



Ruminal Degradability and Intestinal Digestibility of Dm and Cp in High-Protein Fraction from Sunflower Meal - A Cheap Source of Dietary Protein for Ruminants

KRUM NEDELKOV^{1*}, TODOR SLAVOV², GONZALO CANTALAPIEDRA-HIJAR³

¹Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Trakia University, Stara Zagora, 6000, Bulgaria; ²Faculty of Agriculture, Trakia University, Stara Zagora, 6000, Bulgaria; ³INRAE, Université Clermont Auvergne, Vetagro Sup, UMRH, 63122, Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France.

Abstract | The objective of this study was to evaluate the ruminal degradability and intestinal digestibility of high protein fraction from sunflower meal (HPSFM). Three non-lactating Jersey cows with an average body weight of 436 ± 18 kg, fitted with a fistula in the dorsal rumen and a T-duodenal cannula were used to estimate rumen degradability and intestinal digestibility of dry matter (DM) and crude protein (CP). The HPSFM was produced by mechanical processing of sunflower meal. Five samples (HPSFM1 to HPSFM5) from different batches produced in interval of 20 days were collected and incubated in the rumen for 0, 2, 4, 8, 16, 24, and 48 h in 6 replications. The values for the rapidly degradable fraction *a* of DM ranged from 212 to 238 (SD = 9.15) g/kg. The effective degradability of DM of HPSFM at rumen outflow rate of 0.06/h ranged from 635 to 706 (SD = 28.7) g/kg. The fraction *a* of CP of the high protein sunflower meal samples was within the ranges from 167 to 197 (SD = 12.6) g/kg. Effective degradability of HPSFM CP at rumen outflow rate of 0.06/h was relatively high and varied from 661 to 730 g/kg (SD = 23.6). The intestinal digestibility of the DM measured by mobile bag technique ranged from 489 to 552 (SD = 22.1) g/kg. The intestinal digestibility of CP was relatively high and averaged 945 g/kg, varying from 939 to 954 (SD = 5.23) g/kg. The average value for Protein Digestible in the small Intestine (PDI) according to the Bulgarian protein system (assuming 618 g of rumen fermentable organic matter from a well dehulled sunflower meal), and a rumen outflow rate of 0.06/h was 205 (SD = 13.2) g/kg DM, while the Rumen Protein Balance (RPB) was 187 (SD = 9.52) g/kg DM, respectively. The results of the present study could be used in formulating rations for ruminant animals. HPSFM may effectively replace the expensive soybean meal in cow/calf diets and reduce costs in the feedlot, thus it will greatly improve the profitability of the beef cattle industry. The data shows possibilities for improvement of the protein value of HPSFM by applying stem-heating toasting or other effective technologies for decreasing degradability in the rumen.

Keywords | Rumen degradability, Intestinal digestibility, Protein nutritive value, Sunflower meal

Received | March 12, 2021; **Accepted** | March 17, 2021; **Published** | June 01, 2021

***Correspondence** | Krum Nedelkov, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Trakia University, Stara Zagora, 6000, Bulgaria; **Email:** krum.nedelkov@trakia-uni.bg

Citation | Nedelkov K, Slavov T, Cantalapedra-Hijra G (2021). Ruminal degradability and intestinal digestibility of dm and cp in high-protein fraction from sunflower meal - a cheap source of dietary protein for ruminants. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 9(7): 983-988.

DOI | <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2021/9.7.983.988>

ISSN (Online) | 2307-8316; **ISSN (Print)** | 2309-3331

Copyright © 2021 Nedelkov et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Sunflower meal (SFM) is a basic and cheap source of feed protein in Bulgaria. There are several advantages of SFM compared to other protein feeds, such as comparatively low price, high content of sulfur-containing amino acids methionine, cystine, and cysteine, and low content

of anti-nutritional factors. However, its use in ruminant's diets is usually limited because of its high rumen degradability (Bautista et al., 1990). According to Mondal et al. (2008) rumen CP degradability of SFM at 0.06/h outflow rate was 56%, while Chrencova et al. (2010), Diaz-Royo et al. (2016) and Nedelkov (2019) reported degradability ranging from 70% to 85%. Another serious constraint

for the rational use of SFM in ruminant nutrition is the higher hulls/fiber content, which decreases both its energy and protein value. Different mechanical means were tested for lowering its fiber content including milling, sifting out, and blowing off the SFM (LePrince-Bernard, 1990; Levic et al. 1992; Grompone, 2006; Banjac et al. 2013), double dehulling (Cortamira et al., 2000), centrifugal separation of hulls (Yadav et al., 1996; Sredanovic et al., 2011) and freezing by liquid nitrogen followed by heating to separate hulls from the kernel (Lange et al., 1984). Recently, a new method/technology for the separation of SFM into two fractions was developed in Bulgaria (Draganov, 2015). By repeatedly application of rolling, sifting and blowing, the SFM was split into low and high protein fractions. The high-protein fraction from sunflower meal (HPSFM) contains only 5 – 8% crude fiber and 46 – 50% crude protein while the low-protein fraction contains 36 – 55% crude fiber and 17 – 23% protein. However, there are no data available about the exact protein nutritional value for ruminants of the HPSFM which was the main reason to carry out the present research.

Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate the ruminal degradability and intestinal digestibility of HPSFM and further estimate its protein feeding value in terms of protein truly digestible in the small intestine. We hypothesized that the additional dehulling of SFM will significantly improve its nutritional value.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ANIMALS AND SAMPLES

The animal experiment was carried out according to the Bulgarian legislation in field of the animal welfare and with the respect of the Bulgarian Food Safety Agency (*Registration license № 126*).

Three non-lactating Jersey cows with an average body weight of 436 ± 18 kg, fitted with a rumen (made from polycarbonate material with internal diameter = 12 cm) and T-shape duodenal cannula (polycarbonate material with internal diameter = 4.4 cm) were used in the experiment. During the adaptation (10 d) and experimental (15 d) periods, cows were fed at maintenance level a ration containing 800 g/kg roughages (63.6 % alfalfa hay and 16.4% barley straw) and 200 g/kg concentrate (30.5% ground corn grain, 26.5% ground barley grain, 23.0% wheat bran, 17.0% SFM and 3% mineral and vitamin premix). Cows were housed in the large ruminant facility of Trakia University's Research Center, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine ($42^{\circ}25'27''\text{N } 25^{\circ}36'28''\text{E}$), and were fed at approximately 8.00 h and 16.00 h. Feeding was ad libitum targeting 5% refusals.

High-protein sunflower meal samples were collected from the first company which implemented the new technology for mechanical processing of sunflower meal - Bonmix Ltd., Lovech, Bulgaria (HPSFM1 to HPSFM5, numbers indicate the individual batch). The individual batches were collected in an interval of at least 20 days.

Briefly, samples (approximately 2.5 g) of each batch of SFM were placed in a polyester Dacron bags, which were later incubated in the rumen of the cows for 0, 2, 4, 8, 16, 24 and 48 h in duplicates (i.e., a total of 6 bags per incubation time-point). Then, the bags were dried at 65°C for 48 h and DM content of the residue was determined by drying at 105°C for 2 h in a mechanical convection oven. Intestinal digestibility of HPSFM DM and CP was analyzed by the mobile bag technique following the procedure of Woods et al. (2003b). A full description of the *in situ* rumen incubation and estimation of the small intestinal digestibility of ruminal-undegraded fraction can be found in Nedelkov (2019).

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

High-protein sunflower meal samples obtained from the factory were finely ground (to pass through a 1-mm screen). Dried samples were analyzed by wet chemistry methods EE (method 2003.05; AOAC International, 2006), ash (method 942.05; AOAC International, 2000), and minerals (method 985.01; AOAC International, 2000) (Table 1). Sunflower meal samples and bag residues were analyzed for N (KjeltecTM 8400 Analyzer Unit, FOSS, DK-3400 Hillerod, Denmark) and CP was calculated as $\text{N} \times 6.25$.

CALCULATIONS AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Ruminal DM and CP degradation data were fitted to the exponential equation of Orskov & McDonald (1979), using the Marquardt algorithm for non-linear regression procedure (SPSS ver. 23, Chicago, USA).

$$d = a + b(1 - \exp(-ct))$$

Where d is degradability (g/kg) at time t , a is the soluble and rapidly degradable fraction of DM or CP, b is the potentially degradable fraction, c is the rate of degradation of fraction b , and t is the incubation time (h).

Effective degradability (ED) of DM and CP were calculated using the following equation (AFRC, 1993):

$$\text{ED} = a + (b \times c)/(c + kp)$$

Where a , b , and c are as specified above and kp is the passage rate, assumed at 0.05, 0.06, and 0.08 h^{-1} .

The values for Protein truly digestible in small intestine (PDI) and Protein balance in the rumen (PBR) were calculated according to the Bulgarian protein system (Todor

Table 1: Dry matter content and chemical composition (g/kg dry matter or as indicated) of high-protein sunflower meal samples used in the study.

Parameters	Average	Minimum	Maximum	SD	CV, %
Dry matter, g/kg	928	917	936	8.21	0.88
Crude protein	459	452	467	5.03	1.09
Ether extract	19.6	11.2	29.5	6.51	33.2
Ash	89.3	86.6	92.3	1.88	2.11
Ca	6.12	5.21	6.73	0.52	8.48
P	13.3	10.3	17.1	1.17	6.98

Table 2: Ruminal degradation parameters and effective degradability of dry matter of high-protein sunflower meal (HPSFM) samples (g/kg, or as specified).

Parameter	Average ^a	Minimum	Maximum	SD	CV, %
a, ^b	229	212	238	9.15	3.99
b, ^b	713	680	776	33.5	4.70
c ^b /h ⁻¹	0.113	0.073	0.131	0.02	18.8
kp ^c = 0.045/h ⁻¹	731	689	759	23.9	3.27
kp = 0.06/h ⁻¹	687	635	706	28.7	4.18
kp = 0.08/h ⁻¹	638	579	659	32.3	5.06

^a $P < 0.01$ for the main effect of HPSFM sample.

^b a, b, and c are soluble, potentially degradable fraction and rate of degradation of fraction b, respectively;

^c kp is the passage rate from the rumen;

N = 30.

Table 3: Ruminal degradation parameters and effective degradability of crude protein of high-protein sunflower meal (HPSFM) samples (g/kg, or as specified).

Parameters	Average ^a	Minimum	Maximum	SD	CV, %
a, ^b	188	167	197	12.6	6.68
b, ^b	790	779	826	17.9	2.27
c ^b /h ⁻¹	0.114	0.089	0.127	0.014	12.3
kp ^c = 0.045/h ⁻¹	751	716	776	20.3	2.70
kp = 0.06/h ⁻¹	702	661	730	23.6	3.36
kp = 0.08/h ⁻¹	649	602	679	26.3	4.06

^a $P < 0.01$ for the main effect of HPSFM sample.

^b a, b, and c are soluble, potentially degradable fraction and rate of degradation of fraction b, respectively;

^c kp is the passage rate from the rumen;

N = 30

ov et al. 2007).

Data were analyzed for the fixed effect of different batches of protein source using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS (2002–2012; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Significance was declared at $P < 0.05$. Means are expressed as least squares means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF SFM

The average CP content of HPSFM was 459 (SD = 5.03) g/kg, ranging from 452 g/kg DM to 467 g/kg (Table 1).

The CP content of commercial sunflower meal produced after an average degree of dehulling of the seeds varied from 345 g/kg (Todorov et al., 2007) to 369 g/kg (Nedelkov, 2019). The production technology for HPSFM allows enrichment of the sunflower meal protein, thus it might be a serious and sustainable alternative to soybean meal on the European feed market.

RUMEN DEGRADABILITY OF DM AND CP

The DM and CP ruminal degradation parameters and effective degradability values at different outflow rates are presented in Tables 2 and 3. The mean value for the rapidly degradable fraction a of DM was 229 g/kg and varied

Table 4: Dry matter and crude protein intestinal digestibility (g/kg) of high- protein sunflower meal (HPSFM) samples following a 16-h rumen incubation.

Parameter	Average ^a	Minimum	Maximum	SD	CV, %
Intestinal digestibility of RUDM ^b	519	489	552	22.1	4.25
Intestinal digestibility of RUCP ^c	945	939	954	5.23	0.55

^a $P < 0.01$ for the main effect of HPSFM sample.

^b RUDM – Ruminally-undegraded dry matter

^c RUCP – Ruminally-undegraded crude protein

Table 5: Protein digestible in intestine (PDI) and rumen protein balance values (RPB) of 1 kg dry matter of high-protein fraction of sunflower meals (HPSFM) calculated at different outflow rates (g/kg).

Parameter	Average ^a	Minimum	Maximum	SD	CV, %
PDI					
kp ^b = 0.045/h ⁻¹	182	167	201	11.3	6.23
kp = 0.06/h ⁻¹	205	189	228	13.2	6.42
kp = 0.08/h ⁻¹	224	205	252	15.6	6.93
RPB					
kp = 0.045/h ⁻¹	209	197	217	7.92	3.79
kp = 0.06/h ⁻¹	187	171	196	9.52	5.09
kp = 0.08/h ⁻¹	162	144	173	10.7	6.57

^a $P < 0.01$ for the main effect of HPSFM sample.

^b kp is the passage rate from the rumen;

N = 30.

from 212 to 238 (SD = 9.15) g/kg which is consistent with the results reported by others for washable DM fraction of common SFM (Griffiths, 2004; Alcaide et al., 2003; Gao et al., 2015). However, the average value (713 g/kg; SD = 33.5) for the nonwashable but potentially degradable fraction *b* of DM was higher compared to the data for SFM found in some previous studies (Mondal et al., 2008; Nedelkov, 2019). The difference is likely a result of the removal of a bigger proportion of the hulls which in turn decreases the lignin content, not degradable in the rumen, and thus improves energy and protein value of SFM.

The average value of effective DM degradability at mean rumen outflow rate of 0.06/h was 687 (SD = 28.7) g/kg. Expectedly, the DM degradability of HPSFM was higher than the published values for common SFM (Alcaide et al., 2003; Nedelkov, 2019). This can be associated with the presence of large quantities and high degradability of fraction *b*, resulting in low amounts of DM undegradable fraction.

The washable fraction *a* of CP ranged from 167 to 197 (SD = 12.6) g/kg, while the potentially degradable fraction *b* varied from 779 to 826 (SD = 17.9) g/kg. Thus, the estimated effective degradability of CP in HPSFM was considerably high, reaching a maximum of 776 g/kg at rumen passage rate of 0.045/h. Overall, the degradability parameters and extent of protein degradation in the rumen of HPSFM are in agreement with previously reported val-

ues for a common/original SFM samples (75.0 to 90.0%; Woods et al., 2003a; Chrenkova et al., 2010; Nedelkov, 2019). According to Broderick et al. (1988) besides its faster degradation rate, the rumen retention time of SFM is higher compared to the soybean meal. However, previous results from our team highlighted a serious decline of milk yield after replacing the HPSFM with a common SFM (34.5% CP) in the diets of highly producing dairy cows (Nedelkov et al., 2019; unpublished results). The nutrient composition of the diets was similar among treatments, therefore we attributed the positive effect on milk yield to the faster ruminal escape of HPSFM. Because of the additional separation of SFM, the new high protein and low cellulose fraction consists of fine, tiny and light particles that may float very easily in the rumen content and leave it earlier with the liquid fraction. The size of the particle has been suggested as a key factor that affects degradation rates (Emanuele and Staples, 1988). Nevertheless, further studies by the use of specific markers are needed to confirm the above hypothesis.

INTESTINAL DIGESTIBILITY OF DM AND CP

The intestinal digestibility of HPSFM DM varied from 489 to 552 (SD = 25.9) g/kg (Table 4). The average intestinal digestibility of HPSFM CP was 945 g/kg and ranged from 939 to 954 (SD = 5.23) g/kg. These values are slightly higher than previously reported for the common SFM samples where intestinal digestibility of CP was reported to vary from 838 to 899 g/kg (Woods et al., 2003b;

Nedelkov, 2019). The removal of a bigger proportion of the hulls is likely to be the main reason for the improved digestibility of HPSFM previously incubated for 16 h in the rumen.

The average estimated PDI and RPB (at 0.06/h outflow rate) of the HPSFM samples estimated in the current study were 205 (SD = 13.2) g/kg and 187 (SD = 9.52) g/kg, respectively (Table 5). Overall, the PDI and RPB values of HPSFM are significantly higher compared to the values of common (not mechanically treated) SFM and closer to those reported for soybean meal (Todorov, 2007), suggesting that low cellulose fraction of sunflower meal may be a serious and cheaper alternative of expensive and mostly imported soybean meal. Considering the lower price and better protein value which is comparable to that of soybean meal, it is possible to use HPSFM as a main dietary protein source for both high-lactating dairy and fattening beef cattle. This is particularly valid for the beef production which is mainly determined by feed price and availability. Therefore reducing costs through feed inputs will result in improved profitability of the beef cattle industry.

To the best of our knowledge the ruminal degradability and intestinal digestibility parameters of HPSFM have not been previously studied, therefore the results of the present study should be used in formulating rations for ruminant animals. Even though the data showed high values of ruminal HPSFM CP degradability it can be improved by proper toasting of sunflower in processing mill or additionally by steam heating, extrusion or other effective technologies for decreasing degradability in the rumen.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the present study could be used in formulating rations for ruminant animals. HPSFM may effectively replace the expensive soybean meal in cow/calf diets and reduce costs in the feedlot, thus it will greatly improve the profitability of beef/dairy cattle industries. The data shows some possibilities for improvement of the protein value of HPSFM by applying stem-heating toasting or other effective technologies for decreasing degradability in the rumen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science under the project GREENANIMO (contract No Д01-287/07.10.2020 and Trakia University's reference number M004/7.10.2020), part of the National Programme "European Scientific Networks".

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Krum Nedelkov conceptualized the study, designed and conducted the experiment, analyzed the data and prepared the manuscript. Todor Slavov carried out the experiment, and lab-oratory analysis. Gonzalo Cantalapiedra participated in data analysis as well as drafting and revising the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Agricultural and Food Research Council (1993). Energy and protein requirements of ruminants. AnWallingford, UK.
- Alcaide EM, Yanez-Ruiz DR, Moumen A, Martin-Garcia AL (2003). Ruminal degradability and in vitro intestinal digestibility of sunflower meal and in vitro digestibility of olive by products supplemented with urea or sunflower meal. Comparison between goats and sheep. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 110: 3–15.
- AOAC International (2000). Official Methods of Analysis. 17th ed. AOAC International, Arlington, VA.
- AOAC International (2006). Official Methods of Analysis. 18th ed. AOAC International, Arlington, VA.
- Banjac V V, Colovic RR, Vukmirovic DM, Sredanovic SA, Colovic DS, Levic JD, Teodosin SJ (2013). Protein enrichment of sunflower air classification. Food and Feed Res. 40 (2): 77 – 83.
- Bautista NJ, Parrado J, Machado A (1990). Composition and fractionation of sunflower meal: Use of lignocellulosic fraction as substrate in solid-state fermentation. Biological Wastes, 32 (3): 225 – 233. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0269-7483\(90\)90051-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0269-7483(90)90051-S)
- Broderick GA, Wallace RJ, Orskov ER, Hansen L. (1988). Comparison of estimates of ruminal protein degradation by in vitro and in situ methods. J. Anim. Sci. 66: 1739 – 1745. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1988.6671739x>
- Chrenkova M, Cereshakova Z., Weisbjerg MR (2010). Degradation characteristics of protein feeds for ruminants. In: Energy and protein metabolism and nutrition, 3rd International Symposium on Energy and Protein Metabolism and Nutrition, Parma, Italy, 6 -10 Sept. 2010, EAAP publication No. 127, edited by: G. Matteo Croveto, pp.725–726.
- Cortamira O, Gallego A, Kim SW (2000). Evaluation of twice decorticated sunflower meal as a protein source compared with soybean meal in pig diets. Asian-Aus. J. Anim. Sci., 13 (9): 1296– 1303. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2000.1296>
- Diaz-Royon F, Arroyo JM, Sanchez MD, Gonzalez J (2016). Sunflower meal and spring pea degradation protection using malic acid or orthophosphoric acid-heat treatment. Anim. Prod. Sci. 56: 2029 – 2038. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN14669>
- Draganov LK (2015). New process for preparing high protein

- sunflower meal fraction. Patent EP 2848128 A1.
- Emanuele SM, Staples CR (1988). Effect of forage particle size on in situ digestion kinetics. *J. Dairy Sci.* 71:1947:54.
 - Gao W, Chen S, Zhang B, Kong P, Liu C, Zhao J (2015). Degradability and post-ruminal digestion of dry matter, nitrogen and amino acids of three protein supplements. *Asian-Austral. J. Anim. Sci.* 28(4): 485–493.
 - Griffiths JB (2004). The effect of extrusion on the degradability parameters of various vegetable protein sources. MSc thesis. Faculty of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences Stellenbosch University, South Africa.
 - Grompone MA (2006). Sunflower oil. In: Bailey's Industrial oil and fat Products, Sixth edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York etc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/047167849X.bio017>
 - Lange DA, Harison MC, Krivas KJ (1984). Cryogenic process for decortication and hulling of sunflower seeds US Patent 4,436,757.
 - LePrince-Bernard MN (1990). Aptitude au decortilage de la grane de tournesol. These de doctorat, INRA, Nantes, 130 pp.
 - Levic L, Delic I, Ivic M, Rac M, Stefanovic S (1992). Removal of cellulose from sunflower meal by fractionation. *J. Amer. Oil Chem. Soc.* 69 (9): 890 – 893. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02636339>
 - Mondal G, Walli TK, Patra AK (2008). In vitro and in sacco ruminal protein degradability of common Indian feed ingredients. *Livest. Res. Rural Develop.* 20 Article #63
 - Nedelkov K (2019). In situ evaluation of ruminal degradability and intestinal digestibility of sunflower meal compared to soybean meal. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science*, 9(3): 395-400.
 - Orskov ER, McDonald I (1979). The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. *J. Agri. Sci.* 92(2): 499–503.
 - Sredanovic S, Levic J, Duragic O (2011). Upgrade of sunflower meal processing technology. *Helia* 34 (54): 139-146. <https://doi.org/10.2298/HEL1154139S>
 - Todorov N, Krachunov I, Djuvinov D, Alexandrov A (2007). Handbook of Animal Feeding, Publ. Matkom, Sofia.
 - Yadav R, Singh P, Tewari GS (1996). Studies on centrifugal decortication of sunflower seeds. *Agric. Mechaization in Asia, Africa and Latin America*, 27(3): 62 – 64.
 - Woods VB, O'Mara FP, Moloney AP (2003a). The nutritive value of concentrate feedstuffs for ruminant animals Part I: In situ ruminal degradability of dry matter and organic matter. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 110: 111–130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401\(03\)00220-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401(03)00220-7)
 - Woods VB, Moloney AP, O'Mara FP (2003b). The nutritive value of concentrate feedstuffs for ruminant animals. Part II. Small intestinal digestibility as measured by in vitro or mobile bag techniques. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 110: 145–157. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401\(03\)00221-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401(03)00221-9)