Review Article



Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococcus:* Issues in Human Health, Animal Health, Resistant Mechanisms and the Malaysian Paradox

Yusuf Wada, Azian Binti Harun, Chan Yean Yean, Abdul Rahman Zaidah*

Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia.

Abstract | *Enterococcus* is a major constituent of the intestinal flora and environment. They are a hardy organism and can survive harsh prevailing environmental factors and hosts. Over time, the constant and heavy usage of antibiotics like vancomycin in treating infection caused by them has resulted in their development of resistance and virulent characteristics. VRE infections in animals are uncommon, and even so in pets. The reverse is, however, the case with human VRE infection. VRE are of great importance in public health, animal and medical health. The adaptability and complexity of the VRE genes have resulted in the appearance of resistant species in a wide range of environment and hosts which will invariably allow the host and environment to act as a reservoir. This article, therefore, discusses and reviews VRE, its phenotypic and genotypic characteristics, antimicrobial resistance, virulence factors and zoonotic potential. Finally, it sheds light on the situation of VRE in Malaysia. This would be the first review to look at VRE in Malaysia. Continuous surveillance is required as these VRE could evolve into a multidrug-resistant strain.

Keywords | Vancomycin-resistant enterococcus, Vancomycin-resistant genes, public health, Antimicrobial resistance, Malaysian VRE, Animal health

Received | June 22, 2018; Accepted | August 24, 2019; Published | November 01, 2019

*Correspondence | Abdul Rahman Zaidah, Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia; Email: drzaidah@usm.my

Citation | Wada Y, Harun AB, Yean CY, Zaidah AR (2019). Vancomycin-resistant *enterococcus:* issues in human health, animal health, resistant mechanisms and the malaysian paradox. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 7(11): 1021-1034.

DOI | http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2019/7.11.1021.1034

ISSN (Online) | 2307-8316; ISSN (Print) | 2309-3331

Copyright © 2019 Zaidah et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Interococcus occur naturally in the surroundings and are Lan important constituents of the gut microbes in humans and animals (van Schaik and Willems, 2010; Boehm and Sassoubre, 2014). Enterococcus species detected till date are over 50 (Bonacina et al., 2016; Guzman et al., 2016). In human guts, Enterococcus faecalis occur mostly followed by E. faecium while in livestock, E. faecium is the major species followed by E. faecalis, E. cecorum and sometimes E. hirae (Klein, 2003; Guzman et al., 2016). Urinary tract infections, inflammation of the endocardium, and blood infection are linked to Enterococci and these conditions are particularly worse in immunocompromised individuals (Lebreton et al., 2014; Neelakanta et al., 2015). Nosocomial and drug-resistant infections are largely caused by E. faecium and E. faecalis and these pathogens are largely accountable for human vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) infections (van Schaik and Willems, 2010; Lebreton et al., 2013). The spotlight was beamed on Enterococci as a significant hospital-acquired microbe in regard to their innate resistance to various antibiotics, the rate at which they become highly infectious and the factors responsible for their multidrug resistance (Balli et al., 2014; Bourgeois-Nicolaos et al., 2014; Kristich et al., 2014). Epidemiological reports have continued to implicate VRE in regards to its effect on health, the economy and continuous infection in humans (Byappanahalli et al., 2012; Shaghaghian et al., 2012) following to their earliest documentation in the 80s (Leclercq et al., 1988; Uttley et al., 1988). Though VRE is hardly seen in pets, they rarely cause infection in animals (Willems et al., 2011).

Studies have suggested that *Enterococcus* resistant to vancomycin act as a reservoir and source of resistant genes. This article, therefore, discusses and reviews VRE, its phenotypic and genotypic characteristics, antimicrobial resistance, virulence factors and zoonotic potential. Finally, it sheds



light on the situation of VRE in Malaysia. This would be the first review to look at VRE in Malaysia.

MECHANISM OF VANCOMYCIN RESISTANCE IN ENTEROCOCCI

Vancomycin-resistant occur due to changes in the manner of the synthesis of peptidoglycan in enterococci leading to the replacement of D-Alanine-D-Alanine with D-Alanine-D-Lactate or D- Alanine-D-Serine (Arthur and Quintiliani, 2001; Courvalin, 2006) invariably resulting in an array of manifestation of glycopeptide resistance. The chances of drugs with glycopeptide origin to bind are far reduced when a change occurs in the D-Ala-D-Lac and $\ensuremath{\text{D-Ala-D-Ser}}$ as compared to $\ensuremath{\text{D-Ala-D-Ala}}$ (Sujatha and Praharaj, 2012). These changes will result in different manifestation in the forms of resistance of glycopeptide. These changes are brought about by the presence of various genetic elements carried on motile genetic components and encoded area of the chromosome of various species of Enterococcus (Kristich et al., 2014). Differences in the forms of Vancomycin-resistant genes and phenotypic characteristics are as a result of this phenomenon which allows us to understand the various levels at which glycopeptides resistance occur which could either be low level or high level (Kramer et al., 2018).

VANCOMYCIN-RESISTANT GENES

For Enterococci, the vancomycin-resistant genes are referred to as vanA, vanB, vanC, vanD, vanE, vanG, vanL, vanM, and vanN. The vanF resistant gene was identified in Paenibacillus popilliae (Patel et al., 2000). All these genes are peculiar in the way they are resistant to antimicrobials especially the glycopeptide groups, how they move from one gene to the other and how they can stimulate the desired effect (Xu et al., 2010; Lebreton et al., 2011).

The main culprit for a lot of VRE infections and colonization in humans is *vanA* and *vanB* (Werner et al., 2008) isolated from the environment and infected animals. The infection and colonization occurs as a result of an alteration in the synthesis of peptidoglycan caused by mobile genetic elements leading to the proliferation of *Enterococcus*. These genes are spread all over the world and are very complex (Ben Braïek and Smaoui, 2019).

Transposons (Tn) are known as jumping genes and Tn1546 is peculiar to vanA (Hegstad et al., 2010). Also peculiar to vanA are orf1 and orf2 responsible for transposition and a gene (vanZ) for resistance to teicoplanin (Werner et al., 2011). There are a duo of promoters that exist and are solely accountable for the transcription of the nucleotide sequence of vanA. For vanR and vanS which are the genes for response regulator and sensor kinase respectively, the same promoter is responsible for their transcription while

the other promoter takes care of other genes (Kristich et al., 2014).

A variant of *vanB* tagged as *vanB1-3* has been described as having a high vancomycin resistance to Enterococci while the most prevalent of this variant occurring globally is the *vanB-2* (Santona et al., 2018). The genotypic makeup of a normal *vanB* and *vanA* are alike.

The acquiring and/or substitution of Jumping genes like Tn1547, Tn1549, and Tn5382 are responsible for the transposition of *vanB* resistant genes (López et al., 2009) and one of these genes Tn1549, which is a chromosomal one and rarely seen on plasmids (Werner et al., 2012), is known to occur among other gram-positive bacteria and considered the *vanB* type enterococci that occur most (Tsvetkova et al., 2010). Like the promoters of *vanA*, *vanB* promoters are also two and transcribe seven nucleotide sequences. Their differences lie in the fact that *vanB* possesses *vanRB* and *vanSB* a dual component signaling system and unlike *vanA* encodes *vanH*, *vanW*, *vanX*, *vanY* and D-Ala-D-Ala lig (Kristich et al., 2014).

Unlike the *vanA* and *vanB*, *vanC* is considered as having a lower virulence with different genetic makeup (Reynolds and Courvalin, 2005; Naser et al., 2006). In *E. flavescens, E. gallinarum* and *E. casseliflavus*, the *vanC-1-3* variant forms are occasionally seen as their distinguishing features and these variant forms are very much present within these species (Cetinkaya et al., 2000). The similar *vanC-2* and *vanC-1* are constituents in *E. casseliflavus* and *E. gallinarum* respectively (Courvalin, 2006) while the *vanC-4* has 93–95% nucleotide similarity with *vanC-2* and *vanC-3* (Naser et al., 2006).

The *vanD* is rarely reported but found in the *vanC E. gallinarum* (Boyd et al., 2006). It is purely chromosomal and likened to *vanA* and *vanB* (Boyd et al., 2004). The *vanD* typify an array of mutations resulting in extensive different variant forms and phenotypes that are resistant (Depardieu et al., 2009).

Though detected among small isolates of *E. faecalis* in North America and Australia (Abadía-Patiño et al., 2004), the *vanE* is similar to *vanC1* and found in *E. gallinarum*. The transferability of *vanE* is still sketchy and acquiring the *vanE* would mean that, a mutation would have occurred within the integrase genes of *vanE E. faecalis* (Ben Braïek and Smaoui, 2019)

A pair of variant forms of *vanG* from *E. faecalis* were discovered and these clusters were subsequently reported (Boyd et al., 2006) as this variant forms can be transferred through a plasmid unlike *vanE*, *vanC* vanL and *vanN*.

It is proposed that vanL gene is chromosomal (Boyd et al., 2008) as they do not possess the power to transfer or conjugate. They, however, possess about 50% nucleotide similarity to the ligases of vanC and vanE. On the other hand, vanM is very identical to vanA, -B and -D and had been shown to possess the transfer and conjugation ability in an in-vitro study in E. faecium (Xu et al., 2010).

The *vanN* are unequalled as solely in *E. faecium* can they be transferred through mobile genetic element. They are also identical to *vanG* and had only been lately discovered and reported from *E. faecium* making them the newest *E. faecium* gene cluster (Lebreton et al., 2011).

Of all the vancomycin-resistant genes of enterococci, vanA, vanB, and vanC are the most resistant to vancomycin and teicoplanin with vanA having more virulence (Ahmed and Baptiste, 2018). The ability of these VRE to cause infection is dependent upon their ability to elicit resistance and virulence characteristics and this is irrespective of the genes they tend to express (Szakacs et al., 2014). Often regarded as the species of enterococci with motility, E. gallinarum/ casseliflavus mainly harbours the vanC which is known to cause the least clinical infections in humans with the least resistance (Cetinkaya et al., 2000; Zirakzadeh and Patel, 2006). A lot of human VRE infection especially those caused by vanC-type E. gallinarum/casseliflavus have shown to be nosocomial with disastrous tendencies as they do not react to treatment (Koganemaru & Hitomi, 2008). This is because VRE caused by vanC is on the rise and unlike the vanA and vanB, the chances of death caused by vanC and its worldwide occurrence is low (Tan et al., 2010).

Over time, *E. gallinarum vanC* has been isolated from hospital, livestock as well as humans possessing *vanC-1* and *vanA* gene clusters as *E. gallinarum/casseliflavus* has been reported to harbor *vanA*, *-B* and *-D* (Haenni et al., 2009; Neves et al., 2009) with a high degree of resistance to linezolid and vancomycin (Yasliani et al., 2009; Praharaj et al., 2013). This is so as *E. casseliflavus/gallinarum* is known to possess resistant inducible genes of *vanC* with *vanC* being elicited constitutively (Panesso et al., 2005).

VIRULENCE GENES/FACTORS

The role virulence factors play in the pathogenicity of Enterococcus cannot be overemphasized. A lot of study has been carried on these factors over the years. The commonest of the virulence factors are adhesion to collagen (ace, acm), extracellular surface protein (esp), aggregation substances (agg, asa1), adhesion like endocarditis antigens (efaAfs and efaAfm), cytolysin (cyl), gelatinase (gelE) and hyaluronidase (byl) (Ben Braïek and Smaoui, 2019).

The aggregation substances (agg and asa1) produces Enterococcus surface protein which is of great help during reproduction in bacteria by forming aggregate (Chajęcka-Wierzchowska et al., 2017). It not only links epithelial cells to virulent characteristics and resistant determinants via plasmid exchange and colonization but also attaches to the network of extracellular proteins (Wagner et al., 2018). Different studies have provided evidence that *E. faecalis* harbors the agg gene most (Guzman Prieto et al., 2016; Lins et al., 2019; Farman et al., 2019).

Cytolysin or β -haemolysin is composed mostly of a toxin made up of peptide which it uses to digest target cells in the cytoplasm by the formation of pores (Price et al., 2019). Cytolysin produced during enterococcal infection causes fivefold mortality than an enterococcal infection not producing cytolysin (Delaplain et al., 2019).

Gelatinase hydrolyses collagen, bioactive peptides and gelatin. It as an extracellular Zn-metallo-endopeptidase which causes substantial damage to host tissue by splitting fibrin resulting in the dissemination of *Enterococcus* specifically *E. faecalis* (Farman et al., 2019) and involved in biofilm formation (Aladarose et al., 2019).

Not only does the extracellular surface protein encourages colonization, found in a very protected region of the chromosome and occur more in *E. faecium*, it is also engaged in eukaryotic cells attachment and evasion of the immune response of the host (Lee et al., 2019; Song et al., 2019).

The adhesion to collagen genes *ace* is found in *E. faecalis* while the *acm* in found in *E. faecium*. They both have the tendency to attach to types I and IV collagen with *acm* possessing an extra ability to attach to laminin and belonging to the subfamily of bacterial adhesions surface called Microbial Surface Components Recognizing Adhesive Matrix Molecules (MSCRAMM) (Fiore et al., 2019).

The *efaA* are associated with endocarditis with *efaAfs* commonly found in *E. faecalis* and *efaAfm* found in *E. faecium* (Fiore et al., 2019).

The *sag* and *scm* genes are rarely found in enterococci but when they are found, they are commonly described in *E. faecium* (Kim et al., 2019). In addition, the *ebp* and *bee* gene known to promote biofilm formation are mostly described in *E. faecalis* (Estela Gaitán, 2019).

The *byl* virulent factor encodes hyaluronidase which hydrolyze hyaluronic acid with a possible role in translocation and associated with antibiotic resistance genes and pilin genes on the plasmid (Tatsing et al., 2019).

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF VRE

E. faecium/faecalis are the main sources of multidrug and glycopeptide resistance as they are the commonest of the enterococci species in which their resistant genes are commonly described (Gilmore et al., 2013; Kramer et al., 2018). Emaneini et al. (2016), in their review, observed a prevalence of 8–13% of human VRE resulting from E. faecalis in Iran and some countries in Europe. Similarly, in Southeast Asia, Europe, North America and Latin America, vanA and vanB are isolated mostly from E. faecium (Mendes et al., 2016; Jahansepas et al., 2018).

(Monteserin and Larson, 2016) and Kristich et al. (2014) in their study observed that vanA is occasionally described in E. durans/hira, and E. gallinarum, but most often described from E. faecium/faecalis in humans as well as livestock globally. This was further seen in research conducted by Jahansepas et al. (2018) where the prevalence of VRE was 19% and the vanA resistant genes were carried mostly by *E. faecium* in Iran. The occurrence of *vanB* is however sparse globally but has been reported more in countries like Germany, Poland and Sweden (Bender et al., 2016; Sadowy, 2018). These resistant genes differential occurrence is linked to the differences in the use of antibiotics by these different countries. This is seen in Australia where the resistant gene has never been isolated from animal or often from the feces of human and it is the most common human E. faecium (R. S. Lee et al., 2018).

It is of importance to note that VRE genes only from the *Enterococcus* motile species do not necessarily predict resistance to glycopeptides (Beukers et al., 2017). To buttress this point, in a study by Özsoy et al. (2017), they found *vanB* present in an anaerobic bacteria from the feces of humans suggesting *vanB* in this bacteria as a possible origin of enterococcus resistance. In another study by Kateete et al. (2019), they observe the presence of *vanB* in a *vanC* species of *enterococcus*. Similarly, Sun et al. (2014) and Flipse et al. (2019) reported that in humans, *vanC* was identified in *E. faecium/faecalis*.

Restriction endonuclease analysis, whole genome sequencing, multi-locus sequence typing, amplified fragment length polymorphism, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and CRISPR are important in the typing of enterococci as well as determining clonality and phylogenetic relationships. These tools have successfully categorized *E. faecium* into clades A and B (Lebreton et al., 2013; Hullahalli et al., 2015). Clade A usually involve hospital infection related to humans and subdivided into two; that which is a species in a hospital during an epidemic referred to as A1 and that which occurs in livestock and humans in an unpredictable manner and clade B which are mostly found within the community and are not hospital-based (Mikalsen et al., 2015; Buultjens et al., 2017).

The lineage A1 is also known as CC17 (Clonal Complex 17) of *E. faecium* which is a universal clone suited for hospital and responsible for gut colonization. This A1 clade is known to possess more polypeptide resistance and virulence factors like the hyaluronidase gene (hyl), the enterococcal surface protein (esp) and the IS16 insertion-element which than the non-A1 clade (Guzman Prieto et al., 2016). The A1 lineage also possesses sequence types (ST) that are characterized worldwide such as the ST17 and its lineage like the ST174, ST78, ST16, ST64 and ST63 (Mikalsen et al., 2015).

In contrast, the clones of *E. faecalis* like CC87, CC2 as well as CC40 are not distributed worldwide, more constricted and are community and hospital-based. The appearance of CC78 and particularly ST117 within this complex which is isolated more from European hospitals (Tedim et al., 2017) is due to the worldwide increase in *E. faecium* multidrug-resistant (MDR) isolates.

A study by Buultjens et al. (2017) observed upward surge in VanB VRE and colonization in the blood as a result of the substitution of the abundant ST17 by ST203 which had led to a modification in the occurrence and distribution of VRE in Australia. This modification is also as a result of the discovery of Tn1549 and forty other genes by genomic from ST203.

In the African context, a study by Dziri et al. (2016) discovered a new clone ST90 not related to the CC17 and reported a prevalence of 5% VanA - *E. faecium* from nosocomial sources in Tunisia lacking the *hyl* gene but possessing all other virulent genes. In another study by Elhani et al. (2014) in Tunisia, *vanA E. faecium* were found to harbor ST80 and ST18 of CC17 origin and also only IS16 element were present.

Enterococcus faecalis sequence types ST87, ST40, ST28, ST21, ST16, ST9 and ST6 are hospital-related and outbreak sequence types that are known to be dominant (Quiñones et al., 2018) and are present in the community, food and livestock (Ahmed and Baptiste, 2018) unlike E. faecium where the hospital-related sequence types are not found in the community (Leong et al., 2018).

VRE IN ANIMALS AND PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERNS

In Europe and other countries in the nineties, a glycopeptide compound avoparcin usage as supplement to improve growth was responsible for the spread of VRE in livestock production (Li et al., 2019). The spread of VRE is not however only tied to their occurrence in the nosocomial settings but in the use as avoparcin as the resistant genes of VRE particularly vanA was isolated in meat, community sewage as well as animal feces (Young et al., 2016; Li et al., 2019). However, avoparcin usage was never reported in

North America yet, in 2008, VRE was detected in animal (Kristich et al., 2014).

VRE has been incriminated as the bulk of nosocomial infection as a result of the vancomycin therapy in North America (Adams et al., 2016) while in Australia, the broad use of avoparcin and vancomycin in the livestock industry and humans respectively, is responsible for the occurrence of VRE (Guzman Prieto et al., 2016).

There was an upward surge in VRE cases in Europe ever since 1999 (Bender et al., 2016; Kampmeier et al., 2018) against the backdrop in the low occurrence of VRE in humans that are asymptomatic (Ahmed and Baptiste, 2018). In Europe, the prohibition of avoparcin took effect in 1997. Despite the prohibition, the damages that have already been caused by previous uses of avoparcin cannot be overlooked (Wurster et al., 2016; García-Solache and Rice, 2019). Several years following the prohibition, isolates of VRE were still detected in some farms in Europe. For instance, in the study of Kruse et al. (1999) in Norway, isolates of VRE were detected easily in poultry that used avoparcin before the prohibition while none were detected from swine and poultry after the prohibition. In another study by Borgen et al. (2000) and Borgen et al. (2001), VRE were detected in poultry farms (99% prior and 11% after) and farmers (18% prior and 1% after) that used avoparcin prior and before the prohibition respectively. Just recently, a study by Bortolaia et al. (2015) reported a 47% prevalence of VRE vanA resistant genes in poultry in Denmark. Even though the occurrence of VRE decreased significantly in Italy, VRE was still reported in livestock, meat either poultry or pork and human feces (Del Grosso et al., 2015). Similar observations were made in Germany (Remschmidt et al., 2018), Taiwan (Kuo et al., 2018), Malaysia (Daniel et al., 2017), China (Sun et al., 2019) and Switzerland (Buetti et al., 2019). VRE has been isolated from cattle (Tatsing and Ateba, 2019) and bovine feces (Beukers et al., 2017).

Aside from farm animals, VRE prevalence has been reported in dogs (Ossiprandi and Zerbini, 2015; Pasotto et al., 2016) and cats in a study by Bağcigil et al. (2016), this was however after the prohibition of avoparcin. In Eastern European countries like Hungary, Poland and Italy, VRE vanA resistant gene has been detected in horses (Pomba et al., 2016).

Occurrences of VRE following the prohibition of avoparcin, has triggered uncertainty about its transmission route. This is evident as avoparcin had never been reported to be used in animal in the United States but the hospital-acquired VRE in the United States of America is higher than in Europe (Adams et al., 2016). Over the years, the quest to establish the transmission route of VRE is almost

impossible. However several studies had tried to establish correlation. For instance, Hermanovská et al. (2016) reported a similarity in the VRE isolated from animals and humans but stated that this similarity is as a result of contact between human and animal and not by eating the product. Another study by Abdelhady and Mishra, (2019) and Yamanaka et al. (2019) reported a short-lived infection when humans were infected with VRE isolated from animals in an experimental murine model. Molecular studies have also have reported a strong relationship between animals and humans VRE (Silva et al., 2018; Aslantaş, 2019; ISMB and Talebi, 2019). The presence of plants and wild animals acting as a source of infection indicates that the relationship that exists between the VRE of humans and animals does not show the causal relationship (García-Solache and Rice, 2019).

THE MALAYSIAN PARADOX

The first case of VRE in Malaysia go as far back as the mid-nineties and since then, VRE has continued to be isolated from different sources. Riley et al. (1996) in their study, reported the pioneer case of VRE from a bone marrow transplant patient. Ten years later, Zubaidah et al. (2006) reported the pioneer case of VRE acquired within the hospital. This was the first case of confirmed VRE isolation from a patient with renal complications. The pioneer case of community-acquired VRE specifically E. faecium was reported by Raja et al. (2005) from a patient with a buccal abscess. In a similar twist, Son et al. (1999), reported the pioneer case of VRE isolated and characterized from animals in Malaysia. Two years later, Toosa et al. (2001) reported the isolation of VRE E. faecalis from poultry. Isolation of VRE has been reported in Malaysia in farm animals and their products (Toosa et al., 2001; Ong et al., 2002; Fifadara et al., 2003; Hassan et al., 2006; Shah-Majid et al., 2007; Chan et al., 2008; Getachew et al., 2010; Getachew et al. 2012; Getachew et al. 2013; Daniel et al., 2017). VRE has also been isolated from ducks and geese (Ong et al., 2002; Shah-Majid et al., 2004), pigs (Getachew et al., 2010; Getachew et al. 2013; Tan et al., 2018) and environment (Chan et al., 2008; Dada et al., 2013; Daniel et al., 2017). VRE has also been detected in poultry farmers (Getachew et al., 2012) and pig farmers (Tan et al., 2018). As if that is not enough, VRE has also been isolated within the hospital environment (Raja et al., 2005; Zubaidah et al., 2006; Ibrahim et al., 2011; Ibrahim et al., 2012; Weng et al., 2013; Mohamed et al., 2015; Lim et al., 2017; Daniel et al., 2017).

The use of avoparcin and vancomycin in Malaysia has been prohibited to mitigate the spread or prevalence of VRE by the National Pharmaceuticals Regulatory Agency (NPRA) and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS). Monitoring of veterinary drug residues including antibiotics in animal feed has been implemented by DVS since the year

2013 regarding EEC Directive 1990. This will invariably involve the monitoring of two antibiotic groups; group A which consist of the banned substance like Avoparcin, Chloramphenicol, vancomycin and group B which consist of drugs with MRLs like tetracycline (NPRA, 2014).

What has brought VRE to the limelight in Malaysia is not only because of its crucial public health concerns but of its impact on the livestock sector of the economy (Fifadara et al., 2003; Hassan et al., 2006; Getachew et al., 2010; Getachew et al., 2012).

Concerning VRE within farm animals and their products in Malaysia, Fifadara et al. (2003) reported the presence of vanA genes isolated from all E. faecalis 22 vancomycin-resistant isolates from frozen beef. Another study by Ong et al. (2002) reported the isolation of vanC-3 gene E. flavescens. This study reported a low prevalence of VRE (2.0%) from feces of duck, chicken and geese in a Kuala Lumpur wet market. Similarly, Chan et al. (2008) also reported a low prevalence of VRE 1.3% from poultry farms in Malaysia and three VanA each belonging to *E. faecium*/ faecalis and E. gallinarum were identified. Interestingly, this study reported a 19.5% prevalence of enterococci resistant to the bifunctional aminoglycoside. VanB was for the very first time isolated from poultry in Malaysia by Yew et al. (2006). This study, however, reported a very high prevalence of VRE 44% (1658/3710).

Among farmers working in either piggery or poultry, the prevalence of VRE, the distribution of the resistant genes and virulence genes has been fluctuating. For instance, Getachew et al. (2012) in their study on poultry farm workers reported a VRE prevalence of 9.4% most of which were isolated from *E. faecalis/faecium* and *E. gallinarum*. In most of this isolates, VanA was isolated while VanB was only isolated from a single isolate and the esp and gelE genes were isolated from *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* respectively. In another study, this time in pig farmers, Tan et al. (2018) reported that the farmers mostly carries *E. faecium/faecalis*. Unlike the study of Getachew et al. (2012), where resistant genes were isolated, the isolates in this study were all susceptible to vancomycin. They, however, harbour virulent genes like efa, asaI, gelE, esp, cyl and ace with efa and asaI occurring most in *E. faecalis/faecium*.

A lot of studies in Malaysia has reported the occurrence of VRE in nosocomial setting. As earlier stated, Zubaidah et al. (2006) reported the pioneer case of VRE acquired within the hospital. This would become the pioneer case of confirmed VRE isolation from a patient with renal complications. This study isolated *E. faecium* seemingly possessing *vanA*. Ten years earlier, Riley et al. (1996) in their study, reported the pioneer case of VRE from a bone marrow transplant patient. Another study by Ibrahim et al.

(2011) reported 1% VRE cases isolated from E. faecium, E. avium and E. faecalis. These VRE all possess the vanA gene and four and two of the VRE were isolated from the blood and urine of the patients respectively. Contrary to all the studies cited above within the hospital environment, Weng et al. (2013) in their study did not report any VRE, they however isolated *E. faecalis/faecium* from body fluids, blood, urine and pus. In another study in a hospital, though retrospective in nature, Mohamed et al. (2015) reported a VRE prevalence of 2.88% isolated from E. faecium. Besides, these VRE isolates possess the vanA gene. In another hospital in Malaysia, four VRE from E. faecium was isolated from calamitous cases within the hospital (Lim et al., 2017). Daniel et al. (2017) in their study reported no VRE isolates from *E. faecalis*. They, however, reported the presence of MDR E. faecalis. In their study, E. faecalis isolates were also shown to possess the virulent gene esp the most followed by asaI.

VRE has been isolated from the environment, thus implicating it in the stabilization of VRE in the ecosystem. It is therefore thought to be a reservoir for VRE. Chan et al. (2008) in their study in Kelantan, Malaysia reported a VRE prevalence of 4% (1/25) from poultry drinking water. These VRE was isolated from *E. faecalis* and possess the vanA gene. Beeches are also not left out as Dada et al. (2013) reported the isolation of a large number of E. faecalis/faecium from two beaches in Malaysia. The resistance of these isolates to vancomycin was further reported in another study by Dada et al. (2013). In this study, while they reported a VRE prevalence of 5.8% in *Enterococcus* species other than *E. faecium* and *E. faecalis*, 4.78% prevalence was reported for *E. faecium* with the least prevalence seen in *E*. faecalis. More recently, Daniel et al. (2017) in their study to determine the molecular evolution of E. faecalis from different sources reported a high prevalence of (83%) of multi-resistant E. faecalis including vancomycin from river water closely followed by wastewater (60%). Further, they reported the possession of vanA gene by an isolate from river water that did not elicit and show resistance to vancomycin.

The most commonly reported virulence genes for enterococcus are serine protease (sprE), gelatinase (gelE), accessory colonization factor (ace), hyaluronidase gene (hyl), pathogenicity islands (PAI), enterococcal surface protein (esp), aggregation substance (asaI) and cytolysin (cylA) (Jett et al., 1994; Vidana et al., 2016). Several studies in Malaysia had reported the presence of these genes. For instance, Getachew et al. (2012) in their study reported 58.3% esp from E. faecium and 78% gelE from E. faecalis among poultry farmers. Dada et al. (2013) in their study on two beaches in Malaysia reported the presence of virulent characteristics like caseinase production, hemolysis of rabbit blood, slime production, hemolytic action on horse blood and gelati-

nase. Of these virulent characteristics, haemolysis of rabbit blood (3.65%) had the lowest prevalence while the highest prevalence (15.01%) was recorded in caseinase production. Further, Al-Talib et al. (2015) reported the presence of esp, sprE, gelE, ace and PAI in their study in a Malaysian hospital. From their study, all the five virulent genes were harboured by 22.4% E. faecalis isolates in comparison to the 12.8% E. faecium isolates. The ace virulent gene from this study is the most prevalent (88%), this is closely followed by gelE (74.8%), sprE (74.3%), esp (45.5%), and PAI (30.5%). More recently, in their study on the prevalence of Multidrug-resistant Enterococcus species in pigs, pig farmers and environment, Tan et al. (2018) reported the presence of efa, asaI, gelE, esp, cyl and ace genes where biofilm was formed by 52% of the isolates. E. faecalis possess most of the efa virulent gene (90%) followed by asaI (43%) possessed by *E. faecium*.

Little is known about the genetic relatedness and distribution of clones of enterococcus in Malaysia. Few studies have however tried to bridge this gap. Getachew et al. (2013) in their study using MLST reported ST203, ST17, ST55, ST79, ST29 from E. faecium and ST4, ST6, ST87, ST108, ST274, ST244 from *E. faecalis*. The most common clonal complex from this study was CC17 though CC2 and CC87 were also reported from humans. This study, however, suggested the possible transmission route to be from humans to poultry as ST203 was identified in single poultry isolate similar to the human isolates. In addition to MLST, Lim et al. (2017) compared the genome of two calamitous cases of VRE E. faecium in a hospital where ST80 and ST203 were described and reported to belong to CC17 with ST80 reported first in this study in Malaysia. Further, PFGE was used to determine the genetic relatedness of *E. faecalis* isolates in a study by Daniel et al. (2017), where they reported sixty-three pulsotypes from three sources (environmental, clinical and animal) with each source having different pulsotypes. More recently, Tan et al. (2018) in their study used the REP-PCR and PFGE. E. faecalis was reported to possess 126 pulsotypes in three clusters (C15, 16 and 22) while E. faecium possess 35 pulsotypes in a single cluster (C2) using the PFGE. Similarly, the REP-PCR profile produced 13 special patterns of *E*. faecalis merged into 24 clusters while 11 special patterns merged into 11 clusters were produced for E. faecium. All the isolates in this study were reported from pigs and humans and were all unique to a specific region.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE THOUGHT

Enterococcus is a major constituents of the intestinal flora and environment. They are a hardy organism and can survive harsh prevailing environmental factors and hosts. Over time, the constant and heavy usage of antibiotics like vancomycin in treating infection caused by them has resulted in their development of resistance and virulent characteristics.

In animals, VRE infections are uncommon and even so in pets as illustrated only by few studies. The reverse is, however, the case with human VRE infection. The role of animals as reservoirs cannot be overemphasized, as such the surveillance of VRE should be part of every country antimicrobial surveillance program. Since it is obvious that the use of glycopeptide in animals is without any serious peril, humans should be the major user of glycopeptide.

In Malaysia, the vanA gene seems to be the most prevalent, however, the role of other resistant genes needs to be well defined. Three most reported virulent factors in Malaysia are the esp, ace and the gelE even though there are only a few studies that had reported the presence of virulent genes among enterococcus isolates. Reports on other virulent characteristics are sparse and further studies is needed to elucidate their role in the epidemiology of VRE in Malaysia. E. faecium/faecalis and E. gallinarum are the three most widely reported Enterococcus in Malaysia. Other species of Enterococcus in Malaysia have received little or no attention. Again, to understand the epidemiological status of VRE in Malaysia, extensive studies need to be done and must cut across the lesser-known species of enterococcus. As reported in other studies, the nosocomial CC17 is the predominant clonal complex in Malaysian hospital studies. To the best of our knowledge, no study in Malaysia had addressed the role of VRE in companion animals. The chance that this group of animals could act as reservoirs cannot be overemphasized and studies are therefore required to define their roles in the epidemiology of VRE. Summarily, a Meta analytical study of VRE in Malaysia would paint a true picture of the epidemiology of VRE in Malaysia.

FUNDING

This review was funded by USM RUI grant with grant number 1001/PPSP/8012259.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Wada Y conceived and wrote the review, Harun AB, Yean CY, and Rahman ZA provided critical feedback and helped shaped the review and manuscript.



- Abadía-Patiño L, Christiansen K, Bell J, Courvalin P, Périchon B (2004). VanE-type vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecalis clinical isolates from Australia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.48(12):4882–5.https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.12.4882-4885.2004 [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15561872.
- Abdelhady W, Mishra NN (2019). Comparative Efficacies of Linezolid vs. Tedizolid in an Experimental Murine Model of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcal (VRE) Bacteremia. Front Med. 6:31. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2019.00031 [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. https://www.frontiersin.org/ article/10.3389/fmed.2019.00031/full.
- Adams DJ, Eberly MD, Goudie A, Nylund CM (2016).
 Rising Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus Infections in Hospitalized Children in the United States. Hosp Pediatr. 6(7):404–11. https://doi.org/10.1542/hpeds.2015-0196
 [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27250774.
- Ahmed MO, Baptiste KE (2018). Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci: A Review of Antimicrobial Resistance Mechanisms and Perspectives of Human and Animal Health. Microb. Drug Resist. 24(5):590–606. https://doi. org/10.1089/mdr.2017.0147
- Al-Talib H, Zuraina N, Kamarudin B, Yean CY (2015). Genotypic variations of virulent genes in Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis isolated from three hospitals in Malaysia. Adv. Clin. Exp. Med. 24(1):121–127. https://doi.org/10.17219/acem/38162
- Aladarose BE, Said HS, Abdelmegeed ES (2019). Incidence of Virulence Determinants Among Enterococcal Clinical Isolates in Egypt and Its Association with Biofilm Formation. Microb. Drug Resist. 25(6):880–889. https:// doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2018.0320 [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/mdr.2018.0320.
- Arthur M, Quintiliani R (2001). Regulation of VanA- and VanB-type glycopeptide resistance in enterococci. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45(2):375–81. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.45.2.375-381.2001 [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11158729.
- Aslantaş Ö (2019). Molecular and phenotypic characterization of enterococci isolated from broiler flocks in Turkey. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 51(5):1073–1082. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11250-018-01784-z [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11250-018-01784-z.
- •Bağcigil Af, Koenhemsi L, Çelik B, Metiner K, Or Me, Ak S (2016). Kedi ve Köpek Rektal svablarından İzole Edilen Vankomisin Dirençli Enterokokların (VRE) Araştırılması. İstanbul Üniversitesi Vet. Fakültesi Derg. 42(2). https://doi.org/10.16988/iuvfd.2016.15364 [accessed 2019 Aug 20]. http://actaveteurasia.istanbul.edu.tr/tr/yazi/10-16988-iuvfd-2016-15364-510050006D003800510064006C00 6E007700630051003100.
- Balli EP, Venetis CA, Miyakis S (2014). Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Linezolid versus Daptomycin for Treatment of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcal Bacteremia. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58(2):734–739. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01289-13 [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24247127.
- Bender JK, Fleige C, Klare I, Fiedler S, Mischnik A, Mutters NT, Dingle KE, Werner G (2016). Detection of a cfr(B) Variant in German Enterococcus faecium Clinical Isolates

- and the Impact on Linezolid Resistance in Enterococcus spp. Rohde H, editor. PLoS One. 11(11):e0167042. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167042 [accessed 2019 Jun 24]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27893790.
- •Bender JK, Kalmbach A, Fleige C, Klare I, Fuchs S, Werner G (2016). Population structure and acquisition of the vanB resistance determinant in German clinical isolates of Enterococcus faecium ST192. Sci. Rep. 6(1):21847. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21847 [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26902259.
- Beukers AG, Zaheer R, Goji N, Amoako KK, Chaves A V., Ward MP, McAllister TA (2017). Comparative genomics of Enterococcus spp. isolated from bovine feces. BMC Microbiol. 17(1):52. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-017-0962-1 [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. http://bmcmicrobiol. biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12866-017-0962-1.
- •Boehm AB, Sassoubre LM (2014). Enterococci as Indicators of Environmental Fecal Contamination. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24649503.
- •Bonacina J, Suárez N, Hormigo R, Fadda S, Lechner M, Saavedra L (2016). A genomic view of food-related and probiotic Enterococcus strains. DNA Res. 24(1):dsw043. https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsw043 [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. https://academic.oup.com/dnaresearch/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/dnares/dsw043.
- Borgen K, Simonsen GS, Sundsfjord A, Wasteson Y, Olsvik O, Kruse H (2000). Continuing high prevalence of VanA-type vancomycin-resistant enterococci on Norwegian poultry farms three years after avoparcin was banned. J. Appl. Microbiol. 89(3):478–85. [accessed 2019 Jun 24]. http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11021580
- Borgen K, Sørum M, Wasteson Y, Kruse H (2001). VanA-type vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) remain prevalent in poultry carcasses 3 years after avoparcin was banned. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 64(1–2):89–94. [accessed 2019 Jun 24]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11252515
- •Bortolaia V, Mander M, Jensen LB, Olsen JE, Guardabassi L (2015). Persistence of vancomycin resistance in multiple clones of Enterococcus faecium isolated from Danish broilers 15 years after the ban of avoparcin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 59(5):2926–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.05072-14 [accessed 2019 Jun 24]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25712360.
- •Bourgeois-Nicolaos N, Nguyen TT, Defrance G, Massias L, Alavoine L, Lefort A, Noel V, Senneville E, Doucet-Populaire F, Mentré F (2014). The emergence of linezolid resistance among Enterococci in intestinal microbiota of treated patients is unrelated to individual pharmacokinetic characteristics. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58(5):2681–7. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02251-13 [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566182.
- Boyd D. A., Du T, Hizon R, Kaplen B, Murphy T, Tyler S, Brown S, Jamieson F, Weiss K, Mulvey MR (2006). VanG-Type Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus faecalis Strains Isolated in Canada. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50(6):2217–2221. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01541-05 [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16723588.
- Boyd DA, Kibsey P, Roscoe D, Mulvey MR (2004). Enterococcus faecium N03-0072 carries a new VanD-type vancomycin resistance determinant: characterization of the VanD5 operon. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 54(3):680–683. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh391 [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://

- www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15308604.
- •Boyd David A, Miller MA, Mulvey MR (2006). Enterococcus gallinarum N04-0414 harbors a VanD-type vancomycin resistance operon and does not contain a D-alanine:D-alanine 2 (ddl2) gene. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50(3):1067-70. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.3.1067-1070.2006 [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16495270.
- •Boyd DA, Willey BM, Fawcett D, Gillani N, Mulvey MR (2008). Molecular characterization of Enterococcus faecalis N06-0364 with low-level vancomycin resistance harboring a novel D-Ala-D-Ser gene cluster, vanL. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52(7):2667–72. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01516-07. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18458129.
- Ben Braïek O, Smaoui S (2019). Enterococci: Between Emerging Pathogens and Potential Probiotics. Biomed. Res. Int. 2019:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5938210. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://www.hindawi.com/journals/bmri/2019/5938210/.
- •Buetti N, Wassilew N, Rion V, Senn L, Gardiol C, Widmer A, Marschall J (2019). Emergence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in Switzerland: a nation-wide survey. Antimicrob. Resist Infect. Control. 8(1):16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0466-x. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. https://aricjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13756-019-0466-x.
- Buultjens AH, Lam MMC, Ballard S, Monk IR, Mahony AA, Grabsch EA, Grayson ML, Pang S, Coombs GW, Robinson JO (2017). Evolutionary origins of the emergent ST796 clone of vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus faecium*. Peer J. 5:e2916. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2916. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28149688.
- Byappanahalli MN, Nevers MB, Korajkic A, Staley ZR, Harwood VJ (2012). Enterococci in the Environment. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 76(4):685–706. https://doi. org/10.1128/MMBR.00023-12. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/23204362.
- Cetinkaya Y, Falk P, Mayhall CG (2000). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Clin Microbiol. Rev. 13(4):686–707. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.13.4.686-707.2000. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11023964.
- Chajęcka-Wierzchowska W, Zadernowska A, Łaniewska-Trokenheim Ł (2017). Virulence factors of Enterococcus spp. presented in food. LWT - Food Sci Technol. 75. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2016.10.026.
- •Chan YY, Abd Nasir MHB, Yahaya MAB, Salleh NMAB, Md Dan ADB, Musa AMB, Ravichandran M (2008). Low prevalence of vancomycin- and bifunctional aminoglycosideresistant enterococci isolated from poultry farms in Malaysia. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 122(1–2):221–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.11.063.
- Courvalin P (2006). Vancomycin Resistance in Gram-Positive Cocci. Clin Infect Dis. 42(Supplement_1):S25–S34. https://doi.org/10.1086/491711. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16323116.
- Dada AC, Ahmad A, Usup G, Heng LY (2013). Speciation and antimicrobial resistance of Enterococci isolated from recreational beaches in Malaysia. Environ. Monit. Assess. 185(2):1583–1599. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-012-2653-6.
- Dada AC, Ahmad A, Usup G, Heng LY, Hamid R (2013). Highlevel aminoglycoside resistance and virulence characteristics

- among Enterococci isolated from recreational beaches in Malaysia. Environ. Monit. Assess. 185(9):7427–7443. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-013-3110-x.
- Daniel DS, Lee SM, Gan HM, Dykes GA, Rahman S (2017).
 Genetic diversity of Enterococcus faecalis isolated from environmental, animal and clinical sources in Malaysia.
 J. Infect. Public Health. 10(5):617–623. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2017.02.006.
- Delaplain PT, Bell BA, Wang J, Isani M, Zhang E, Gayer CP, Grishin AV, Ford HR (2019). Effects of artificially introduced Enterococcus faecalis strains in experimental necrotizing enterocolitis. bioRxiv.:623512. https://doi.org/10.1101/623512 https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/623512v1.abstract.
- Depardieu F, Foucault M-L, Bell J, Dubouix A, Guibert M, Lavigne J-P, Levast M, Courvalin P (2009). New Combinations of Mutations in VanD-Type Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus faecalis, and Enterococcus avium Strains. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 53(5):1952–1963. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01348-08. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19258279.
- Dziri R, Lozano C, Ben Said L, Bellaaj R, Boudabous A, Ben Slama K, Torres C, Klibi N (2016). Multidrug-resistant enterococci in the hospital environment: detection of novel vancomycin-resistant E. faecium clone ST910. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries. 10(08):799. https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.8014. https://jidc.org/index.php/journal/article/view/27580324.
- Elhani D, Klibi N, Dziri R, Ben Hassan M, Asli Mohamed S, Ben Said L, Mahjoub A, Ben Slama K, Jemli B, Bellaj R (2014). vanA-containing E. faecium isolates of clonal complex CC17 in clinical and environmental samples in a Tunisian hospital. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 79(1):60–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.01.011. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0732889314000224.
- Emaneini M, Hosseinkhani F, Jabalameli F, Nasiri MJ, Dadashi M, Pouriran R, Beigverdi R (2016). Prevalence of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 35(9):1387–1392. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-016-2702-0. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27344575.
- Estela Gaitán SA (2019). Comparación In Vitro Del Efecto Antibacteriano Entre El Hipoclorito De Sodio Y El Extracto Hidroetanólico De Propóleo Contra Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212. Repos Inst - USS. http://200.60.28.13/ handle/uss/5750.
- •Farman M, Yasir M, Al-Hindi RR, Farraj SA, Jiman-Fatani AA, Alawi M, Azhar EI (2019). Genomic analysis of multidrug-resistant clinical Enterococcus faecalis isolates for antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence factors from the western region of Saudi Arabia. Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control. 8(1):55. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0508-4. https://aricjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13756-019-0508-4.
- Fifadara N, Radu S, Hassan Z, Beuchat LR, Rusul G (2016).
 Hemolytic and Nonhemolytic Vancomycin-Resistant
 Enterococcus faecalis Isolated from Beef Imported to
 Malaysia. J. Food Prot. 66(10):1845–1850. https://doi.
 org/10.4315/0362-028x-66.10.1845.
- Fiore E, Van Tyne D, Gilmore MS (2019). Pathogenicity of Enterococci. Microbiol. Spectr. 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0053-2018. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31298205.

- •Flipse J, von Wintersdorff CJH, van Niekerk JM, Jamin C, van Tiel FH, Hasman H, van Alphen LB (2019). Appearance of vanD-positive Enterococcus faecium in a tertiary hospital in the Netherlands: prevalence of vanC and vanD in hospitalized patients. Sci. Rep. 9(1):6949. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42824-4. http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-019-42824-4.
- García-Solache M, Rice LB (2019). The Enterococcus: a Model of Adaptability to Its Environment. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 32(2). https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00058-18.
- Getachew Y, Hassan L, Zakaria Z, Abdul Aziz S (2013).
 Genetic Variability of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis Isolates from Humans, Chickens, and Pigs in Malaysia. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79(15):4528–4533. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00650-13.
- Getachew Y, Hassan L, Zakaria Z, Lokman N (2010).
 Species distribution and resistance phenotypes of vancomycinresistant enterococcus isolated from pigs in pulau pinang, Malaysia. Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci. 33(1):15–25.
- •Getachew Y, Hassan L, Zakaria Z, Zaid CZM, Yardi A, Shukor RA, Marawin LT, Embong F, Aziz SA (2012). Characterization and risk factors of vancomycin-resistant Enterococci (VRE) among animal-affiliated workers in Malaysia. J. Appl. Microbiol. 113(5):1184–1195. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05406.x.
- •Gilmore MS, Lebreton F, van Schaik W (2013). Genomic transition of enterococci from gut commensals to leading causes of multidrug-resistant hospital infection in the antibiotic era. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 16(1):10–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2013.01.006. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23395351.
- •Del Grosso M, Kärki T, D'Ancona FP, Pantosti A (2015). Decrease of vancomycin resistance in Enterococcus faecium isolates from bloodstream infections in Italy from 2003 to 2013. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 59(6):3690–1. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00513-15. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25824233.
- •Guzman Prieto AM, van Schaik W, Rogers MRC, Coque TM, Baquero F, Corander J, Willems RJL (2016). Global Emergence and Dissemination of Enterococci as Nosocomial Pathogens: Attack of the Clones? Front Microbiol. 7:788. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00788. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27303380.
- •Haenni M, Saras E, Châtre P, Meunier D, Martin S, Lepage G, Ménard M-F, Lebreton P, Rambaud T, Madec J-Y (2009). vanA in Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus faecalis, and Enterococcus casseliflavus Detected in French Cattle. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 6(9):1107–1111. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2009.0303. http://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/fpd.2009.0303.
- Hassan L, Wan Mohd Zain WNA, Saleha AA, Ramanoon SZ (2006). Epidemiological Features of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci in Broiler Farms in Malaysia. Proceedings of the 11th International Symposium on Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics, 2006.
- Hegstad K, Mikalsen T, Coque TM, Werner G, Sundsfjord A (2010). Mobile genetic elements and their contribution to the emergence of antimicrobial resistant Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 16(6):541–554. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03226.x. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1198743X14616888.
- •Hermanovská L, Bardoň J, Čermák P (2016). Vancomycin-

- resistant enterococci the nature of resistance and risk of transmission from animals to humans. Klin Mikrobiol Infekc Lek. 22(2):54–60. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27450523.
- •Hullahalli K, Rodrigues M, Schmidt BD, Li X, Bhardwaj P, Palmer KL (2015). Comparative Analysis of the Orphan CRISPR2 Locus in 242 Enterococcus faecalis Strains. Hancock LE, editor. PLoS One. 10(9):e0138890. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138890. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26398194.
- Ibrahim R, Mohamad M, Rahman M (2012). Enterococci: Emerging Drug Resistant Bacteria In Hospital Acquired Infections At Hospital Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Inte. J. Microbiol. 9(2):1–7. https://doi.org/10.5580/abb.
- Ibrahim RB, Mohamad M, Rahman MM (2011). Vancomycin resistant enterococci and detection of responsible genes. Pakistan J. Med. Sci. 27(4):784–788.
- Iranian Society for Medical Bacteriology. N, Talebi M (2019).
 Journal of medical bacteriology. Tehran University Med. Sci.
 [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. http://jmb.tums.ac.ir/index.php/jmb/article/view/396.
- Jahansepas A, Aghazadeh M, Rezaee MA, Hasani A, Sharifi Y, Aghazadeh T, Mardaneh J (2018). Occurrence of Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium in Various Clinical Infections: Detection of Their Drug Resistance and Virulence Determinants. Microb. Drug Resist. 24(1):76–82. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2017.0049. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/mdr.2017.0049.
- Jett BD, Huycke MM, Gilmore MS (1994). Virulence of enterococci. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 7(4):462–78. https://doi. org/10.1128/cmr.7.4.462. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7834601.
- •Kampmeier S, Kossow A, Clausen LM, Knaack D, Ertmer C, Gottschalk A, Freise H, Mellmann A (2018). Hospital acquired vancomycin resistant enterococci in surgical intensive care patients a prospective longitudinal study. Antimicrob. Resist Infect. Control. 7(1):103. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-018-0394-1. [accessed 2019 Aug 20]. https://aricjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13756-018-0394-1.
- Kateete DP, Edolu M, Kigozi E, Kisukye J, Baluku H, Mwiine FN, Najjuka CF (2019). Species, antibiotic susceptibility profiles and van gene frequencies among enterococci isolated from patients at Mulago National Referral Hospital in Kampala, Uganda. BMC Infect. Dis. 19(1):486. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-4136-7. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12879-019-4136-7.
- Kim B, Wang Y-C, Hespen CW, Espinosa J, Salje J, Rangan KJ, Oren DA, Kang JY, Pedicord VA, Hang H (2019). Enterococcus faecium secreted antigen A generates muropeptides to enhance host immunity and limit bacterial pathogenesis. Elife. 8. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.45343. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30969170.
- Klein G (2003). Taxonomy, ecology and antibiotic resistance of enterococci from food and the gastro-intestinal tract. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 88(2–3):123–31. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14596985.
- Koganemaru H, Hitomi S (2008). Bacteremia caused by VanC-type enterococci in a university hospital in Japan: a 6-year survey. J. Infect. Chemother. 14(6):413–417.



- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10156-008-0644-X. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1341321X08707293.
- Kramer TS, Remschmidt C, Werner S, Behnke M, Schwab F, Werner G, Gastmeier P, Leistner R (2018). The importance of adjusting for enterococcus species when assessing the burden of vancomycin resistance: a cohort study including over 1000 cases of enterococcal bloodstream infections. Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control. 7(1):133. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-018-0419-9. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://aricjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13756-018-0419-9.
- Kristich CJ, Rice LB, Arias CA (2014). Enterococcal Infection— Treatment and Antibiot. Resist. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24649502.
- Kruse H, Johansen Bk, Rørvik Lm, Schaller G (1999). The Use of Avoparcin as a Growth Promoter and the Occurrence of Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococcus* Species in Norwegian Poultry and Swine Production. Microb. Drug Resist. 5(2):135–139. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.1999.5.135. [accessed 2019 Jun 24]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432274.
- Kuo A-J, Shu J-C, Liu T-P, Lu J-J, Lee M-H, Wu T-S, Su L-H, Wu T-L (2018). Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium at a university hospital in Taiwan, 2002–2015: Fluctuation of genetic populations and emergence of a new structure type of the Tn1546-like element. J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. 51(6):821–828. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. JMII.2018.08.008. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1684118218303207.
- •Lebreton F, Depardieu F, Bourdon N, Fines-Guyon M, Berger P, Camiade S, Leclercq R, Courvalin P, Cattoir V (2011). d-Ala-d-Ser VanN-Type Transferable Vancomycin Resistance in Enterococcus faecium. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55(10):4606–4612. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00714-11. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21807981.
- Lebreton F, van Schaik W, McGuire AM, Godfrey P, Griggs A, Mazumdar V, Corander J, Cheng L, Saif S, Young S, et al (2013). Emergence of epidemic multidrug-resistant Enterococcus faecium from animal and commensal strains. MBio. 4(4). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00534-13. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23963180.
- Lebreton F, Willems RJL, Gilmore MS (2014). Enterococcus Diversity, Origins in Nature, and Gut Colonization. Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24649513.
- Leclercq R, Derlot E, Duval J, Courvalin P (1988). Plasmid-Mediated Resistance to Vancomycin and Teicoplanin in Enterococcus Faecium. N Engl. J. Med. 319(3):157–161. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198807213190307. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2968517.
- Lee RS, Gonçalves da Silva A, Baines SL, Strachan J, Ballard S, Carter GP, Kwong JC, Schultz MB, Bulach DM, Seemann T (2018). The changing landscape of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium in Australia: a population-level genomic study. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 73(12):3268–3278. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky331. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://academic.oup.com/jac/advance-article/doi/10.1093/jac/dky331/5090546.
- ·Lee T, Pang S, Abraham S, Coombs GW (2019). Molecular

- characterization and evolution of the first outbreak of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium in Western Australia. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents. 53(6):814–819. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJANTIMICAG.2019.02.009. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0924857919300391.
- •Leong KWC, Cooley LA, Anderson TL, Gautam SS, McEwan B, Wells A, Wilson F, Hughson L, O'Toole RF (2018). Emergence of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus faecium at an Australian Hospital: A Whole Genome Sequencing Analysis. Sci. Rep. 8(1):6274. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24614-6. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29674657.
- •Li N, Yu H, Liu H, Wang Y, Zhou J, Ma X, Wang Z, Sun C, Qiao S (2019). Horizontal transfer of vanA between probiotic Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis in fermented soybean meal and in digestive tract of growing pigs. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 10(1):36. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-019-0341-x. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://jasbsci.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40104-019-0341-x.
- •Lim SY, Yap K-P, Teh CSJ, Jabar KA, Thong KL (2017). Comparative genome analysis of multiple vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium isolated from two fatal cases. Infect. Genet. Evol. 49:55–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2016.12.029. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28039075.
- •Lins RX, Hirata R, Wilson M, O Lewis MA, Fidel RAS, Williams D (2019). Comparison of genotypes, antimicrobial resistance and virulence profiles of oral and non oral Enterococcus faecalis from Brazil, Japan and the United Kingdom. J. Dent. 84:49–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/J. JDENT.2019.03.002. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0300571219300430.
- López M, Hormazábal JC, Maldonado A, Saavedra G, Baquero F, Silva J, Torres C, Campo R del (2009). Clonal dissemination of Enterococcus faecalis ST201 and Enterococcus faecium CC17–ST64 containing Tn5382–vanB2 among 16 hospitals in Chile. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 15(6):586–588. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.02741.x. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1198743X14604493.
- •Mendes RE, Castanheira M, Farrell DJ, Flamm RK, Sader HS, Jones RN (2016). Longitudinal (2001–14) analysis of enterococci and VRE causing invasive infections in European and US hospitals, including a contemporary (2010–13) analysis of oritavancin in vitro potency. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 71(12):3453–3458. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw319. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dkw319.
- •Mikalsen T, Pedersen T, Willems R, Coque TM, Werner G, Sadowy E, van Schaik W, Jensen LB, Sundsfjord A, Hegstad K (2015). Investigating the mobilome in clinically important lineages of Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis. BMC Genomics. 16:282. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1407-6. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25885771.
- Mohamed NA, Hussin H, Chang KM, Hashim R, Ahmad N (2015). Vancomycin resistant Enterococcus (VRE): Prevalence and characteristics in a tertiary hospital in Malaysia. Brunei Int. Med. J. 11(5):241–246.
- •Monteserin N, Larson E (2016). Temporal trends and risk factors for healthcare-associated vancomycin-resistant

- enterococci in adults. J. Hosp. Infect. 94(3). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhin.2016.07.023.
- Naser SM, Vancanneyt M, Hoste B, Snauwaert C, Vandemeulebroecke K, Swings J (2006). Reclassification of Enterococcus flavescens Pompei et al. 1992 as a later synonym of Enterococcus casseliflavus (ex Vaughan et al. 1979) Collins et al. 1984 and Enterococcus saccharominimus Vancanneyt et al. 2004 as a later synonym of Enterococcus italicus Fortina et al. 2004. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 56(2):413-416. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63891-0. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/16449449.
- •National Pharmaceutical Regulatory Agency (2014).Registration and Regulatory Control of Veterinary Products in Malaysia 2014. Available at http://vam.org.my/home/wpcontent/uploads/2019/11/Pn-Asnida_VMP-Registrationand-Control.pdf (access on 24 May 2019).
- Neelakanta A, Sharma S, Kesani VP, Salim M, Pervaiz A, Aftab N, Mann T, Tashtoush N, Karino S, Dhar S (2015). Impact of Changes in the NHSN Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection (CAUTI) Surveillance criteria on the Frequency and Epidemiology of CAUTI in Intensive Care Units (ICUs). Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 36(3):346-349. https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2014.67. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25695177.
- Neves FPG, Ribeiro RL, Duarte RS, Teixeira LM, Merquior VLC (2009). Emergence of the vanA genotype among Enterococcus gallinarum isolates colonising the intestinal tract of patients in a university hospital in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents. 33(3):211-215. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2008.08.018. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19010645.
- Ong CHS, Asaad M, Lim KC, Ngeow YF (2002). Infrequent occurrence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in poultry from Malaysian wet markets. Malays. J. Pathol. 24(2):91-4. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/12887166.
- · Ossiprandi MC, Zerbini L (2015). Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Enterococcal Species Isolated from Italian Dogs. In: Antimicrobial Resistance - An Open Challenge. InTech. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. http://www.intechopen.com/ books/antimicrobial-resistance-an-open-challenge/ antimicrobial-susceptibility-of-enterococcal-speciesisolated-from-italian-dogs.
- Özsoy S,İlki A,Özsoy S,İlki A (2017). Detection of vancomycinresistant enterococci (VRE) in stool specimens submitted for Clostridium difficile toxin testing. Brazilian J. Microbiol. 48(3):489-492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2016.12.012. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/ retrieve/pii/S1517838216301848.
- •Panesso D, Abadía-Patiño L, Vanegas N, Reynolds PE, Courvalin P, Arias CA (2005). Transcriptional analysis of the vanC cluster from Enterococcus gallinarum strains with constitutive and inducible vancomycin resistance. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49(3):1060–6. https://doi. org/10.1128/AAC.49.3.1060-1066.2005. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15728903.
- · Pasotto D, Dotto G, Menandro ML, Mondin A, Martini M (2016). Prevalence and antimicrobial-resistance characterization of vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) strains in healthy household dogs in Italy. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 53:50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2016.11.129. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/

- S1201971216313479.
- Patel R, Piper K, Cockerill FR, Steckelberg JM, Yousten AA, Yousten Allan A (2000). The biopesticide Paenibacillus popilliae has a vancomycin resistance gene cluster homologous to the enterococcal VanA vancomycin resistance gene cluster. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44(3):705–9. https://doi. org/10.1128/aac.44.3.705-709.2000. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10681342.
- •Pomba C, Rantala M, Greko C, Baptiste KE, Catry B, van Duijkeren E, Mateus A, Moreno MA, Pyörälä S, Ružauskas M (2016). Public health risk of antimicrobial resistance transfer from companion animals. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 72(4):dkw481. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/ dkw481. [accessed 2019 Aug 20]. https://academic.oup. com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dkw481.
- Praharaj I, Sujatha S, Parija SC (2013). Phenotypic & Samp; genotypic characterization of vancomycin resistant Enterococcus isolates from clinical specimens. Indian J. Med. Res. 138(4):549-56. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24434263.
- Price VJ, McBride SW, Hullahalli K, Chatterjee A, Duerkop BA, Palmer KL (2019) May 26. Enterococcus faecalis CRISPR-Cas is a robust barrier to conjugative antibiotic resistance dissemination in the murine intestine. bioRxiv.:312751. https://doi.org/10.1101/312751. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/312751v2.
- ·Quiñones D, Aung MS, Sousa Martins JP, Urushibara N, Kobayashi N (2018). Genetic characteristics of VanAtype vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium in Cuba. New Microbes. New Infect. 21:125-127. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NMNI.2017.12.001. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/S2052297517300999.
- •Raja NS, Karunakaran R, Ngeow YF, Awang R (2005). Community-acquired vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium: A case report from Malaysia. J. Med. Microbiol. 54(9):901–903. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46169-0.
- Ramos S, Igrejas G, Rodrigues J, Capelo-Martinez J-L, Poeta P (2012). Genetic characterisation of antibiotic resistance and virulence factors in vanA-containing enterococci from cattle, sheep and pigs subsequent to the discontinuation of the use of avoparcin. Vet. J. 193(1):301-303. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2011.12.007. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22264646.
- Remschmidt C, Schröder C, Behnke M, Gastmeier P, Geffers C, Kramer TS (2018). Continuous increase of vancomycin resistance in enterococci causing nosocomial infections in Germany -10 years of surveillance. Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control. 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-018-
- Reynolds PE, Courvalin P (2005). Vancomycin resistance in enterococci due to synthesis of precursors terminating in D-alanyl-D-serine. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49(1):21–5. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.1.21-25.2005. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/15616270.
- Riley PA, Parasakthi N, Teh A (1996). Enterococcus faecium with high-level vancomycin resistance isolated from the blood culture of a bone marrow transplant patient in Malaysia. Med. J. Malaysia; 51: 383-385.
- Sadowy E (2018). Linezolid resistance genes and genetic elements enhancing their dissemination in enterococci

- and streptococci. Plasmid. 99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2018.09.011.
- •Santona A, Taviani E, Hoang HM, Fiamma M, Deligios M, Ngo TVQ, Van Le A, Cappuccinelli P, Rubino S, Paglietti B (2018). Emergence of unusual vanA/vanB2 genotype in a highly mutated vanB2-vancomycin-resistant hospital-associated E. faecium background in Vietnam. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents. 52(5):586–592. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJANTIMICAG.2018.07.006. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0924857918301973.
- van Schaik W, Willems RJL (2010). Genome-based insights into the evolution of enterococci. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 16(6):527–532. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03201.x. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20569263.
- Shaghaghian S, Pourabbas B, Alborzi A, Askarian M, Mardaneh J (2012). Vancomycin-Resistant Entrococci colonization in chronic hemodialysis patients and its risk factors in southern Iran (2005-2006). Iran Red Crescent Med. J. 14(10):686–91. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23285424.
- Shah-Majid M, Azlina AMM, Ana Maria AR, Zaharah B, Norhaliza AH (2004). Occurrence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in ducks in Malaysia. Vet. Rec. 155(21):680–1. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/15581146.
- Shah-Majid M, Maria ARA, Shahidayani S, Salwani AMD, Khairani S (2007). Occurrence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in chickens in Malaysia. Vet. Rec. 160(20):702– 3. https://doi.org/10.1136/VR.160.20.702. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17513839.
- Silva V, Igrejas G, Carvalho I, Peixoto F, Cardoso L, Pereira JE, del Campo R, Poeta P (2018). Genetic Characterization of van A- Enterococcus faecium Isolates from Wild Red-Legged Partridges in Portugal. Microb. Drug Resist. 24(1):89–94. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2017.0040. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. http://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/mdr.2017.0040.
- Son R, Nimita F, Rusul G, Nasreldin E, Samuel L, Nishibuchi M (1999). Isolation and molecular characterization of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium in Malaysia. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 29(2):118–122. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.1999.00598.x.
- Song H, Bae Y, Jeon E, Kwon Y, Joh S (2019). Multiplex PCR analysis of virulence genes and their influence on antibiotic resistance in *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from broiler chicken. J. Vet. Sci. 20(3). https://doi.org/10.4142/jvs.2019.20.e26. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. https://synapse.koreamed.org/DOIx.php?id=10.4142/jvs.2019.20.e26.
- Sujatha S, Praharaj I (2012). Glycopeptide Resistance in Gram-Positive Cocci: A Review. Interdiscip. Perspect. Infect. Dis. 2012:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/781679. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22778729.
- Sun H-L, Liu C, Zhang J-J, Zhou Y-M, Xu Y-C (2019). Molecular characterization of vancomycin-resistant enterococci isolated from a hospital in Beijing, China. J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. 52(3):433–442. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JMII.2018.12.008. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1684118218305322.
- Sun M, Wang Y, Chen Z, Zhu X, Tian L, Sun Z (2014). The first

- report of the vanC1 gene in Enterococcus faecium isolated from a human clinical specimen. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz. 109(6):712–715. https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-0276140019. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25317698.
- Szakacs TA, Kalan L, McConnell MJ, Eshaghi A, Shahinas D, McGeer A, Wright GD, Low DE, Patel SN (2014).
 Outbreak of vancomycin-susceptible Enterococcus faecium containing the wild-type vanA gene. J. Clin. Microbiol. 52(5):1682–6. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03563-13.
 [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24523464.
- Tan C-K, Lai C-C, Wang J-Y, Lin S-H, Liao C-H, Huang Y-T, Wang C-Y, Lin H-I, Hsueh P-R (2010). Bacteremia caused by non-faecalis and non-faecium enterococcus species at a Medical center in Taiwan, 2000 to 2008. J. Infect. 61(1):34–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2010.04.007. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0163445310001271.
- Tan SC, Chong CW, Teh CSJ, Ooi PT, Thong KL (2018).
 Occurrence of virulent multidrug-resistant Enterococcus
 faecalis and Enterococcus faecium in the pigs, farmers and
 farm environments in Malaysia. Peer J. 6:e5353. https://doi.
 org/10.7717/peerj.5353.
- •Tatsing Foka FE, Ateba CN (2019). Detection of Virulence Genes in Multidrug Resistant Enterococci Isolated from Feedlots Dairy and Beef Cattle: Implications for Human Health and Food Safety. Biomed. Res. Int. 2019:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5921840. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. https://www.hindawi.com/journals/bmri/2019/5921840/.
- Tedim AP, Lanza VF, Manrique M, Pareja E, Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Cantón R, Baquero F, Coque TM, Tobes R (2017). Complete Genome Sequences of Isolates of Enterococcus faecium Sequence Type 117, a Globally Disseminated Multidrug-Resistant Clone. Genome Announc. 5(13). https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01553-16. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28360174.
- Toosa H, Radu S, Rusul G, Reezal Abdul Latif A, Abdul Rahim R, Ahmad N, Wai Ling O (2001). Detection of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus Spp. (Vre) From Poultry. Malaysian J. Med. Sci. 8(1):53–58.
- •Tsvetkova K, Marvaud J-C, Lambert T (2010). Analysis of the mobilization functions of the vancomycin resistance transposon Tn1549, a member of a new family of conjugative elements. J. Bacteriol. 192(3):702–13. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JB.00680-09. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19966009.
- Uttley AH, Collins CH, Naidoo J, George RC (1988).
 Vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Lancet (London, England). 1(8575–6):57–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(88)91037-9. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2891921.
- •Vidana R, Rashid MU, Özenci V, Weintraub A, Lund B (2016). The origin of endodontic *Enterococcus faecalis* explored by comparison of virulence factor patterns and antibiotic resistance to that of isolates from stool samples, blood cultures and food. Int. Endod. J. 49(4):343–351. https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.12464. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/iej.12464.
- Wagner T, Joshi B, Janice J, Askarian F, Škalko-Basnet N, Hagestad OC, Mekhlif A, Wai SN, Hegstad K, Johannessen M (2018). Enterococcus faecium produces membrane vesicles containing virulence factors and antimicrobial



- resistance related proteins. J. Proteomics. 187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2018.05.017.
- •Weng PL, Ramli R, Shamsudin MN, Cheah Y-K, Hamat RA (2013). High Genetic Diversity of Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis Clinical Isolates by Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis and Multilocus Sequence Typing from a Hospital in Malaysia. Biomed. Res. Int. 2013:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/938937.
- •Werner G, Coque TM, Hammerum AM, Hope R, Hryniewicz W, Johnson A, Klare I, Kristinsson KG, Leclercq R, Lester CH, et al (2008). Emergence and spread of vancomycin resistance among enterococci in Europe. Euro Surveill. 13(47). [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19021959.
- •Werner G, Freitas AR, Coque TM, Sollid JE, Lester C, Hammerum AM, Garcia-Migura L, Jensen LB, Francia M V., Witte W, et al (2011). Host range of enterococcal vanA plasmids among Gram-positive intestinal bacteria. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 66(2):273–282. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq455. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21131318.
- •Werner G, Klare I, Fleige C, Geringer U, Witte W, Just H-M, Ziegler R (2012). Vancomycin-resistant vanB-type Enterococcus faecium isolates expressing varying levels of vancomycin resistance and being highly prevalent among neonatal patients in a single ICU. Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control. 1(1):21. https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2994-1-21. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22958440.
- •Willems RJL, Hanage WP, Bessen DE, Feil EJ (2011). Population biology of Gram-positive pathogens: high-risk clones for dissemination of antibiotic resistance. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 35(5):872–900. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00284.x. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21658083.
- Wurster JI, Saavedra JT, Gilmore MS (2016). Impact of Antibiotic Use on the Evolution of Enterococcus faecium. J. Infect. Dis. 213(12). https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiv598.
- •Xu X, Lin D, Yan G, Ye X, Wu S, Guo Y, Zhu D, Hu F, Zhang Y, Wang F, et al (2010). vanM, a New Glycopeptide

- Resistance Gene Cluster Found in Enterococcus faecium. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 54(11):4643–4647. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01710-09. [accessed 2019 Jun 22]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20733041.
- •Yamanaka H, Kadomatsu R, Takagi T, Ohsawa M, Yamamoto N, Kubo N, Takemoto T, Ohsawa K (2019). Antimicrobial resistance profiles of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* species isolated from laboratory mice. J. Vet. Sci. 20(2). https://doi.org/10.4142/jvs.2019.20.e13. [accessed 2019 Aug 21]. https://synapse.koreamed.org/DOIx.php?id=10.4142/jvs.2019.20.e13.
- •Yasliani S, Mohabati Mobarez A, Hosseini Doust R, Satari M, Teymornejad O (2009). Linezolid vancomycin resistant Enterococcus isolated from clinical samples in Tehran hospitals. Indian J. Med. Sci. 63(7):297–302. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5359.55117. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.indianjmedsci.org/text.asp?2009/63/7/297/55117.
- YewTD, KewWS, Jaganathan S, Singh JP (2006). Screening And Characterization Of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci (Vre) Isolated From Poultry In Malaysia. A paper presented at The 18th Veterinary Association Malaysia Scientific Congress. 25-27 August 2006. Seri Pacific Hotel, Kuala Lumpur.
- Young S, Nayak B, Sun S, Badgley BD, Rohr JR, Harwood VJ (2016). Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci and Bacterial Community Structure following a Sewage Spill into an Aquatic Environment. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82(18):5653–60. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01927-16. [accessed 2019 Aug 19]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27422829.
- Zirakzadeh A, Patel R (2006). Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci: Colonization, Infection, Detection, and Treatment. Mayo Clin. Proc. 81(4):529–536. https://doi. org/10.4065/81.4.529. [accessed 2019 Jun 23]. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16610573.
- Zubaidah AW, Ariza A, Azmi S (2006). Hospital-acquired vancomycin-resistant Enterococci: Now appearing in Kuala Lumpur Hospital. Med. J. Malaysia. 61(4):487–489.

