



The Level of Endotoxin in Organs, Antibiotic Sensitivity, and Serotyping of Bacteria Isolated from Cats and Dogs with Septicaemia

Rathiymler Maniam¹, Faez Firdaus Jesse Abdullah², Zunita Zakaria³, Mohd Zamri Saad¹, Annas Salleh^{1*}

¹Department of Veterinary Laboratory Diagnosis, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia; ²Department of Veterinary Clinical Studies, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia; ³Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

Abstract | Septicaemia is the presence of bacteria and its toxins in blood circulation, potentially leads to sepsis and death. Despite its importance in human medicine, study pertaining to septicaemia in veterinary medicine has been lacking. The study was conducted to measure the concentration of endotoxin in vital organs and their relationship with point of entry of septicaemia in dogs and cats with septicaemia. Subsequently, common septicaemic agents and their sensitivity towards antibiotics were determined. Fifty carcasses of cats and dogs were selected. Samples of the heart, lungs, liver, and kidney were collected for bacterial identification and endotoxin concentration quantitation. The three most commonly isolated bacteria were subjected to antimicrobial sensitivity testing using disc diffusion technique. As *Escherichia coli* was later determined as the most common bacteria, the isolates were subjected to *in silico* serotyping. It was observed that *E. coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* were the most commonly isolated bacteria in cats and dogs with septicaemia. Endotoxin was detected from all of the collected organs, with significantly ($p < 0.05$) high concentration of endotoxin in lungs and kidney when septicaemia originated from the respiratory tract or urinary tract, respectively. These findings were consistent in both cat and dog. *Escherichia coli* were sensitive to sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim and enrofloxacin, and resistant to clindamycin (intrinsic resistance), *K. pneumoniae* were observed to be resistant towards sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, clindamycin (intrinsic resistance), cephalexin, enrofloxacin, and amoxicillin (intrinsic resistance). On the other hand, *S. pseudintermedius* were sensitive towards all of the tested antibiotics. *In silico* serotyping of *E. coli* revealed high percentage of serotype O104:H4 and O102:H18 which were associated with infections in human. *E. coli* is the most commonly isolated bacteria and the gastrointestinal is the most common point of entry for septicaemia in cats and dogs. Common septicaemic agents of these companion animals showed sensitivity and resistance towards antibiotics commonly used in veterinary practice.

Keywords | Septicaemia, Cat, Dog, Endotoxin, Antibiotic sensitivity

Received | March 09, 2020; **Accepted** | April 15, 2020; **Published** | May 15, 2020

***Correspondence** | Annas Salleh, Department of Veterinary Laboratory Diagnosis, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia; **Email:** annas@upm.edu.my

Citation | Maniam R, Abdullah FFJ, Zakaria Z, Saad MZ, Salleh A (2020). The level of endotoxin in organs, antibiotic sensitivity, and serotyping of bacteria isolated from cats and dogs with septicaemia. Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci. 8(6): 614-623.

DOI | <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2020/8.6.614.623>

ISSN (Online) | 2307-8316; **ISSN (Print)** | 2309-3331

Copyright © 2020 Lukkananukool *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Septicaemia is a condition where there is persistent presence of microorganism, usually bacteria, and its toxins in the blood circulation. This subsequently leads to overwhelming systemic inflammatory response by

the host, termed as sepsis, which is potentially fatal. Septicaemia and sepsis have been extensively studied in the human medicine, but not in veterinary medicine. Septicaemia has been shown to be an important condition contributed to mortality of cats and dogs (Rathiymler *et al.*, 2017). Gram-positive bacteria is commonly associated

with septicaemia in human (Ramachandran, 2014). On the contrary, Gram-negative bacteria are more common in animals with *Escherichia coli* being the most common pathogen in carcasses of septicaemic cats and dogs (Maniam et al., 2019; Zakaria et al., 2019)

Pathogenesis of septicaemia involves bacterial colonization in an organ prior to rapid release of endotoxin, followed by its entry into the blood circulation. This is followed by multiplication and further release of endotoxin to cause acute death of animals (Annas et al., 2015). Similar pathogenic mechanism could be employed by other septicaemic-causing Gram-negative pathogens in dogs and cats. Common points of entry of septicaemia are via the gastrointestinal, respiratory, integumentary and urinary systems (Maniam et al., 2019). Since different body systems are closely related with different vital organs, it is intriguing to investigate the role of endotoxin in various vital organs of septicaemic dogs and cats.

Treatment of septicaemia and sepsis principally focused towards eradication of the aetiological agent using antimicrobial agents, management of fever, respiratory stabilization, restoration of organ perfusion, and controlling inflammatory reactions (Gauer, 2013). In human medicine, despite the advancement in pharmacotherapy and supportive care, the mortality rates due to septic shock and sepsis remained high. While some antimicrobial drugs are effective in killing the pathogen, they may not be effective in removing endotoxin from the blood circulation. This has led to few studies in human and veterinary medicines aimed at assessing the removal or neutralization of endotoxin (Davies and Cohen, 2011). Other issue pertaining to antimicrobial usage in treatment of septicaemia is the development of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) (Lanz et al., 2003; Inglis and Urosevic, 2017).

This study was conducted to determine the common septicaemic agents of cats and dogs, concentration of endotoxin in vital organs and their relationship with point of entry, sensitivity of the common septicaemic agents towards commonly used antibiotics, and serotyping of the most common septicaemic agent.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

Carcasses of cats and dogs were obtained from the Post-mortem Laboratory, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, and from two veterinary clinics and one animal shelter in Klang Valley, Malaysia throughout the year 2017. Necropsy and sample collections were made only on carcasses that were examined in less than 18 hours after death. Samples of the heart, lungs, liver, and kidney were aseptically collected and were subjected to

bacterial isolation and identification by routine biochemical tests. Cases from which similar bacteria were isolated in three or more samples were diagnosed as septicaemia. Subsequently, the point of entry of the microorganism was determined based on the severity of lesion and the post-mortem examination as previously described (Maniam et al., 2019). Those carcasses that were not diagnosed as septicaemia were excluded from the study. This screening process was done until a total of 50 septicaemia cases (25 cats and 25 dogs) were obtained. The collected organs were homogenized, and kept at -30°C while waiting for confirmation of septicaemia. These organs were later subjected to endotoxin quantitation. Treatment histories from cases diagnosed as septicaemia were traced to analysed the therapeutic history.

BACTERIAL ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION

The organ samples were subjected to bacterial isolation as previously described (Aggrawal, 2014). Briefly, the surface of the organs was seared using a heated scalpel blade before incising the tissue. Sterile swabs were inserted into the tissues, and were cultured onto blood agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Growth of bacterial colonies with similar morphology from three or more organs was tentatively diagnosed as septicaemia. For confirmation of septicaemia, these bacterial colonies were subjected to routine biochemical identification as described (Carter and Cole, 2012). Briefly, the pure bacterial colonies were stained with Gram stain. The resultant Gram-negative bacteria were cultured on eosin methylene blue agar (EMBA) and MacConkey agar and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C , and subjected to biochemical tests of oxidase, triple sugar iron agar, sulphur indole motility, urease, and citrate tests. On the other hand, Gram-positive bacteria were subjected to catalase test. Catalase positive results were subjected to slide coagulation, hemolysin, VP, maltose, mannitol, and ADH tests, while catalase negative results were subjected to hemolysins, bile esculin, sucrose, glucose, maltose, and lactose tests. Results of the test were used to identify genus or species of bacteria according to previously described (Carter and Cole, 2012).

QUANTITATION OF ENDOTOXIN IN ORGANS

Endotoxin concentrations in the lungs, liver, and kidney were determined using Limulus Amoebocyte Lysate (LAL) Assay (Pierce LAL Chromogenic Endotoxin Quantitation Kit, Thermo Scientific, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol as previously described (Annas et al., 2015). Briefly, endotoxin standard stock from *E. coli* provided in the kit was prepared to final endotoxin concentrations of 0.5 endotoxin unit (EU)/ml, 0.25 EU/ml, and 0.1 EU/ml. Microplates were heated for 10 minutes at 37°C before 50 μl of each endotoxin standard was dispensed into the microplate wells and incubated for 5 minutes at 37°C . Next, 50 μl of LAL

was added into each well, gently shaken and incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes. This was followed by adding 100 µl of substrate solution into each well, gently shaken and incubated at 37°C for 6 minutes. Subsequently, 50 µl of stopping reagent (25% acetic acid) was added and gently shaken to stop the reaction. Absorbance was measured at 405 nm on a plate reader. The average absorbance of blank replicates was subtracted from the average absorbance of all individual endotoxin standards. Standard curves were prepared based on the endotoxin standards, and standard curve with coefficient of determination (r^2) value of more than 0.98 were used.

Should a particular carcass was diagnosed with septicaemia, its homogenized organs were thawed and subjected to determination of endotoxin quantitation. Seventy-five µl of the homogenate were separated into sterile micro-centrifuge tube partially immersed in ice bath and 150 µl of 0.32 M perchloric acid was added to avoid presence of inhibitors to the lysate. The mixture was then incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 15 minutes. Then, the supernatant was added with equal volume of 0.18 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH). The resultant aliquot was subjected to endotoxin quantitation using similar protocol and standard curves as describe for the endotoxin standards. Using the standard curve, the value of the endotoxin was determined and expressed as EU/ml, and compared between different organs and different bacterial species.

ANTIMICROBIAL SENSITIVITY TEST

Based on the bacterial identification, the three most commonly isolated bacteria in cases of septicaemia were selected. These bacteria were subjected to antimicrobial sensitivity test using the disc diffusion technique. Selection of antibiotics were made based on the commonly used antibiotics in small animal clinics and hospitals in Malaysia as well as based on recommended antibiotics by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute CLSI VET (2018). A total of 6 antimicrobial agents of different concentrations were used; amoxicillin 10 µg, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid 30 µg, cephalexin 30 µg, enrofloxacin 5 µg, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim 25 µg and clindamycin 2 µg. The zone of inhibition was calculated in triplicate, and interpreted based on the CLSI VET (2018) guidelines into susceptible, intermediate, and resistant. Since the aim was to investigate sensitivity of common isolated bacteria against commonly used antibiotics, the intrinsic resistance of bacteria against certain antibiotics were not considered prior to testing.

IN SILICO SEROTYPING OF *E. COLI*

Since *E. coli* was eventually determined as the most common causative agent for septicaemia in cats and dogs, all isolates

of *E. coli* were subjected to genomic serotyping as previously described (Jenkins et al., 2015; Joensen et al., 2015). Briefly, all bacterial isolates that have been identified as *E. coli* by biochemical test were prepared in three sets of pure colonies. They were subjected to complete chromosomal genome sequencing (Apical Scientific Sdn. Bhd. Malaysia). A FASTA database was constructed, and consisted of the assembled genome. This was then uploaded to Sero Type Finder gene database (Joensen et al., 2015), with *E. coli* as the selected organism, at 85% threshold for %ID, 60% of minimum length of nucleotide sequence. The database was based on the O-antigen processing system genes *wzx*, *wzy*, *wzm*, and *wzt* for in silico O typing and the flagellin genes *fliC*, *fliA*, *fliM*, *fliN*, and *fliA* for in silico H typing.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The significant differences between microorganism isolated and treatment intervention and antibiotic sensitivity testing were analysed using Pearson Chi-Square method. The endotoxin measurements of each organ from all the 50 cases were analysed using Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's multiple comparison test to find the relationship of endotoxin level compared to the point of entry and microorganism isolated.

RESULTS

HISTORY OF ANTIBIOTIC TREATMENT

Among the 25 dogs with septicaemia, 17 (68%) were treated with antibiotics during hospitalization, significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than those 8 (32%) without antibiotic treatment 8 [Chi square test, X^2 (1, $N=25 = 88.3, p = 0.036$)]. Among cats, 21 (84%) were treated with antibiotics, significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than the 4 (16%) without antibiotic intervention [Chi square test, X^2 (1, $N=25 = 99.2, p = 0.018$)].

BACTERIAL ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION

For cats that were diagnosed with septicaemia, 3 (12%) cases yielded pure isolation of *E. coli* whereas the other 22 (88%) cases had a mixture of 2 or more types of bacteria. *Escherichia coli* was commonly isolated from cats at 68%, followed by *K. pneumoniae* and *S. pseudintermedius* at 48% and 36%, respectively. However, no significant ($p > 0.05$) different was observed between the type of bacterial isolation in cats.

As for the dogs, all 25 cases had mixed infection of 2 or more bacteria. Isolation of *E. coli* was significantly ($p < 0.05$) more frequent, involving 84% of the cases compared to other bacteria. Similar to cats, this was followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* at 56% and 44%, respectively. Summary of bacterial isolation from the cats and dogs are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Total number of each microorganism isolated with their respective percentages among the septicaemic cats and dogs.

Microorganism	Number of cases in cats / %	Number of cases in dogs / %	Total
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	17 (68%)	21 (84%)*	38
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	12 (48%)	14 (56%)	26
<i>Staphylococcus pseudintermedius</i>	9 (36%)	11 (44%)	20
<i>Staphylococcus intermedius</i>	8 (32%)	8 (32%)	16
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	7 (28%)	7 (28%)	14
<i>Streptococcus canis</i> biotype 3	1 (4%)	10 (40%)	11
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	2 (8%)	8 (32%)	10
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	4 (16%)	5 (20%)	9
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	0	7 (28%)	7
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	2 (8%)	4 (16%)	6
<i>Pasteurella multocida</i>	3(12%)	3 (12%)	6
<i>Streptococcus canis</i> biotype 2	5 (20%)	0	5
<i>Aeromonas</i> sp.	0	5 (20%)	5
<i>Rhodococcus equi</i>	5 (20%)	0	5
<i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	1 (4%)	4 (15%)	5
<i>Pasteurella</i> sp.	2 (8%)	2 (8%)	4
<i>Streptococcus viridians</i>	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	3
<i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	1 (4%)	0	1
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	0	1 (4%)	1
Total	80	112	192

Chi-square test, $X^2(15, N=25) = 23.8, p=0.038$. **E. coli* is significantly isolated compared to other bacteria among septicaemic dogs. Chi-square test, $X^2(15, N=25) = 52.9, p=0.471$, there is no significant difference of between these types of bacteria causing septicaemia among the cats.

Table 2: Mean \pm SD concentrations of endotoxin in organs and points of entry in cats and dogs diagnosed with septicaemia.

Animal	Points of Entry	Concentration of endotoxin in organs (EU/ml)			
		Liver	Lung	Kidney	Heart
Cat	Respiratory	3.16 \pm 1.36	4.67 \pm 1.50*	0.92 \pm 0.56	1.58 \pm 0.93
	Gastrointestinal	3.69 \pm 0.81	2.25 \pm 0.72	1.19 \pm 0.63	1.21 \pm 0.21
	Integumentary	3.28 \pm 1.82	1.92 \pm 0.62	1.12 \pm 0.71	1.54 \pm 0.47
	Urinary	1.43 \pm 1.03	1.60 \pm 1.07	3.92 \pm 0.84*	1.55 \pm 0.83
	Total	11.5483	10.4375	7.16	5.88
Dog	Gastrointestinal	4.29 \pm 1.2*	1.47 \pm 0.74	1.33 \pm 0.51	1.50 \pm 0.75
	Integumentary	2.80 \pm 0.91	1.20 \pm 1.71	0.90 \pm 0.83	1.21 \pm 0.39
	Urinary	2.58 \pm 0.67	1.45 \pm 0.76	4.09 \pm 0.88*	1.74 \pm 0.71
	Respiratory	2.18 \pm 0.34	4.61 \pm 1.24	1.30 \pm 0.62	1.47 \pm 0.27
	Reproductive	2.73 \pm 0.27	0.74 \pm 0.76	3.59 \pm 1.43	1.89 \pm 0.56
	Musculoskeletal	4.29 \pm 0.69	1.07 \pm 0.34	1.00 \pm 0.11	2.3 \pm 0.96
	Total	18.87	10.543	12.22	10.19

* indicates significance difference at $p<0.05$.

NDOTOXIN CONCENTRATIONS IN ORGANS

In general, endotoxin was detected in all organs of all cases of septicaemia in dogs and cats. Significant ($p<0.05$) difference in the endotoxin concentration was observed

between the four organs of both cats [$F(3,21) = 9.01, p=0.029$] and dogs [$F(3, 21) = 7.53, p= 0.031$]. In cats, the endotoxin concentration in the liver (3.0EU/ml \pm 0.31) was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher than the kidney (1.52EU/

ml±0.36) and heart (1.45EU/ml±0.13). The endotoxin concentration in the lung (2.96EU/ml±0.29) was not significantly ($p>0.05$) different to the other three organs. In dogs, the concentration of endotoxin in liver (3.70EU/ml±0.55) was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher than the lung (1.51EU/ml±0.72), kidney (1.67EU/ml±0.81) and heart (1.53EU/ml±0.35).

ASSOCIATION BETWEEN ENDOTOXIN CONCENTRATION IN ORGANS AND POINT OF ENTRY OF SEPTICAEMIA

Significant ($p<0.05$) differences were observed between the respiratory, gastrointestinal, integumentary, and urinary systems for cats. In dogs, only gastrointestinal, integumentary, and urinary systems were observed, as musculoskeletal and reproductive systems were involved in only one case (Table 2).

In cats, statistical analysis showed significant ($p<0.05$) difference in the endotoxin concentration in the lungs when septicaemia was originated from the respiratory system compared to gastrointestinal, integumentary, and urinary systems. Significant ($p<0.05$) difference was also observed in the endotoxin concentration of the kidney in cases where septicaemia was originated from the urinary system, compared to other points of entry. No significant ($p>0.05$) difference was observed for the endotoxin concentration in the liver and heart with any of the points of entry (Table 2).

In dogs, significant ($p<0.05$) difference was observed in the endotoxin concentration of the liver in cases where septicaemia was originated from the gastrointestinal system compared to the urinary, or integumentary systems. The concentration of endotoxin in the kidney was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher in cases when septicaemia originated from the urinary system compared to other points of entry. However, no significant ($p>0.05$) difference was noted for the concentration of endotoxin in the lungs and heart with any point of entry (Table 2).

ASSOCIATION BETWEEN ENDOTOXIN CONCENTRATION IN ORGANS AND ISOLATED BACTERIA

No significant ($p>0.05$) difference was observed between the bacteria isolated and endotoxin concentrations in both dogs [F (1, 23) = 11.63, $p= 0.791$] and cats [F (2, 22) = 13.71, $p= 0.827$]. Thus, no significant association among the endotoxin concentration could be concluded according to the types of bacteria isolated. However, in both dogs and cats, total endotoxin concentrations were highest in cases with two or more Gram-negative bacterial isolations compared to pure isolation of *E. coli* and cases with mixture of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial isolations (Table 3).

ANTIBIOTIC SENSITIVITY TEST

The antibiotic sensitivity testing revealed that 100% and 63.2% of the *E. coli* isolated from cats and dogs were sensitive to sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim and enrofloxacin, respectively. This was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher compared to other tested antibiotics. Furthermore, 94% of the *E. coli* isolates were resistant to clindamycin, which was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher compared to the other tested antibiotics. The *E. coli* isolated from dogs was significantly ($p<0.05$) more sensitive towards amoxicillin compared to those isolated from cats (Table 4).

As for the *K. pneumoniae* isolates, high percentage of resistance was observed towards sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (88.5%), clindamycin (88%), cephalexin (84.6%), enrofloxacin (84.6%), and amoxicillin (76.9%), which was significant ($p<0.05$) compared to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (Table 4).

For *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*, the isolates were significantly ($p<0.05$) sensitive to all of the antibiotics tested, with insignificant ($p>0.05$) difference for intermediate sensitivity and resistance to all of the tested antibiotics (Table 4).

IN SILICO SEROTYPING OF *E. COLI*

Genomic sequencing of *E. coli* from the carcasses of septicaemic cats revealed that the most common serotype of *E. coli* isolated was O2:H6, followed by O179:H9. On the other hand, in dogs, *E. coli* of serotype O104:H4 and O102:H18 were most commonly isolated, followed by the serotype O89:H9 and O6:H31 (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

This study highlights the common bacterial species that cause septicaemia in cats and dogs, where *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* were identified as the three most commonly isolated bacteria. As previously observed, Gram-negative bacteria represent the major group of pathogen causing septicaemia in cat and dog. This group of bacteria possess endotoxin (Ramachandran, 2014) known to be an integral contributing factor for causing lethal shock in human and animals (Osterbur et al., 2014). It is most likely that a large portion of bacterial septicaemia is attributed to Gram-negative bacteria due to their capability to survive and to impair the host defence. Both of which are brought about by their endotoxin (Jan, 2017; Khan et al., 2018). On the other hand, Gram-positive bacteria lacks endotoxin, thus the endotoxin concentrations were consistently low in cases of septicaemia by mixed infection by Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.

Table 3: Mean±SD concentrations of endotoxin in organs and the microorganism isolated from cats and dogs with septicaemia

Animal	Bacteria isolation	Types of bacteria	Concentration of endotoxin in organs (EU/ml)				Total
			Liver	Lung	Kidney	Heart	
Cat	Single	Escherichia coli	1.62± 0.96	1.29± 1.21	3.07± 1.18	1.13± 0.14	7.11
	Mixture	Gram-negative only	3.49± 0.32	3.47± 0.64	1.44± 0.79	1.54± 0.45	9.93
		Gram-negative and Gram-positive	2.95± 0.44	2.79± 0.71	1.13± 0.54	1.43± 0.87	8.31
Dog	Mixture	Gram-negative only	4.32± 1.54	1.46± 0.76	1.70± 0.66	1.65± 0.86	9.12
		Gram-negative and Gram-positive	2.91± 0.75	1.57± 0.99	1.64± 0.34	1.38± 1.72	7.50

Table 4: Antibiotic sensitivity of bacteria isolated from carcasses of cats and dogs with septicaemia to commonly used antibiotics.

Bacteria	Sensitivity	Percentage of isolates and their antibiotic sensitivity (%) [number of samples for cat, dog]					
		Amo	Amo/Cla	Cep	Enro	Sul/Tri	Cli
<i>E. coli</i> (n=38)	Sensitive	52.6	44.7	36.8	63.2*	100*	6.0
		[4,16*]	[7,10]	[5,9]	[10,14]	[17,21]	[0,2]
	Intermediate	0	2.6	13.2	31.6	0	0
		[0,0]	[0,1]	[1,4]	[5,7]	[0,0]	[0,0]
	Resistant	47.4	52.6	50.0	5.2	0	94.0
		[13,5*]	[10,10]	[11,8]	[2,0]	[0,0]	[17,19]
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (=26)	Sensitive	19.2	0	0	0	3.9	0
		[2,3]	[0,0]	[0,0]	[0,0]	[0,1]	[0,0]
	Intermediate	3.9	50.0	15.4	15.4	7.6	2.0
		[0,1]	[7,6]	[0,4]	[2,2]	[0,2]	[1,2]
	Resistant	76.9*	50	84.6*	84.6*	88.5*	88*
		[10,10]	[5,8]	[12,10]	[10,12]	[12,11]	[11,12]
<i>S. pseud-intermedius</i> (n=20)	Sensitive	100*	100*	100*	80.0*	80.0*	75.0*
		[9,11]	[9,11]	[9,11]	[7,9]	[9,7]	[6,9]
	Intermediate	0	0	0	20.0	15.0	10.0
		[0,0]	[0,0]	[0,0]	[2,2]	[0,3]	[1,1]
	Resistant	0	0	0	0	5.0	15.0
		[0,0]	[0,0]	[0,0]	[0,0]	[0,1]	[2,1]

* indicates significance difference at p<0.05 between different antibiotics, or between cat and dog. Amo: amoxicillin; Amo/Cla: amoxicillin/clavulanic acid; Cep: cephalexin; Enro: enrofloxacin; Sul/Tri: sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim; Cli: clindamycin. Green shaded areas indicate suggested groupings of antimicrobial agents; yellow shaded areas indicate groupings of antimicrobial agents not suggested by CLSI VET.

Table 5: In silico genomic serotyping of *E. coli* isolated from septicaemic carcasses of cats and dogs

Species of origin	Strain	Cases (%)	Serotype
Cat	<i>E. coli</i> strain Mt1B1	5(29.4%)	O2:H6
	<i>E. coli</i> strain AR434	4(23.5%)	O179:H9
	<i>E. coli</i> strain AR436	2(11.8%)	O13:H4
	<i>E. coli</i> FDAARGOS 144 chromosome	2(11.8%)	O13/O135:H4
	<i>E. coli</i> STEC299	2(11.8%)	O102:H18
	<i>E. coli</i> 2015C-4136CT1	2(11.8%)	O145:H34
Dog	<i>E. coli</i> O104:H4 strain LB226692	5(23.8%)	O104:H4
	<i>E. coli</i> STEC299	5(23.8%)	O102:H18
	<i>E. coli</i> strain AR435	3(14.3%)	O89:H9
	<i>E. coli</i> strain K-15KW01	3(14.3%)	O6:H31
	<i>E. coli</i> RM4715	2(9.5%)	O145:H34
	<i>E. coli</i> strain AR437	1(4.8%)	O8:H21
	<i>E. coli</i> BH100N substrain MG2017	1(4.8%)	ONT:H31
	<i>E. coli</i> ECCRA-119 chromosome	1(4.8%)	O103:H25

In this study, most dogs and cats that succumbed to bacterial septicaemia were previously treated with antibiotics. Treatment using antibiotics has been postulated to result in sudden release of endotoxin into the host's circulation due to the killing of the bacteria (Holzheimer, 2001). This further aggravates toxemia and sepsis. Furthermore, the endotoxin may affect the host acutely prior to administration of treatment. It was found that the endotoxin acts before bacterial proliferation and causes acute kidney injury (Bellomo et al., 2017; Annas et al., 2015). The endotoxin is capable of causing apoptosis to the endothelial cells (Ramachandran, 2014), leading to sudden death associated with multiple organ dysfunction (Simmons and Pittet, 2015). In this study, however, the types of antibiotic used were not entirely traceable for analysis.

Analysis of the relationships between endotoxin concentrations in vital organs and points of entry for microorganism showed that endotoxin concentrations are highest in an organ adjacent to the point of entry. For example, concentrations of endotoxin in the liver of dogs are highest when septicaemia was originated from the gastrointestinal system. Similar findings were observed in the kidney and urinary tract, and the lungs and respiratory tract. The liver receives and filters blood from the gastrointestinal system. Thus when septicaemia originated from this system, the endotoxin is absorbed into the blood circulation and accumulates in the liver. The liver plays an important role in activation of Kupffer cells and neutralization of bacterial endotoxin (Gaddam et al., 2017), but this also directly increases the build-up of endotoxin in liver that leads to liver damage (Bode and Bode, 2005; Nolan, 2010). In cats, the endotoxin concentration in the liver is the highest when septicaemia was originated from the gastrointestinal system, but statistically insignificant. It is intriguing whether the liver of cats is more efficient in endotoxin neutralization compared to those of dogs.

The respiratory, gastrointestinal, and urinary systems are made up of tracts with orifices exposed to the external environment. Parts of these tracts harbour opportunistic pathogen (Nmema, 2017; Klepikov, 2019). The effect of endotoxin or septicaemia from one of these tract could lead to failure of the vital organs associated with it should no treatment is provided. Stress and immunosuppression, lower urinary tract diseases of cats, normal flora of the respiratory system such as *Pasteurella multocida*, and gastrointestinal diseases are some major risk factors that could lead to infections in these body systems (Lekcharoensuk et al., 2001; Puspitasari et al., 2018). Infection in these tracts would lead to septicaemia leading to death as observed in this study.

In this study, it was discovered that *E. coli* was only significantly sensitive to sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim

and enrofloxacin. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has been a major issue as many bacterial pathogens are multidrug resistant (MDR) microorganism. This occurred largely due to inappropriate usage of antimicrobial drugs and the capability of each bacterial pathogen to escape the antimicrobial mechanism (Zowawi, 2016). In most veterinary practices, antimicrobial sensitivity test is not being practiced, which could contribute to AMR. If a bacterial pathogen is resistant to the used antimicrobial agent, outcome of the patient would not be improved even by early initiation of antibiotic treatment (Minasyan, 2017). Most commonly studied bacterial pathogens are *Salmonella* sp and methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) due to their public health concern (Murphy et al., 2009). However, throughout the years, *E. coli* has become a major concern too as it is a common enteric microflora that may be opportunistic pathogen (McInnes et al., 2020). It can potentially spread the resistant genes from human to animal and vice versa (Murphy et al., 2009). Out of the six antibiotics commonly used in small animal practices, *E. coli* are sensitive to only two antibiotics. Despite the sensitivity towards enrofloxacin, previous study reported that *E. coli* isolated from dogs with urinary tract infection was resistant to enrofloxacin (McMeekin et al., 2017). Improper usage of antibiotics have led the uprising of extended spectrum β -lactamase producing *E. coli* in countries including India (Chaudhuri et al., 2011), South Korea (Park et al., 2014), Thailand (Kanoksil et al., 2013), Cambodia (Vlieghe et al., 2015), Turkey (Saltoglu et al., 2015) and Romania (Hristea et al., 2015) that are resistance to aminoglycosides, quinolone, tetracyclines and sulfamethoxazole, and trimethoprim (Livermore, 2012; Akova, 2016).

Klebsiella pneumoniae isolated in this study were not sensitive to all of the antibiotics tested. Out of the six antibiotics, *K. pneumoniae* was significantly resistant to five antibiotics. Similar observation was previously made by the World Health Organization, US Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, and the UK Department of Health (Kidd et al., 2017). *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was identified as a potential zoonosis threat (WHO, 2014) as it is an important cause of MDR infection worldwide (Kidd et al., 2017). However, the opposite result was seen for *S. pseudintermedius*. It was significantly sensitive to all six antibiotics tested. Hitherto, there were cases reported in human with infection of methicillin-resistant *S. pseudintermedius* (MRSP) ST17 with contact of dog, cat, and horses (Fessler et al., 2018). It was reported that currently the MRSA and MRSP have similar number of incidence and this is an alarming issue especially among the dog's population (Murphy et al., 2009). Even though in our study the *S. pseudintermedius* was sensitive towards all of the antibiotics, precautions should be placed when using antibiotics to prevent MDR among *S. pseudintermedius*.

To date, limited studies have been conducted to highlight the importance of certain strains or serotypes of *E. coli* in causing diseases in animals including for septicaemia and sepsis. Previous study concluded that extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC) were more important than other strains in causing infection in cats and dogs with few of these isolates showing antimicrobial resistance (Osugui et al., 2014). In this study, the most commonly isolated strain of *E. coli* from dogs were *E. coli* O104:H4 strain LB226692 and *E. coli* STEC299 O102:H18. The latter strain was also isolated in 11.8% of the septicaemia cases of cats. In the year 2011, *E. coli* O104:H4 was found to be the causative agent of a disease outbreak involving more than 3000 persons in Germany (Loman et al., 2012). This strain is known to be of an enteroaggregative *E. coli* lineage. Interestingly, similar *E. coli* strain was isolated in 20% of the septicaemia case of dogs. On the other hand, *E. coli* STEC299 is a Shiga-toxin-producing *E. coli* and the same strain was recently found to be able to produce a novel Shiga toxin 2 subtype (Bai et al., 2018). STEC is long-known to cause severe gastrointestinal infection in human. On the other hand, *E. coli* Mt1B1 identified in 24% of the cases of cats was previously linked as intestinal isolate of mouse origin. The genomic identification of *E. coli* from cases of septicaemia from dogs and cats highlights the public health concerns of some of these isolates.

CONCLUSIONS

Escherichia coli was commonly isolated from carcasses of cats and dogs with septicaemia. Gram-negative bacteria were isolated in all cases, with gastrointestinal tract as the common point of entry leading to the liver having the highest concentration of endotoxin. Septicaemic agents of dogs and cats have certain degree of sensitivity and resistance towards commonly used antibiotics in small animal clinics. Some commonly isolated bacteria from septicaemic cases shows intrinsic resistance towards the commonly used antibiotics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Universiti Putra Malaysia for funding the study. Putra Grant: Inisiatif Pensyarah Muda (IPM) (9500900).

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

RM conducted the study, data analysis, and preparation of manuscript. FFJA, ZZ, and MZS revised the manuscript. AS designed and supervised the study, and revised the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article

REFERENCES

- Aggrawal A (2014). APC essentials of forensic medicine and toxicology. Avichal publishing company.
- Akova M (2016). Epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance in bloodstream infections. *Virulence*; 7(3): 252-266. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2016.1159366>
- Annas S, Abubakar MS, Zamri-Saad M., Jesse FFA, Zunita, Z (2015). Pathological changes in the respiratory, gastrointestinal and urinary tracts of buffalo calves following experimental hemorrhagic septicemia. *Pak. Vet. J.*, 35(4): 35(4): 430-435.
- Annas S, Zamri-Saad M, Jesse FFA, Zunita Z (2015). Comparative clinicopathological changes in buffalo and cattle following infection by *Pasteurella multocida* B:2. *Microb. Pathog.*, 88: 94-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2015.08.009>
- Bai X, Fu S, Zhang J, Fan R, Xu Y, Sun H, He X, Xu J, Xiong Y (2018). Identification and pathogenomic analysis of an *Escherichia coli* strain producing a novel Shiga toxin 2 subtype. *Sci. Rep.* 8(1): 6756. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25233-x>
- Bellomo R, Kellum JA, Ronco C, Wald R, Martensson J, Maiden M, Bagshaw SM, Glassford NJ, Lankadeva Y, Vaara ST, Schneider A (2017). Acute kidney injury in sepsis. *Intensive Care Med.*, 43(6): 816-828. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-017-4755-7>
- Bode C, Bode JC (2005). Activation of the innate immune system and alcoholic liver disease: effects of ethanol per se or enhanced intestinal translocation of bacterial toxins induced by ethanol? *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 29: 166S-171S. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.alc.0000189280.19073.28>
- Carter GR, Cole Jr JR (2012). Diagnostic procedure in veterinary bacteriology and mycology. Academic Press.
- Chaudhuri BN, Rodrigues C, Balaji V, Iyer R, Sekar U, Wattal C, Joshi S (2011). Incidence of ESBL producers amongst Gram-negative bacilli isolated from intra-abdominal infections across India (based on SMART study, 2007 data). *J Assoc Physicians India.* 59(16): 1-6.
- CLSI (2018). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 24th informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S24. Clin. Lab. Stand. Inst., Wayne, PA.
- Davies B, Cohen J (2011). Endotoxin removal devices for the treatment of sepsis and septic shock. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 11(1): 65-71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(10\)70220-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(10)70220-6)
- Feßler AT, Schuenemann R, Kadlec K, Hensel V, Brombach J, Murugaiyan J, Oechtering G, Burgener IA, Schwarz S (2018). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* (MRSP) among employees and in the environment of a small animal hospital. *Vet. Microbiol.*, 221: 153-158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2018.06.001>
- Gaddam RR, Fraser R, Badiei A, Chambers S, Cogger VC, Le Couteur DG, Bhatia M (2017). Differential effects of kupffer cell inactivation on inflammation and the liver sieve following caecal-ligation and puncture-induced sepsis in mice. *Shock*, 47(4): 480-490. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SHK.0000000000000755>

- Gauer RL (2013). Early recognition and management of sepsis in adults: the first six hours. *Am Fam Physician* 2013; 88(1).
- Holzheimer RG (2001). Antibiotic induced endotoxin release and clinical sepsis: A review. *J. Chemother.*, 13(sup4): 159-172. <https://doi.org/10.1179/joc.2001.13.Supplement-2.159>
- Hristea A, Olaru ID, Adams-Sapper S, Riley LW (2015). Characterization of ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from bloodstream infections in three hospitals in Bucharest, Romania: a preliminary study. *Infect. Dis.* 47(1): 46-51. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00365548.2014.959043>
- Inglis TJ, Urosevic N (2017). Where sepsis and antimicrobial resistance countermeasures converge. *Front Public Health.* 5: 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00006>
- Jan AT (2017). Outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) of gram-negative bacteria: a perspective update. *Front Microbiol.* 8: 1053. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01053>
- Jenkins C (2015). Commentary: Whole-Genome Sequencing Data for Serotyping *Escherichia coli*. It's Time for a Change! *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 53(8): 2402-2403. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01448-15>
- Joensen KG, Tetzschner AM, Iguchi A, Aarestrup FM, Scheutz F (2015). Rapid and easy in silico serotyping of *Escherichia coli* isolates by use of whole-genome sequencing data. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 53(8): 2410-2426. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00008-15>
- Kanoksil M, Jatapai A, Peacock SJ, Limmathurotsakul D (2013). Epidemiology, microbiology and mortality associated with community-acquired bacteremia in northeast Thailand: a multicenter surveillance study. *PLoS One.* 8(1): e54714. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054714>
- Khan MM, Ernst O, Sun J, Fraser ID, Ernst RK, Goodlett DR, Nita-Lazar A (2018). Mass spectrometry-based structural analysis and systems immunoproteomics strategies for deciphering the host response to endotoxin. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 430(17): 2641-2660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2018.06.032>
- Kidd TJ, Mills G, Sa-Pessoa J, Dumigan A, Frank CG, Insua JL, Bengoechea JA (2017). A *Klebsiella pneumoniae* antibiotic resistance mechanism that subdues host defences and promotes virulence. *EMBO Mol. Med.*, 9(4): 430-447. <https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.201607336>
- Klepikov I (2019). What are the specifics of modern treatment of acute pneumonia. *Chinese J. Med. Res.*, 2(1): 01-03.
- Lanz R, Kuhnert P, Boerlin P (2003). Antimicrobial resistance and resistance gene determinants in clinical *Escherichia coli* from different animal species in Switzerland. *Vet. Microbiol.*, 91(1): 73-84. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135\(02\)00263-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(02)00263-8)
- Lekcharoensuk C, Osborne CA, Lulich JP (2001). Epidemiologic study of risk factors for lower urinary tract diseases in cats. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 218(9), 1429-1435. <https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.2001.218.1429>
- Livermore DM (2012). Current epidemiology and growing resistance of Gram-negative pathogens. *Korean J. Int. Med.* 27(2): 128. <https://doi.org/10.3904/kjim.2012.27.2.128>
- Loman, NJ, Misra RV, Dallman TJ, Constantinidou C, Gharbia SE, Wain J, Pallen MJ (2012). Performance comparison of benchtop high-throughput sequencing platforms. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 30(5): 434. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2198>
- Maniam R, Salleh A, Mohd ZS, Abdullah JFF, Zunita Z (2019). A study of aetiology and risk factors of bacterial septicaemia of cats. *Pak. Vet. J.*, 39(2): 236-240. <https://doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2019.027>
- McInnes RS, McCallum GE, Lamberte LE, van Schaik W (2020). Horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in the human gut microbiome. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, 53: 35-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2020.02.002>
- McMeekin, CH, Hill KE, Gibson IR, Bridges JP, Benschop J (2017). Antimicrobial resistance patterns of bacteria isolated from canine urinary samples submitted to a New Zealand veterinary diagnostic laboratory between 2005–2012. *N. Z. Vet. J.*, 65(2): 99-104. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00480169.2016.1259594>
- Minasyan H (2017). Sepsis and septic shock: Pathogenesis and treatment perspectives. *J. Crit. Care.* 40: 229-242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2017.04.015>
- Murphy C, Reid-Smith RJ, Prescott JF, Bonnett BN, Poppe C, Boerlin P, McEwen SA (2009). Occurrence of antimicrobial resistant bacteria in healthy dogs and cats presented to private veterinary hospitals in southern Ontario: A preliminary study. *Can. Vet. J.* 50(10): 1047.
- Nmema EE (2017). Risk Factors for Infection of *Staphylococcus aureus*: Nasal carriage, Skin carriage and multi-antibiotic resistance in healthy individuals. *J. Adv. Med. Med. Res.*, 21(9): 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.9734/BJMMR/2017/32307>
- Nolan JP (2010). The role of intestinal endotoxin in liver injury: a long and evolving history. *Hepatology.* 52(5): 1829-1835. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.23917>
- Osterbur K, Mann FA, Kuroki K, DeClue A (2014). Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome in humans and animals. *J. Vet. Int. Med.* 28(4): 1141-1151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvim.12364>
- Osugui L, de Castro AP, Iovine R, Irino K, Carvalho VM (2014). Virulence genotypes, antibiotic resistance and the phylogenetic background of extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from urinary tract infections of dogs and cats in Brazil. *Vet. Microbiol.*, 171(1-2): 242-247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2014.03.027>
- Park YS, Bae IK, Kim J, Jeong SH, Hwang SS, Seo YH, Cho YK, Lee K, Kim JM (2014). Risk factors and molecular epidemiology of community-onset extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* bacteremia. *Yonsei M. J.* 55(2): 467-475. <https://doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2014.55.2.467>
- Puspitasari Y, Annas S, Adza-Rina MN, Zamri-Saad M (2018). *In Vitro* attachment and distribution of *Pasteurella multocida* b: 2 in the lung and urinary bladder of buffaloes. *Pak. Vet. J.* 38(4): 414-418. <https://doi.org/10.29261/pakvetj/2018.077>
- Ramachandran G (2014). Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial toxins in sepsis. *Virulence.* 5(1): 213-218. <https://doi.org/10.4161/viru.27024>
- Rathiyamaler M, Zamri-Saad M, Annas S (2017). Disease Conditions in Cats and Dogs Diagnosed at the Post-Mortem Laboratory of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia between 2005 and 2015. *Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci.* 40(3): 389-398.
- Saltoglu N, Karali R, Yemisen M, Ozaras R, Balkan II, Mete B, Ozturk R (2015). Comparison of community-onset healthcare-associated and hospital-acquired urinary infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and antimicrobial activities. *Int. J. Clin. Pract.* 69(7): 766-770. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.12608>

- Simmons J, and Pittet JF (2015). The coagulopathy of acute sepsis. *Curr. Opin. Anaesthesiol.*, 28(2): 227. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.000000000000163>
- Vlieghe ER, Huang TD, Phe T, Bogaerts P, Berhin C, De Smet B, Peetermans WE, Jacobs JA, Glupczynski Y (2015). Prevalence and distribution of beta-lactamase coding genes in third-generation cephalosporin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* from bloodstream infections in Cambodia. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*, 34(6): 1223-1229. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-015-2350-9>
- World Health Organization. (2014). Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Zakaria M, Faridon BS, Zamri-Saad M, Salleh A (2019). A retrospective study on common health problems in ruminants. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.*, 7(11): 944-949. <https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2019/7.11.944.949>
- Zowawi HM (2016). Antimicrobial resistance in Saudi Arabia: An urgent call for an immediate action. *Saudi Med. J.*, 37(9): 935. <https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2016.9.16139>