OF ARCOBACTER IN DOGS AND CATS

In pets and other companion animals, various studies around the globe have been carried out and they indicated different carriage rates of Arcobacter (Abdelbaqi et al., 2007; Gonzalez and Ferrus, 2011; Gude et al., 2005; Lehner et al., 2005). This could be attributed to the sensitivity of the different isolation and confirmation methods used. The carriage in pets may contribute to their transmission within the environment (Collado and Figueras, 2011). Fera et al. (2009) reported 78% of cats were positive for Arcobacter in a study conducted in Southern Italy and Takahara et al. (2008) reported 4% of dogs sampled were positive for A. butzleri and A. cryaerophilus; on the contrary, Houf et al. (2008) showed cats did not harbour the organism but isolated them from dogs (2.6%). However, none of the samples collected from dogs in Turkey were positive for Arcobacter (Aydin et al., 2007). Arcobacter butzleri was isolated from the saliva of cats and dogs that had oral/dental conditions conducted in a study in Denmark and Malaysia (Goni et al., 2016). Arcobacter butzleri and A. cryaerophilus were the species isolated from dogs and cats in studies conducted in Japan, Italy and Belgium (Takahara et al., 2008; Tenkate and Stafford, 2001). In Japan and Australia, isolates sampled showed the occurrence rates 7% and 2.2% for A. butzleri, A. cryaerophilus and A. skirrowii respectively (Kabeya et al., 2004; Rivas et al., 2004). Arcobacter butzleri is the most frequent specie followed by A. cryaerophilus. Arcobacter skirrowii has a very low prevalence and were seldom or not isolated at all (Houf et al., 2003; Houf et al., 2008; Öngör et al., 2004) like shown in various studies in Table 2.

PUBLIC HEALTH SIGNIFICANCE

Several studies have shown an increasing trend in the occurrence of *Arcobacter* in dogs, cats and humans, hence raising public health concern. Similarly, *Arcobacter* have been isolated from various sources across the globe ranging from poultry, dogs, cats, beef, milk and the environment that are considered as potential sources of human infection. They are considered as emerging pathogens and detected from enteritic and septicemic patients blood of uremic patients with hematogenous pneumonia and a traffic accident victim



Table 2: Prevalence rate of *Arcobacter* species across the globe from different studies

| Author | Prevalence | Species isolated | Country |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Houf et al. (2008) | Dogs 2.6% (7/267), Cats 0% (0/61) | A. cryaerophilus and A. butzleri | Belgium |
| Fera et al. (2009) | Cats 78.8% (67/85) | A. cryaerophilus and A. butzleri | Italy |
| Pejchalova et al. (2015) | Dogs 3.7% (4/108), Cats 1.4% (1/70) | A. cryaerophilus | Czech Republic |
| Goni et al. (2016) | Dogs 54.4% (55/101), Cats 39.5% (34/86) | A. butzleri | Malaysia |
| Aydin et al. (2007) | Dogs 0% (0/65) | | Turkey |
| Patyal et al. (2011) | Dogs 0% (0/75) | | India |
| Takahara et al. (2008) | Dogs 4% (6/157) | A. cryaerophilus and A. butzleri | Japan |

(Arguello et al., 2015; Prouzet-Mauleon et al., 2006; Engberg et al., 2000). Dogs and cars are significant reservoir for human infection with Arcobacter species and has been associated with infection of A.butzleri diarrhoea in man due to their close contact with pet owners (Douidah et al., 2014; Goni et al., 2016). The prevalence of Arcobacter in human infections has probably previously been underestimated because of inappropriate detection and typing methods from stool samples (Bhatti et al., 2016). Arcobacter species should be considered and tested for in cases of diarrheal disease such as traveller's diarrhoea in humans with clinical signs of persistent watery diarrhea which is seldom bloody with abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting or sometimes fever (Whiteduck-Léveillée et al., 2015; Tauxe, 1997). Arcobacter have been known from various studies to cause reproductive problems though infection can be symptomatic or asymptomatic in dogs and cats. It often results in infertility, chronic discharge during estrus, chronic still-births problem and enteric problems (Ho et al., 2006; Vandenberg et al., 2004). Arcobacter butzleri produces watery diarrhea by inducing epithelial barrier dysfunction making changes in tight junction proteins and induction of epithelial apoptosis by which leak flux type of diarrhea occurs (Bucker et al., 2009).

ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE

Arcobacter like other emerging zoonotic disease-causing organisms are reported to be increasingly resistant to antibiotics mainly due to their widespread overuse in animals. Antibiotics are often regarded as one of the wonders of the 20th century; however the wonders raised by the issue of antibiotic resistance cannot be overemphasized. The potential development of resistance by these microbes have compromised the benefits of antimicrobial agents (Davies and Davies, 2010). Resistant Arcobacter species can be transferred to humans through direct ingestion of contaminated food or through contact with animals. The World Health Organization (WHO) has suggested a halt in use of antibiotic as growth promoters that belong to an antimicrobial class used in human medicine. The continued usage of excess antibiotics in animals makes animals more susceptible to acquisition of the resistant strains of the organism (Angulo et al., 2004).

The public health consequences of antibiotic use in animals can be evaluated more importantly when consideration of each pathogen-antibiotic situation (Phillips, 2001). Multidrug resistance has been reported in both Arcobacter species from various studies (Ho et al., 2006; Son et al., 2007). The occurrence of Arcobacter varies according to animal species and geographical location. Son et al. (2007) in a study in the United States showed the prevalence of antibiotic resistant Arcobacter to be 93.7% to one or more antibiotics. Similarly, 71.8% are resistant to two or more antibiotics. The resistance displayed by A. butzleri isolates to clindamycin (90%), azithromycin (81.4%) and nalidixic acid (23.6%). Arcobacter butzleri isolates were found to be highly resistant to β -lactams, antibiotics based on a study conducted in Poland, on the other hand, only one isolate of A. cryaerophilus was susceptible to erythromycin. Tetracycline and aminoglycosides showed the highest susceptibility against A. butzleri and A. cryaerophilus (Zacharow et al., 2015). The variation in the occurrence of antibiotic susceptibility among Arcobacter species suggests that appropriate antibiotics should be selected for the treatment of infections and when developing isolation media for the wide range of Arcobacter species (Univer et al., 2013).

Houf et al. (2001) conducted a study on antimicrobial susceptibility of *Arcobacter* and designed with a protocol for the isolation of *Arcobacter* that is frequently used. This method involves selective isolation supplemented with antibiotic (cefoperazone, amphotericin B, 5 fluorouracil, novobiocin and trimethoprim) in the enrichment and plating medium. This method is very popular because it is the only method that has been recognised for isolation of *Arcobacter* from faecal specimens (On, 1996). So far, there are paucity of studies on the resistance mechanism of *Arcobacter* species, however, few studies revealed the only resistance mechanisms chromosomal nature as the main mechanism of antibiotic resistance and no genes coding to antibiotic resistance were identified in plasmids (Harmon and Wesley, 1997; Ramees et al., 2014).

In some studies conducted in cattle farms, it showed a 3.7% prevalence rate of antibiotic resistant *Arcobacter*. The *A. butzleri* isolates were sensitive to ampicillin, erythromycin, tetracycline, cefotaxime, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin,

OPEN BACCESS

Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences

nalidixic acid, enrofloxacin and chloramphenicol. However they were found to be highly resistant to ampicillin (55.6%) followed by cefotaxime (33.4%) and ciprofloxacin (33.4%) with 20% of all the isolates showing multidrug resistance (Shah et al., 2013). Variation in the resistance patterns could be due to the irrational and frequent use of drugs in animals for therapy and/or prophylaxis, however it could also be due to the unavailability of standardization for *Arcobacter* antibiotic susceptibility tests and lack of well recognized breakpoints. The food contamination with resistant bacteria may also lead to a transfer of antibiotic resistance factors to other pathogenic bacteria leading to failed treatments of chronic infections (Morita et al., 2004).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, detection and public health significance of *Arcobacter* in dogs and cats is becoming a major concern across the globe. Occurrence of *Arcobacter* species may be underestimated, either because a possible previous misidentification or due to the paucity of studies concerning the assessment of new species prevalence. However, there detection in pets and stray animals are important because the presence of these emerging foodborne pathogens pose a risk to the human population and constitutes a public health concern. It is evident that they are associated with diseases in humans and animals. The shedding of these organisms by stray dogs and cats may be a source of contamination of the environment. Also, the irrational and habitual used of antibiotics is a key factor in the increase and spread of antibiotic resistance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the USM Global Fellowship awarded to the first author.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest in this review to declare.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

All the authors contributed equally for plan of review, article collection and manuscript writing.

REFERENCES

 Abdelbaqi K, Buissonnière, A., Prouzet-Mauleon, V., Gresser, J., Wesley, I., Mégraud, F., and Ménard, A. (2007). Development of a real-time fluorescence resonance energy transfer PCR to detect *Arcobacter* species. J. clin. Microbiol. 45(9): 3015-3021. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00256-07

- Alispahic, M., Hummel, H., Jandreski-Cvetkovic, D., Nobauer, K., Razzazi-Fazeli, E., Hess, M., (2010). Species-specific identification and differentiation of *Arcobacter*, *Helicobacter* and *Campylobacter* by full-spectral matrix-associated laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry analysis. J. Med. Microbiol. 59: 295-301. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.016576-0
- Amare, L., Saleha, A., Zunita, Z., Jalila, A., and Hassan, L. (2011). Prevalence of *Arcobacter* spp. on chicken meat at retail markets and in farm chickens in Selangor, Malaysia. Food Control. 22(5): 732-736. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2010.11.004
- Angulo, F., Nargund, V., and Chiller, T. (2004). Evidence of an association between use of anti-microbial agents in food animals and anti-microbial resistance among bacteria isolated from humans and the human health consequences of such resistance. J. Vet. Med. Series B. 51(8-9): 374-379. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0450.2004.00789.x
- Arguello, E., Otto, C. C., Mead, P., and Babady, N. E. (2015). Bacteremia caused by *Arcobacter butzleri* in an immunocompromised host. J. Clin. Microbiol. 53(4):1448-1451. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03450-14
- Atabay, H., Corry, J. and On, S. L. (1998). Diversity and prevalence of *Arcobacter* spp. in broiler chickens. J. Appl. Microbiol. 84(6):1007-1016. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.1998.00437.x
- Aydin, F., Gümüşsoy, K., Atabay, H., Ica, T., andAbay, S. (2007).
 Prevalence and distribution of *Arcobacter* species in various sources in Turkey and molecular analysis of isolated strains by ERIC-PCR. J. Appl. Microbiol. 103(1): 27-35. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.03240.x
- Bhatti, M. M., and Enzler, M. (2016). Approach to Diarrhea in Returned Travelers. The Travel and Trop Med Man. 415.
- Brightwell, G., Mowat, E., Clemens, R., Boerema, J., Pulford, D. J., & On, S. L. (2007). Development of mPCR and real time PCR assay for the specific detection of *Arcobacter butzleri* and *A. cryaerophilus*. J. Microbiol. Method. 68: 318-325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2006.09.008
- Bucker, R., Troeger, H., Kleer, J., Fromm, M., & Schulzke, J. D. (2009). *Arcoabcter butzleri* induces barrier dysfunction in intestinal HT-29/B6 cells. J. Infect. Dis. 200: 756-764. https://doi.org/10.1086/600868
- •Çelik, E., and Ünver, A. (2015). Isolation and identification of *Arcobacter* spp. by multiplex PCR from water sources in Kars region. Curr. Microbiol. 71(5): 546-550. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-015-0883-x
- Collado, L., and Figueras, M. J. (2011). Taxonomy, epidemiology, and clinical relevance of the genus *Arcobacter*. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 24(1): 174-192. https://doi.org/10.1128/ CMR.00034-10
- Davies, J., and Davies, D. (2010). Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 74(3): 417-433. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00016-10
- Diergaardt, S., Venter, S., Spreeth, A., Theron, J., and Brözel, V. (2004). The occurrence of campylobacters in water sources in South Africa. Water Res. 38(10): 2589-2595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.03.004
- Douidah L, De Zutter L and Van Nieuwerburgh F, (2014).
 Presence and analysis of plasmids in human and animal associated *Arcobacter* species. PloS One 9:e85487. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085487
- Driessche, E. V., Houf, K., Vangroenweghe, F., Zutter, L. D., and Hoof, J. V. (2005). Prevalence, enumeration and strain



- variation of *Arcobacter* species in the faeces of healthy cattle in Belgium. Vet. Microbiol. 105(2): 149-154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2004.11.002
- Ellis, W., Neill, S., O'brien, J., Ferguson, H.,and Hanna, J. (1977). Isolation of *Spirillum/Vibrio*-like organisms from bovine fetuses. Vet. Record, 100(21), 451-452. https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.100.21.451
- Engberg, J., On, S. L., Harrington, C. S., and Gerner-Smidt, P. (2000). Prevalence of *Campylobacter*, *Arcobacter*, *Helicobacter*, *Andsutterella* spp. in human fecal samples as estimated by a reevaluation of isolation methods for campylobacters. J. Cl Microbiol. 38(1): 286-291.
- Fera, M., La Camera, E., Carbone, M., Malara, D., and Pennisi, M. (2009). Pet cats as carriers of *Arcobacter* spp. in Southern Italy. J. Appl. Microbiol. 106(5): 1661-1666. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.04133.x
- Fera, M., Maugeri, T., Gugliandolo, C., Beninati, C., Giannone, M., La Camera, E., and Carbone, M. (2004). Detection of *Arcobacter* spp. in the coastal environment of the Mediterranean Sea. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70(3): 1271-1276. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.3.1271-1276.2004
- Fernández, H., Krause, S., and Paz Villanueva, M. (2004).
 Arcobacter butzleri an emerging enteropathogen: communication of two cases with chronic diarrhea. Brazilian J. Microbiol. 35(3): 216-218. https://doi.org/10.1590/ S1517-83822004000200008
- Ferreira, S., Fraqueza, M. J., Queiroz, J. A., Domingues, F. C., and Oleastro, M. (2013). Genetic diversity, antibiotic resistance and biofilm-forming ability of *Arcobacter butzleri* isolated from poultry and environment from a Portuguese slaughterhouse. Intl. J. food microbial. 162(1): 82-88.
- Goni, D.M., Aziz, S.A., Dhaliwal, G.K., Zakaria, Z., Muhammad, I.J., Abdelrahman, M., and Bitrus, A. A (2016). Occurrence of *Arcobacter* In dogs and cats in Selangor Malaysia and associated risk factors. Turkish J. Vet. Anim. Sci. https://doi. org/10.3906/vet-1602-7
- González, A., and Ferrús, M. A. (2011). Study of *Arcobacter* spp. contamination in fresh lettuces detected by different cultural and molecular methods. Int. J. food microbial. 145(1): 311-314.
- Gonzalez, A., Maria, A. F., Rosa, G., & Javier, H. (2007).
 Molecular fingerprinting of Campylobacter and Arcobacter isolated from chicken and water. Int. Microbiol. 10 (2): 85-90.
- •Gonzalez, I., Garcia, T., Antolin, A., Hernandez, P. E., and Martin, R. (2000). Development of a combined PCRculture technique for the rapid detection of *Arcobacter* spp. in chicken meat. Letters Appl. Microb. 30(3): 207-212. https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2000.00696.x
- Gude, A., Hillman, T., Helps, C., Allen, V., and Corry, J. (2005). Ecology of *Arcobacter* species in chicken rearing and processing. Letters Appl. Microbiol. 41(1):82-87. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2005.01708.x
- Harmon, K. M., and Wesley, I. V. (1997). Multiplex PCR for the identification of *Arcobacter* and differentiation of *Arcobacter* butzleri from other arcobacters. Vet. Microbial. 58(2-4), 215-227. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(97)00151-X
- Hausdorf, L., Neumann, M., Bergmann, I., Sobiella, K., Mundt, K., Fröhling, A., and Klocke, M. (2013). Occurrence and genetic diversity of *Arcobacter* spp. in a spinach-processing plant and evaluation of two *Arcobacter*-specific quantitative PCR assays. Syst. Appl. Microbial. 36(4): 235-243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2013.02.003

- •Ho, H. T., Lipman, L. J., and Gaastra, W. (2006). *Arcobacter*, what is known and unknown about a potential foodborne zoonotic agent! Vet. Microbiol. 115(1): 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.03.004
- Houf, K., and Stephan, R. (2007). Isolation and characterization of the emerging foodborn pathogen *Arcobacter* from human stool. J. Microbiol. Method. 68(2): 408-413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2006.09.020
- Houf, K., De Smet, S., Baré, J., and Daminet, S. (2008). Dogs as carriers of the emerging pathogen *Arcobacter*. Vet. Microbiol. 130(1): 208-213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. vetmic.2008.01.006
- Houf, K., De Zutter, L., Verbeke, B., Van Hoof, J., and Vandamme, P. (2003). Molecular characterization of *Arcobacter* isolates collected in a poultry slaughterhouse. *J of Food Protection*, 66(3): 364-369. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-66.3.364
- •Houf, K., Devriese, L. A., Haesebrouck, F., Vandenberg, O., Butzler, J.-P., Hoof, J. V., and Vandamme, P. (2004). Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Arcobacter butzleri* and *Arcobacter cryaerophilus* strains isolated from humans and broilers. *Microbial Drug Resist*, 10(3): 243-247. https://doi.org/10.1089/1076629041939337
- •Houf, K., Devriese, L. A., Van Hoof, J., and Vandamme, P. (2001). Susceptibility of *Arcobacter butzleri*, *Arcobacter cryaerophilus*, and *Arcobacter skirrowii* to antimicrobial agents used in selective media. *J of Clinic Microbiol*, 39(4): 1654-1656. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.4.1654-1656.2001
- Houf, K., On, S. L., Coenye, T., Debruyne, L., De Smet, S., and Vandamme, P. (2009). Arcobacter thereius sp. nov., isolated from pigs and ducks. Intl J of Syst and Evol Microbiol, 59(10): 2599-2604. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.006650-0
- Hurtado, A., and Owen, R. J. (1997). A molecular scheme based on 23S rRNA gene polymorphisms for rapid identification of *Campylobacter* and *Arcobacter* species. *Jof ClinicMicrobiol*, 35(9): 2401-2404.
- Hyytia-Trees, E. K., Cooper, K., Ribot, E. M., & Gerner-Smidt, P. (2007). Recent developments and future prospects in subtyping of foodborne bacterial pathogens. Future Microbiology, 2, 175-185. https://doi.org/10.2217/17460913.2.2.175
- Johnson, L. G., and Murano, E. A. (1999). Development of a new medium for the isolation of *Arcobacter* spp. *Jof Food Protection*®, 62(5): 456-462. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-62.5.456
- Kabeya, H., Maruyama, S., Morita, Y., Ohsuga, T., Ozawa, S., Kobayashi, Y., Mikami, T. (2004). Prevalence of *Arcobacter* species in retail meats and antimicrobial susceptibility of the isolates in Japan. *Intl J of Food Microbiol*, 90(3): 303-308. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(03)00322-2
- Kayman, T., Abay, S., Hizlisoy, H., Atabay, H. İ., Diker, K. S., and Aydin, F. (2012). Emerging pathogen *Arcobacter* spp. in acute gastroenteritis: molecular identification, antibiotic susceptibilities and genotyping of the isolated *Arcobacters. J of Med Microbiol*, 61(10): 1439-1444. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.044594-0
- Kiehlbauch, J., Brenner, D., Nicholson, M., Baker, C., Patton, C., Steigerwalt, A., and Wachsmuth, I. (1991). Campylobacter butzleri sp. nov. isolated from humans and animals with diarrheal illness. J of Clinic Microbiol, 29(2): 376-385.
- Kim, H. M., Hwang, C. Y., and Cho, B. C. (2010). *Arcobacter marinus* sp. nov. *Intl J of Syst and Evol Microbiol*, 60(3): 531-536. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.007740-0



- Lehner, A., Tasara, T., and Stephan, R. (2005). Relevant aspects of *Arcobacter* spp as potential foodborne pathogen. *Intl J Food Microbiol*, 102(2): 127-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.03.003
- Merga, J., Leatherbarrow, A., Winstanley, C., Bennett, M., Hart, C., Miller, W., and Williams, N. (2011). Comparison of *Arcobacter* Isolation Methods, and Diversity of *Arcobacter* spp. in Cheshire, United Kingdom. *Applied and Environ Microbiol*, 77(5): 1646-1650. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01964-10
- Miller, W. G., Wesley, I. V., On, S. L. W., Houf, K., Megraud, F., Wang, G., et al. (2009). First multi-locus sequence typing scheme for *Arcobacter* spp. BMC Microbiology, 9, 196. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-9-196
- •Moreno, Y., Botella, S., Alonso, J. L., Ferrús, M. A., Hernández, M., and Hernández, J. (2003). Specific detection of *Arcobacter* and *Campylobacter* strains in water and sewage by PCR and fluorescent in situ hybridization. *Applied and EnvironMicrobiol*, 69(2): 1181-1186. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.2.1181-1186.2003
- •Morita, Y., Maruyama, S., Kabeya, H., Boonmar, S., Nimsuphan, B., Nagai, A., Kimura, H. (2004). Isolation and phylogenetic analysis of *Arcobacter* spp. in ground chicken meat and environmental water in Japan and Thailand. *Microbiol and Immunol*, 48(7): 527. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1348-0421.2004.tb03548.x
- On, S. (1996). Identification methods for campylobacters, helicobacters, and related organisms. *Clinic Microbiol Revi*, 9(3): 405-422. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-9558-5-42
- •Öngör, H., Cetinkaya, B., Acik, M., and Atabay, H. (2004). Investigation of arcobacters in meat and faecal samples of clinically healthy cattle in Turkey. Letters in Applied Microbiol, 38(4): 339-344. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2004.01494.x
- Patyal, A., Rathore, R. S., Mohan, H. V., Dhama, K. and Kumar, A. (2011). Prevalence of *Arcobacter* spp. in humans, animals and foods of animal origin including sea food from India. *Transboundary and emerging diseases*, 58(5), 402-410. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1865-1682.2011.01221.x
- Pejchalova, M., Zabcikova, S., Silhova, L., Silha, D., Brozkova, I., and Haslova, M. (2016). Presence of *Arcobacter* species in pet cats and dogs in the Czech Republic. *Veterinarni Medicina*, 61(8):449-455. https://doi.org/10.17221/273/2015-VETMED
- Petersen, R. F., Harrington, C. S., Kortegaard, H. E., and On, S. (2007). A PCR-DGGE method for detection and identification of *Campylobacter*, *Helicobacter*, *Arcobacter* and related *Epsilobacteria* and its application to saliva samples from humans and domestic pets. *J of Applied Microbiol*, 103(6):2601-2615. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03515.x
- Phillips, C. (2001). Arcobacter spp in food: isolation, identification and control. Trends in Food ScieandTechnol, 12(8): 263-275. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244(01)00090-5
- Prouzet-Mauleon, V., Labadi, L., Bouges, N., Menard, A., & Megraud, F. (2006). Arcobacter butzleri: underestimated entro-pathogen. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 12, 307-309. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1202.050570
- Quinones, B., Parker, C. T., Janda Jr., J. M., Miller, W. G., & Mandrell, R. E. (2007). Detection and genotyping of *Arcobacter* and *Campylobacter* isolates from retail chicken samples by use of DNA oligonucleotide arrays. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 73, 3645-3655. https://doi.

• Rahimi, E. (2014). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Arcobacter* species isolated from poultry meat in Iran. *British poult scie*, 55(2): 174-180. https://doi.org/10.1080/0007166 8.2013.878783

org/10.1128/AEM.02984-06

- Ramees, T. P., Rathore, R. S., Bagalkot, P. S., Mohan, H. V., Kumar, A., and Dhama, K. (2014). Detection of *Arcobacter butzleri* and *Arcobacter cryaerophilus* in Clinical Samples of Humans and Foods of Animal Origin by Cultural and Multiplex PCR Based Methods. *Asian Jof Animal and Vet Advanc*, 9(4). https://doi.org/10.3923/ajava.2014.243.252
- Rivas, L., Fegan, N., and Vanderlinde, P. (2004). Isolation and characterisation of *Arcobacter butzleri* from meat. *Intl J Food Microbiol*, 91(1): 31-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(03)00328-3
- Schroeder-Tucker, L., Wesley, I. V., Kiehlbauch, J. A., Larson, D. J., Thomas, L. A., and Erickson, G. A. (1996). Phenotypic and ribosomal RNA characterization of *Arcobacter* species isolated from porcine aborted fetuses. *J of VetDiagnostic Investi*, 8(2): 186-195. https://doi.org/10.1177/104063879600800208
- Scullion, R., Harrington, C. S., and Madden, R. H. (2004). A comparison of three methods for the isolation of *Arcobacter* spp. from retail raw poultry in Northern Ireland. *J of Food Protect*, 67(4): 799-804. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-67.4.799
- Shah, A., Saleha, A., Zunita, Z., Cheah, Y., Murugaiyah, M., and Korejo, N. (2012). Genetic characterization of *Arcobacter* isolates from various sources. *Vet. Microbiol*, 160(3): 355-361 .https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.05.037
- •Shah, A., Saleha, A., Zunita, Z., Murugaiyah, M., Aliyu, A., & Jafri, N. (2013). Prevalence, distribution and antibiotic resistance of emergent *Arcobacter* spp. from clinically healthy cattle and goats. *Transbound and Emerg Dis*, 60(1): 9-16. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1865-1682.2012.01311.x
- Son, I. (2005). Prevalence, genetic diversity, and antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Arcobacter* and *Campylobacter* on broiler carcasses during processing. UGA.
- •Son, I., Englen, M. D., Berrang, M. E., Fedorka-Cray, P. J., and Harrison, M. A. (2007). Antimicrobial resistance of *Arcobacter* and *Campylobacter* from broiler carcasses. *Intl J of Antimicrob Agents*, 29(4): 451-455.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.10.016
- •Takahara, R., Shiono, M., Matsuda, K., Matsumoto, T., Kishi, H., Hoshino, T., and Ishioka, T. (2008). Prevalence of Campylobacter, Arcobacter, and Salmonella spp. in captured dogs in Gunma Prefecture, Japan. *Journal of the Japan Veterinary Medical Association (Japan)*.
- Talay, F., Molva, C., and Atabay, H. I. (2016). Isolation and identification of *Arcobacter* species from environmental and drinking water samples. *Folia microbiologica*, 1-6. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12223-016-0460-0
- Tenkate, T., and Stafford, R. (2001). Risk factors for Campylobacter infection in infants and young children: a matched case-control study. Epidemiol and Infect, 127(03): 399-404. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268801006306
- •Ünver, A., Atabay, H. I., Şahin, M., and Çelebi, Ö. (2013). Antimicrobial Susceptibilities Of Various *Arcobacter* Species. *Turkish J of Med Scie*, 43(4): 548-552. https://doi.org/10.3906/sag-1207-115
- Vandenberg, O., Dediste, A., Houf, K., Ibekwem, S., Souayah, H., Cadranel, S., Vandamme, P. (2004). Arcobacter species in humans. Emerg Infect Dis, 10(10):1863. https://doi. org/10.3201/eid1010.040241



- Vogelaers, D., Van Hende, J., and Houf, K. (2014). Prevalence of *Arcobacter* species among humans, Belgium, 2008-2013. *Emerging infect dis*, 20(10):1731-1734.
- Wegener, H. C. (2012). Antibiotic resistance—Linking human and animal health. In *Improving Food Safety Through A One Health Approach: Workshop Summary* (p. 331). National Academies Press.
- •Wesley, I., Schroeder-Tucker, L., Baetz, A., Dewhirst, F., and Paster, B. (1995). *Arcobacter*-specific and *Arcobacter butzleri*-specific 16S rRNA-based DNA probes. *J of Clin Microbiol*, 33(7): 1691-1698.
- •Whiteduck-Léveillée, K., Whiteduck-Léveillée, J., Cloutier, M., Tambong, J. T., Xu, R., Topp, E., and Lapen, D. R. (2015). Arcobacter lanthieri sp. nov., isolated from pig and dairy cattle manure. Intl J of syst and evol microbiol, 65(8):2709-2716. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000318
- Zacharow, I., Bystroń, J., Wałecka-Zacharska, E., Podkowik, M., and Bania, J. (2015). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Arcobacter butzleri* and *Arcobacter cryaerophilus* isolates from retail meat in Lower Silesia region, Poland. *Polish J* of vet scie 18(1):63-69. https://doi.org/10.1515/pjvs-2015-0008

