

Research Article



Hematological and Biochemical Changes Following Double Dose Administration of Florfenicol in Goats

ESLAM HAMED^{1*}, HAZEM M. SHAHEEN², KULDEEP DHAMA³, KADRY M. SADEK⁴, FAGR A. MAHMOUD¹

¹Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt; ²Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Damanhour University, Egypt; ³Division of Pathology ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, India; ⁴Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Damanhour University, Egypt.

Abstract | Florfenicol is a broad spectrum antibiotic used clinically in treatment of many diseases in domesticated animals. This study was conducted to investigate the effect of Florfenicol (20mg/Kg) injected twice intramuscularly with 48 hr. dosing interval on hematological and biochemical parameters in 5 baladi goats. The results revealed significant increase in total leukocytic count on 1st (P< 0.01), 7th and 14th (P<0.05) days post administration of the second dose. Lymphocytes and monocytes displayed significant increase on 1st (P<0.05) and 7th (P< 0.01) days post administration. No significant differences were observed between RBCs, PCV, Hb, MCH and MCHC values pre and post administration of florfenicol. Total protein and globulin serum levels significantly increased (P< 0.001) on 1st day post administration of the second dose. AST serum levels displayed significant increase (P<0.05) together with ALT serum level (P< 0.001) on 1st day post administration of Florfenicol. Bilirubin, G-GT, ALP, urea and uric acids showed non significant changes. creatinine serum level significantly increased (P< 0.001) on 7th day post administration of Florenicol. It is concluded that Florfenicol enhanced total leukocytes specially lymphocytes and monocytes while it had mild transient effects on liver and kidney functions.

Keywords | Florfenicol, Hematology, Biochemical, Immunity, Goats

 $\textbf{Received} \mid \text{February 17, 2020;} \textbf{Accepted} \mid \text{March 03, 2020;} \textbf{Published} \mid \text{March 20, 2020}$

*Correspondence | Eslam Hamed, Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt; Email: eslam.hamed@zu.edu.eg Citation | Hamed E, Shaheen HM, Dhama K, Sadek KM, Mahmoud FA (2020). Hematological and biochemical changes following double dose administration of florfenicol in goats. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 8(4): 392-397.

DOI | http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2020/8.4.392.397

ISSN (Online) | 2307-8316; ISSN (Print) | 2309-3331

Copyright © 2020 Hamed et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Plorfenicol is a broad spectrum antibiotic belonging to amphenicols, the family of agents that includes also thiamphenicol and chloramphenicol. Florfenicol is a C-3 fluorinated derivative of chloramphenicol so it is not susceptible to deactivation by acetyl transferase secreted by resistant bacteria which targets C-3 hydroxyl group in thiamphenicol and chloramphenicol (Sams, 1994; Kobal, 2004). Like other drugs in its class Florfenicol acts by inhibiting of protein synthesis by binding 50s ribosomal subunits of susceptible bacteria (Cannon et al., 1990). Florfenicol showed high in vitro efficiency against Gram positive, Gram negative beacteria and many chloramphenicol resistant organisms like *Klebsiella*

pneumoniae, E. coli and Salmonella typhi (Lobell et al., 1994).

One of the main structural modifications between Florfenicol and chloramphenicol is the substitution of the nitro group located in the chloramphenicol aromatic ring with sulfomethyl group. Such modification is related to the safety of Florfenicol and prevention of induced, non-dose related irreversible aplastic anemia associated with chloramphenicol. (Neu and Fu, 1980; Skolimowski et al., 1983; Almajano et al., 1998).

Florfenicol is clinically effective in treatment of many diseases in domesticated animals as bovine respiratory disease (Lockwood et al., 1994; Haas et al., 1995;

Quintavalla et al., 1997), pododermatitis in cows (Cosgrove, 1999), foot rot in ewes (Vandyke et al., 1999), Infectious bovine Keratoconjunctivitis (Angelos et al., 2000; Gokce et al., 2002), Puerperal uterine infection in dairy cow (Fernandes et al., 2000).

There are limited reports and observations of florfenicol use in goats (Wang et al., 2011) so; this study presents a complementary investigation of Florfenicol effects on hematological and biochemical parameters in this species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drug and chemicals: Florfenicol (Nuflor)® was purchased from Merck animal health, USA. All Reagents used for in vitro analysis of liver and kidney function parameters were provided from Spinreact, Spain.

Animals: This study was conducted on Five Clinically healthy non lactating baladi goats weighting 13-16 Kg and aged 15-18 months obtained from Zagazig animal Market, Egypt. Goats were housed in hygienic stable and fed balanced commercial ration free from any medication for 30 days prior to study with free access to water. Clinical examination of goats regarding temperature, respiratory rate, heart rate, feed consumption and fecal consistency was performed once daily. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee for care and use of animals at Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.

Experimental design: Each goat was injected with florfenicol (20 mg/kg body weight) twice with a dosing interval 48 hour intramuscularly in the thigh according to the instruction of the manufacturer (Elitok et al., 2015). Two blood samples (each of 3 ml) were collected at the same time from jugular vein of each goat just before administration of the first dose of florfenicol and on the 1st, 7th and 14th days post administration of the second dose. The first sample was collected on heparinized tube for hematological studies while the second sample was collected on clean test tube without anticoagulant, left to clot and centrifuged for 3000 rpm/ 20 minutes. The obtained non hemolyzed sera were transferred into eppendorf tubes and kept at -20 C were analyzed after 24 hours of collection for estimation of the biochemical parameters.

HEMATOLOGICAL EXAMINATION

Erythrocytes (RBCs), packed cell volume (PCV), hemoglobin (Hb), Mean Corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), Mean Corpuscular hemoglobin Concentration (MCHC) total and differential leukocytic count (TLC and DLC) were determined using an automatic cell counter (Hospitex Diagnostics Hemascreen 18, Italy). RBCs and TLC were confirmed using the Improved Neubauer haemocytometer method.

SERUM BIOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST), Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (Murray, 1984), Total protein (Koller, 1984), Albumin (Webster, 1974), bilirubin (Levitt, 2014), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), Alkaline phosphatase (ALP), Creatinine (Young, 1995), Urea (Kroll, 1999) and Uric acid (Schultz, 1984) were estimated. The serum globulin level was computed by subtracting the albumin from the total protein.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Different variables were analyzed using Student's (t) test. All values were presented as means (±) standard error (SE) (Tamhane and Dunlop, 2000).

RESULTS

HEMATOLOGICAL CHANGES

The current investigation revealed that administration of florfenicol (20mg/kg) in baladi goats produced significant increase in total leukocytic count (TLC) on 1st (P< 0.01), 7th and 14th (P<0.05) days post administration of the second dose. Lymphocytes and monocytes MID displayed significant increase on 1st (P<0.05), 7th (P< 0.01) days post administration. Granulocytes showed non significant increase as shown in Table 1.

No significant differences were observed between RBCs, PCV, Hb, MCH and MCHC values pre and post administration of florfenicol during the experimental period as presented in Tables 1 and 2.

SERUM BIOCHEMICAL CHANGES

It has been found that administration of florenicol (20mg/kg) in goats produced significant increase in total protein serum level (P< 0.001) and significant increase in globulin serum level (P< 0.001) as compared to the control samples, significant decrease in A/G ratio with non significant changes in albumin serum level on 1st day post administration of the second dose. AST serum levels displayed significant increase (P<0.05) together with ALT serum level (P< 0.001) on 1st day post administration of the second dose. Total, direct and indirect bilirubin serum level showed non significant increase during the experimental period. Non-significant changes in G-GT and ALP were observed as shown in Table 3.

Administration of double dose of florenicol (20mg/kg) in goats produced non-significant changes in urea and uric acids during the experimental period with significant increase in creatinine serum level (P< 0.001) on 7th day post administration of the second dose as shown in Table 4.

Table 1: The effect of I/M administration of florfenicol double dose (20 mg/Kg. BW) on some hematological parameters (Total and differential Leukocytic counts) (Mean ±SE).

| Parameters | Control | 1st day | 7 th day | 14 th day |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| TLC (x 10 ³ /μl) | 13.9±0.39 | 18.78±0.92** | 17.4±1.36* | 17.12±0.89* |
| LYM (x $10^3/\mu l$) | 6.74±0.55 | 9.55±1.08* | 8.76±0.11** | 8.59±0.61 |
| $MID (x 10^{3}/\mu l)$ | 0.95±0.06 | 1.27±0.09* | 1.63±0.19** | 1.29±0.22 |
| GRA (x 10 ³ /μl) | 6.21±0.96 | 7.97±1.74 | 6.99±1.2 | 7.24±0.89 |

TLC, total leukocytic count; LYM, lymphocytes; GRA, neutrophil, eosinophil and basophil; MID, monocytes and some eosinophil. *significantly different compared with control at probability: *P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001

Table 2: the effect of I/M administration of florfenicol double dose (20 mg/Kg. BW) on some hematological parameters (RBCs, PCV, Hb, RBCs indices) (Mean ±SE).

| Parameters | control | 1st day | 7 th day | 14 th day |
|----------------|------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| RBCs (106/ μl) | 16.79±0.65 | 16.42±0.70 | 17.61±0.83 | 17.38±0.39 |
| PCV % | 41.33±0.93 | 38.67±1.23 | 39.00±0.77 | 42.00± 0.89 |
| Hb (gm/dl) | 11.13±0.10 | 10.73±0.29 | 10.60±0.24 | 11.2± 0.15 |
| MCV fl | 24.80±1.46 | 23.64±0.92 | 22.79±1.46 | 24.19±0.57 |
| MCH Pg | 6.67±0.30 | 6.57±0.25 | 6.19±0.39 | 6.45±0.09 |
| MCHC (%) | 26.97±0.48 | 27.77±0.13 | 27.18±0.13 | 26.68 ±0.32 |

RBC total erythrocytic count; PCV packed cell volume; Hb hemoglobin; MCV mean corpuscular volume; MCH mean corpuscular hemoglobin; MCHC mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration. *significantly different compared with control at probability: *P<0.05 **P<0.01 ***P<0.001

Table 3: The effect of I/M administration of florfenicol double dose (20 mg/Kg. BW) on some biochemical parameters of liver function in goats. (Mean ±SE).

| Parameters | Control | 1 st day | 7 th day | 14 th day |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Total bilirubin (mg/dl) | 0.24±0.05 | 0.38±0.01 | 0.18±0.02 | 0.50±0.15 |
| Direct bilirubin (mg/dl) | 0.19±0.04 | 0.26±0.04 | 0.13±0.01 | 0.30±0.08 |
| Indirect Bilirubin (mg/dl) | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 0.12±0.03 | 0.05 ± 0.01 | 0.2±0.07 |
| ALT (U/L) | 20±1.34 | 36.33±2.1*** | 18.67±2.62 | 15±1.61 |
| AST (U/L) | 90.33±2.7 | 203.67±34.8* | 82±7.64 | 76.6±2.11 |
| GGT(U/L) | 23.33±4.93 | 24±3.9 | 25.33±4.69 | 15.67±5.16 |
| ALP (U/L) | 243.67±16.17 | 187±12.03 | 211.67±13.49 | 186.33±13.06 |
| Total protein (gm/dl) | 6.2±0.16 | 6.9±0.08*** | 6.13±0.09 | 5.97±0.03 |
| Albumin (gm/dl) | 3.23±0.05 | 3.23±0.02 | 3.3±0.08 | 3.20±0.04 |
| Globulin (gm/dl) | 2.97±0.11 | 3.67±0.09*** | 2.83±0.02 | 2.77±0.05 |
| A/G ratio | 1.07±0.02 | 0.87±0.02*** | 1.17±0.02 | 1.16±0.04 |

ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; ALP, Alkaline phosphatase. *significantly different compared with control at probability: *P<0.05 **P< 0.01 **** P< 0.001

Table 4: The effect of I/M administration of florfenicol double dose (20 mg/Kg. BW) on some biochemical parameters of kidney function in goats. (Mean ±SE).

| Parameters | Control | 1st day | 7 th day | 14th day |
|---|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Urea (mg/dL) | 35.17±5.74 | 25.5±3.09 | 30.97±1.21 | 39.4±2.6 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 0.73±0.016 | 0.74±0.4 | 0.84±0.02*** | 0.77±0.01 |
| Uric acid (mg/dL) | 0.06±0.02 | 0.06 ± 0.0 | 0.07 ±0.02 | 0.06 ±0.05 |
| * | . 1 . 1 1 111 . * | D 0 0 5 ** D 0 0 | 4 300k D 0 004 | |

significantly different compared with control at probability: * P<0.05 ** P< 0.01 *** P< 0.001***

DISCUSSION

Clinically, no adverse effects were observed following

administration of double dose florfenicol in goats which remained in good health throughout the study period. This finding was consistent with other studies referred to safety



of florfenicol in cattles (Almajano et al., 1998), camels, sheep and goats receiving florfenicol (Ali et al., 2003). On contrary local inflammatory signs at site of injection, soft feces, decreased food and water intake were observed in goats and were attributed to high dose and frequency of administration (Shah et al., 2016).

The most significant hematological changes observed in the present study is a significant increase of total leukocytes, lymphocytes and MID with non significant increase in granulocytes. These findings may be correlated to our obtained results regarding significant increase of both plasma total protein and globulin level. The number of circulating lymphocytes may be reflected on serum globulin concentrations due to Immunoglobulin production (Shenton and Rebelatto, 2015). Several studies recorded different effects of florfenicol on cellular and humoral immunity (Breitzlaff et al., 1987; Khalifeh et al., 2009; Hassanin et al., 2014; Shiry et al., 2019). The increase in the percentage of thymocytes and absolute count of T lymphocytes in mesenteric lymph nodes were observed in non-immunized mice following oral administration of florenicol for 6 days with non-significant change in the number of total leukocytes and lymphocytes in blood (Lis et al., 2011). The lysozyme serum level increased as a result of increasing the number of granulocytes and monocytes (Kobayashi et al., 2003) So, significant increasing of those cells reported in the current work are fit with the elevated serum level of lysozyme and respiratory burst activity of phagocytic cells reported in rainbow trout fish following administration of florfenicol (Shiry et al., 2019). On contrary Florfenicol had suppressive effect on the leukocytic, lymphocytic and granulocytic counts in buffalo calves (Khodary and Risk, 2000), pigslets (Hu et al., 2014), goats (Shah et al., 2016) and it had no significant effect on differential leukocytic counts in horse (Mckellar and Varma, 1996) and trout (Lundén et al., 1999).

Non significant changes in RBCS, MCH and MCHC displayed in the current investigation are consistent with those previously reported for florenicol in horse (Mckellar and Varma, 1996) and goats (Shah et al., 2016). The safety of florenicol could be attributed to the presence of sulfomethyl group instead of nitro group responsible for aplastic anemia. Additionally, florfenicol maintained the normal level of GSH which played an important role in protection of RBCs from free radicals and oxidant agents while GSH level in RBCs is decreased following administration of chloramphenicol (Karadenizi et al., 2007). On contrary normocytic normochromic anemia was reported in buffalo calves (Khodary and Risk, 2000).

Biochemical investigation revealed transient significant increase in AST and ALT which is similar to the results obtained in trout (Er and Dik, 2014; Shiry et al., 2019).

The elevation of serum level of AST was mainly due damage in heart and lung tissue. However, ALT activity is more specific and more index for liver (Kaneko, 1980). Such increase in those tissue biomarkers level may be explained by the pharmacokinetic character and extensive tissue distribution ability of florfenicol as it reaches high concentrations in lung, muscle, heart and liver (Adams et al., 1987; Lobell et al., 1994; Afifi and El-Sooud, 1997; Ueda et al., 1995) which supposed to cause mild cellular injury and leakage of such indicative enzymes into the blood (Amacher, 1998). GGT and ALP displayed nonsignificant changes confirmed by the previous studies (Shah et al., 2016; Shiry et al., 2019).

The plasma globulin concentration represents many different proteins synthesized in liver, some of these proteins are acute phase protein acting as acute-phase reactants which rapidly and markedly increases after tissue injury and can contribute substantially to an increased total globulin concentration (Brito Galvao and Center, 2012). This may give an explanation for the significant increase in globulin and subsequently total protein observed in the current study. On contrary total protein, globulin displayed no change in trout (Er and Dik, 2014), horse (Mckellar and Varma, 1996), camel, goat and sheep (Ali et al., 2003).

The non significant increase of total bilirubin reported in the current study go in agreement with that obseved in horse (Mckellar and Varma, 1996) and goats (Shah et al., 2016) and may be reflected to the temporary impairment of bilirubin conjunction with glucuronic acid in liver, subsequently increase level of unconjugated bilirubin instead of water soluble bilirubin diglucuronide.

Regarding the effect of florfenicol on kidney functions, the transient elevation of creatinine serum level observed in our study may be attributed to the excretion of about 64% of florfenicol in urine as a parent drug (Sams, 1994). Moreover, the highest concentration of florfenicol was reported in kidney (Adams et al., 1987; Afifi and El Sooud, 1997) which may be related to mild degenerative changes in kidney tubules affecting its ability for excretion of creatinine. Other kidney function indices urea and uric acids remained at normal levels which were matched that reported in horse, (Mckellar and Varma, 1996) and goats (Shah et al., 2016) received therapeutic dose of florfenicol.

Although the mild reversible effect of florfenicol on liver and kidney functions, it is of clinical importance to mention that the transient and statistically significant changes of biochemical parameters of liver and kidney functions obtained in this study were with in reference ranges that documented previously in goats (Aiello et al., 2016).

Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences

on the inhibitory actions of chloramphenicol, thiamphenicol and some flourinated analogs. J. Antimicrob. Chemoth. 26: 307-317. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/26.3.307

Cosgrove SB, Johnson JC, Simmons RD, Katz TL (1999). In

- Cosgrove SB, Johnson JC, Simmons RD, Katz TL (1999). In vivo and in vitro efficacy of florfenicol for the treatment of infectious bovine pododermatitis. Med. Vet. 16 (78): 377-386
- Elitoka OM, Elitokb B, Konakc S, Demirelc HH (2015).
 Clinical efficacy of florfenicol on caprine pasteurellosis.
 Small Rumin. Res. 125: 142–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2015.02.005
- Er A, Dik B (2014). The effects of florfenicol on the values of serum tumor necrosis factor-α and other biochemical markers in lipopolysaccharide-induced endotoxemia in brown trout. Mediators Inflamm. 2014: 464373. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/464373
- Fernandes CAC, Granja Junior PF, Canella Filho C, Castro DAM, Ferreira AM (2000). The efficiency of florfenicol in the systemic treatment of uterine acute infections in cattle. J. Hora Vet. 20 (118): 35-38.
- Gokce HI, Citil M, Genc O, Erdogan HM, Gunes V, Kankavi O (2002). A comparison of the efficacy of florfenicol and oxytetracycline in the treatment of naturally occurring infectious bovine keratoconjunctivitis. Irish Vet. J. 55 (11): 573-576.
- Haas V, Lockwood PW, Katz T, Varma KJ, De Haas V. (1995).
 Efficacy of florfenicol in the treatment of bovine respiratory disease - results of clinical trials in Europe. Praktische Tierarzt. 76 (9): 803-807.
- Hassanin O, Abdallah F, Awad A (2014). Effects of florfenicol on the immune responses and the interferon-inducible genes in broiler chickens under the impact of E. coli infection. Vet. Res. Commun. 38(1):51-58. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11259-013-9585-7
- •Hu D, Zhang T, Zhang Z, Wanga G, Wanga F, Qua Y, Niua Y, Liua S (2014). Toxicity to the hematopoietic and lymphoid organs of piglets treated with a therapeutic dose of florfenicol.
- Kaneko JJ (1980). Clinical biochemistry of domestic animals 4th ed. Academic press, Inc., New York, London, Tolyo. pp. 365-391.
- Karadenizi A, Ince2 S, Alp3 H. (2007). The effects of chloramphenicol, thiamphenicol and florfenicol on glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase(G6PD), reduced glutathione (GSH) enzyme activities and some haematological parameters in mice. Atatürk Üniv. Vet. Bil. Derg. 2 (4): 159-163.
- Khalifeh MS, Amawi MW, Abu-Basha EA, Yonis IB (2009).
 Assessment of humoral and cellular mediated immune response in chickens treated with tilmicosin, florfenicol or enrofloxacin at the time of Newcastle disease vaccination. Poult. Sci. 88 (10) 2118–2124. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2009-00215
- Khodary KM, Rizk HI (2000). Effect of florfenicol on some blood constituents of buffalo calves. J. Vet. Med. Res. II (1): 93-102.
- Kobal S (2004). Florfenicol and its use in Vet. Medicine. Vet. Novice. 30 (2): 49-52.
- Kobayashi SD, Voyich JM, DeLeo FR (2003). Regulation of the neutrophil-mediated inflammatory response to infection. Microb. Infect. 5: 1337–1344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. micinf.2003.09.013
- Koller A (1984). Total serum protein. In: Clin. Chem. The C.V. Mosby Co. St Louis. Toronto. Princeton; 1316-1324

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

investigation of its effect on immunity in goats.

All authors contributed equally.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest related to this publication.

It is concluded that therapeutic dose of florfenicol enhanced

total leukocytes especially lymphocytes and monocytes in

baladi goats and more studies may be needed for further

REFERENCES

- Adams PE, Varma KJ, Powers TE, Lamendola JF (1987). Tissue concentrations and pharmacokinetics of florfenicol in male veal calves given repeated doses. Am. J. Vet. Res. 48(12): 1725-1732.
- Afifi NA, El Sooud KA (1997). Tissue concentration and pharmacokinetics of florfenicol in broiler chicken. Br. Poult. Sci. 38 (4): 425-428. https://doi. org/10.1080/00071669708418013
- Aiello SE, Moses SA, Allen DG, Constable PD, Dart A, Davies PI, Quesenberry KE, Reeves PT, Sharma JM (2016). The merck veterinary manual. merck and co., INC. Kenilworth, NJ, USA. 11th Edition P: 3176-3179.
- Ali BH, Al Qarawi AA, Hashaad M (2003). Comparative plasma pharmacokinetics and tolerance of florfenicol following intramuscular and intravenous administration to camels, sheep and goats. Vet. Res. Comm. 27 (6): 475-483. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025741724701
- Almajano J, Calvo JE, Madelenat A, Rios A (1998). Efficacy of florfenicol compared to a penicillin streptomycin/dexamethasone formulation in the treatment of bovine respiratory syndrome. Med. Vet. 15(3): 148-157.
- Amacher DE (1998). Serum transaminase elevations as indicators of hepatic injury following the administration of drugs. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 27: 119–130. https://doi. org/10.1006/rtph.1998.1201
- Angelos JA, Dueger EL, George LW, Carrier TK, Mihalyi JE, Cosgrove SB, Johnson JC (2000). Efficacy of florfenicol for treatment of naturally occurring infectious bovine keratoconjunctivitis. J. Am. Vet. Med. Ass. 216 (1): 62-64. https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.2000.216.62
- Breitzlaff KN, Neff Davis CA, Ott RS, Koritz GD, Gustafsson BK, Davis LE (1987). Florfenicol in non lactating dairy cows: pharmacokinetics, binding to plasma proteins, and effects on phagocytosis by blood neutrophils. J. Vet. Pharm. Therap. 10 (3): 233-240. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2885.1987.tb00534.x
- Brito Galvao JF, Center SA (2012). Fluid, electrolyte, and acid-Base disturbances in liver disease. In: Fluid, electrolyte, and acid-base disorders in small animal practice (Fourth Edition), Elsevier. pp. 456-499. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4377-0654-3.00026-3
- ·Cannon M, Harfold S, Davies J (1990). A comparative study

and 418.

- Kroll M (1999). Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry, 3rd edition. Burtis CA, Ashwood ER. WB Saunders Co.
- Levitt DG, Levitt MD (2014). Quantitative assessment of the multiple processes responsible for bilirubin homeostasis in health and disease. Clin. Exp. Gastroenterol. 7: 307–328. https://doi.org/10.2147/CEG.S64283
- Lis M, Szczypka M, Suszko A, Świtała M, Obmińska-Mrukowicz B (2011). The effects of florfenicol on lymphocyte subsets and humoral immune response in mice. Polish J. Vet. Sci. 14 (2): 191-198. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10181-011-0029-4
- Lobell RD, Varma KJ, Johnson JC, Sams RA, Gerken DF, Ascheraft SM (1994). Pharmacokinetics of florfenicol following intravenous and intramuscular doses to cattle. J. Vet. Pharmacol. Therap., 17: 253-258. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2885.1994.tb00241.x
- Lockwood PW, Haas V, Katz T, Varma KJ, De Haas V, Trenti F (1994). Clinical efficacy of florfenicol in the treatment of bovine respiratory disease in Europe and North America. Proceedings 18th World Buiatrics Congress: 26th Congress of the Italian Association of Buiatrics, Bologna, Italy. 1. 551-554.
- Lundén T, Miettinen S, Lönnström LG, Lilius EM, Bylund G. (1999). Effect of florfenicol on the immune response of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 67: 317-325. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2427(98)00232-3
- •McKellar QA, Varma KJ (1996). Pharmacokinetics and tolerance of florfenicol in Equidae. Equine Vet. J. 28 (3): 209-213. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2042-3306.1996.tb03774.x
- •Murray R (1984). Aspartate aminotransferase and Alanine aminotransferase. Clin. Chem. C.V. Mosby Co. St Louis. Toronto. Princeton. 1984: 1088-1116.
- Neu HC, Fu KP (1980). In vitro activity of chloramphenicol and thiamphenicol analogs. Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth. 18: 311-316.
- Quintavalla F, Pagani C, Guareschi D, Katz T, Lockwood PW, Crivello M (1997). Efficacy and safety of florfenicol. Clinical evaluation in respiratory diseases of young beef cattle. Obiettivi e-Documenti Veterinari. 18 (10): 67-72. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.18.2.311

- Sams RA (1994). Florfenicol: chemistry and metabolism of a novel broad spectrum antibiotic. Proc. XVIII World Buiatrics Congr. Bologna, Italy. pp. 13-17.
- Schultz A (1984). Uric acid. In: Clin. Chem. C.V. Mosby Co. St Louis. Toronto. Princeton; 1261-1266 and 418.
- •Shah JM, Qureshi TA, Sayed T, Shah QA, Kalhoro IB, Arain MA, Saeed M, Siyal FA, Bhutto ZA (2016). Evaluation of therapeutic and high doses of florfenicol on some hematological indexes in goat. Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv. 11(10): 637-642. https://doi.org/10.3923/ajava.2016.637.642
- Shenton JM, Rebelatto MC (2015). Consequences and indicators of test article-related immune suppression in nonhuman primates. Nonhuman Primate Nonclin. Drug Dev. Saf. Assess., Acad. Press, pp. 215-234. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417144-2.00011-1
- Shiry N, Soltanian S, Shomali T, Paknejad H, Hoseinifar SH (2019). Immunomodulatory effects of orally administrated florfenicol in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) following experimental challenge with streptococcosis/lactococcosis. Int. Immunopharmacol. 73: 236–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2019.05.007
- Skolimowski IM, Knight RC, Edwards DI (1983). Molecular basis of chloramphenicol and thiamphenicol toxicity to DNA in vitro. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 12: 535-542. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/12.6.535
- Tamhane AC, Dunlop DD (2000). Statistics and data analysis from elementary to intermediate. Upper Saddle River, USA.
- Ueda Y, Ohtsukis, Naruk-Awan (1995). Efficacy of Florfenicol on experimental Actinobacillus pleuropneumonia in Pigs. Vet. Med. Sci. 57: 261-265. https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.57.261
- Vandyke S, Wallace L, Sterle SW, Daniel JA, Holmberg BJ, Keisler DH (1999). Treatment of ovine foot rot use of florfenicol versus oxytetracycline for treatment of ovine foot rot. Sheep Goat Res. J. 15 (2): 54-57.
- •Wang D, Christopher D, Yinglun L (2011). Therapy scheme and pharmacokinetics of injecting florfenicol in contagious caprine pleu-ropneumonia goats. Pac. Agric. Nat. Resour. 3: 23–28.
- •Webster D (1974). Clin. Chem. Acta 53: 109-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981(74)90358-1
- Young DS (1995). Effects of drugs on Clinical Lab. Tests, 4th ed. Washington, D.C. AACC Press.

